

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

To support its goal of expanding economic opportunities the City directed resources towards meeting the City's vision as a livable and progressive city. The Community and Economic Development Department is working toward implementing three goals that include strengthening both the downtown and the neighborhood business districts. Implementation of these activities requires a partnership of public and private resources. The HUD designation of Tacoma as a Renewal Community (RC) brought commercial tax incentives to stimulate business and job growth. As one of only 28 communities in the country to have this HUD designation, Tacoma businesses and developers within the RC area were eligible for a variety of federal tax credits and deductions through 2009. Currently this tax designation is no longer available. However, the area was also designated as a Community Empowerment Zone (CEZ), co-terminus with the Washington State CEZ. Tacoma continues to see development within the CEZ, which includes the downtown and the Port. Local leaders continue to advance strategies that will require a concentrated and united effort by public and private sector leaders. Among them are leveraging major economic assets (e.g. business headquarters in downtown Tacoma, health care providers, port industrial areas and tourism); retaining and attracting a larger share of the Puget Sound region's quality jobs; fostering a climate of business investment and attraction; embracing entrepreneurship; improving workforce training opportunities and employment centers; and balanced land use. The Tacoma Partnership has identified three projects as the top economic development priorities for downtown and is outlining steps to move the projects to completion. In 2014, the City Council set a strategic objective to focus on development of the South Tacoma and Lincoln District Neighborhoods, both of which are designated as mixed use centers. Funding for a streetscape project was approved by the City Council as part of the 2015-2016 biennial budget process. Key project features include neighborhood entryway, roadway, sidewalk and pedestrian infrastructure improvements. These upgrades will happen along the stretch of a heavily traveled arterial which serves as the primary corridor of the Lincoln Business District and Mixed Use Center. Preliminary plans for the project include features to enhance pedestrian access, traffic calming measures and landscaping elements. There will also be a public art component to provide distinctive gateway attractions on both sides of the improved streetscape. Project Goals include: promote an economically thriving business district that provides access and support to businesses with sufficient and clearly defined parking and pedestrian amenities; provide an inviting and accessible destination area for eating, shopping and gathering; create a sense of place by celebrating the cultural diversity unique to the Lincoln International Business District. The City was successful in obtaining Federal and other funds for the Tacoma Link expansion, which would be extended from the Theater into the Stadium Districts and up to the MLK District. The project was awarded \$75 million in President Obama's budget under the Small Starts program. Construction is proposed to be completed in 2020. The

State's Community Revitalization Financing Act provides funding specifically to the City of Tacoma for infrastructure improvements. With the passage of this bill the State committed \$500,000 per year for 25 years for projects that occur in the downtown Revitalization Area.

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Increase and preserve affordable housing choice	Affordable Housing Public Housing Homeless	CDBG: \$1245000 / HOME: \$898200	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	20	0	0.00%			
Increase and preserve affordable housing choice	Affordable Housing Public Housing Homeless	CDBG: \$1245000 / HOME: \$898200	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	0	7		73	7	9.59%
Increase and preserve affordable housing choice	Affordable Housing Public Housing Homeless	CDBG: \$1245000 / HOME: \$898200	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	48	1	2.08%	59	0	0.00%

Increase and preserve affordable housing choice	Affordable Housing Public Housing Homeless	CDBG: \$1245000 / HOME: \$898200	Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	443	20	4.51%	9	18	200.00%
Increase and preserve affordable housing choice	Affordable Housing Public Housing Homeless	CDBG: \$1245000 / HOME: \$898200	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	1159	9	0.78%	112	12	10.71%
Increase and preserve affordable housing choice	Affordable Housing Public Housing Homeless	CDBG: \$1245000 / HOME: \$898200	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	139	0	0.00%	5	0	0.00%
Increase and preserve affordable housing choice	Affordable Housing Public Housing Homeless	CDBG: \$1245000 / HOME: \$898200	Housing for Homeless added	Household Housing Unit	0	0				
Increase and preserve affordable housing choice	Affordable Housing Public Housing Homeless	CDBG: \$1245000 / HOME: \$898200	Housing for People with HIV/AIDS added	Household Housing Unit	0	0				
Infrastructure, facilities & economic opportunity	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$475730	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	12000	0	0.00%			

Infrastructure, facilities & economic opportunity	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$475730	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	0	246				
Infrastructure, facilities & economic opportunity	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$475730	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	116	0	0.00%	4	0	0.00%
Infrastructure, facilities & economic opportunity	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$475730	Businesses assisted	Businesses Assisted	0	0		4	0	0.00%
Reduce homelessness and increase stability	Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$336352 / ESG: \$202381	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	3475	1904	54.79%	1960	0	0.00%
Reduce homelessness and increase stability	Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$336352 / ESG: \$202381	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	1100	0	0.00%	438	0	0.00%
Reduce homelessness and increase stability	Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$336352 / ESG: \$202381	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	29700	1525	5.13%	4881	0	0.00%
Reduce homelessness and increase stability	Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$336352 / ESG: \$202381	Overnight/Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing Beds added	Beds	0	0				

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction's use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

Every two years, the City Council approves a biennial funding priorities statement to provide direction in selecting housing and community development activities. Adoption of a new biennial Funding Priority Statement took place on November 14, 2017 and is in effect for both the 2018-2019 and 2019-2020 annual application processes for the use of federal CDBG, HOME and ESG funding. The priorities were also included in each Annual Action Plan (AAP) submitted to HUD. This particular 2-year funding cycle came during the fourth year of the 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan period. As a result, it was the recommendation of staff to complete existing priorities and objectives identified in the existing 2-year priorities list. During the new 5-Year Consolidated Plan process, a detailed review of community needs was undertaken. An assessment of the needs was documented in the new 5-Year Plan that was presented to the Council for consideration. To this end, the Funding Priorities previously approved by Council were recommended to be continued over the last two funding years to include Program Years 2018-2019 and 2019-2020

The existing Funding Priorities are organized into six categories which include:are described in an attached table titled Two Year Funding Priorities PY's 2018-2019 and 2019-2020

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted).

91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME	ESG
White	1,780	36	0
Black or African American	998	14	0
Asian	110	0	0
American Indian or American Native	82	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	141	3	0
Total	3,111	53	0
Hispanic	342	12	0
Not Hispanic	2,769	41	0

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG	CDBG	7,098,816	2,367,182
HOME	HOME	4,014,481	1,674,600
HOPWA	HOPWA		
ESG	ESG	206,045	185,256
Other	Other		

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

Narrative

The make-up of resources made available and expended for each funding source are as follows:

CDBG

Amount Available:

Annual CDBG Grant Allocation = \$2,242,344.00

Carryover from previous years = \$3,014,964.51

Revolving Funds = \$1,841,507.98

Total Available = \$7,098,816.49

Amount Expended:

CDBG Funds = \$1,078,891.39

Revolving Loan Funds = \$1,288,290.92

Total Expenditures = \$2,367,182.31

Remaining Balance:

Available Program Funds = \$7,098,816.49

Program Year Adjustment = \$0

Total Expenditures 7/1/17 -6/30/18 = \$2,367,182.31

Ending Balance - 6/30/2018 = \$4,731,634.18

HOME

Amount Available:

Annual HOME Allocation = \$964,831.00

Carryover from previous years = \$2,187,651.34

Revolving Funds = \$861,998.34

Total Available = \$4,014,480.68

Amount Expended:

HOME Grant Funds = \$1,418,819.59

Revolving Loan Funds = \$257,779.90

Total Expenditures = \$1,674,599.49

Remaining Balance:

Available Program Funds = \$4,014,480.68

Program Year Adjustment = \$6,966.86

Total Expenditures 7/1/17 -6/30/18 = \$1,674,599.49

Ending Balance - 6/30/2018 = \$2,346,848.05

ESG

Amount Available:

Annual ESG Allocation = \$202,381.00

Carryover from previous years = \$3,664.37

Revolving Funds = \$0

Total Available = \$206,045.37

Amount Expended:

ESG Grant Funds = \$185,256.34

Revolving Loan Funds = \$0

Total Expenditures = \$185,256.34

Remaining Balance:

Available Program Funds = \$206,045.37

Program Year Adjustment = \$0

Total Expenditures 7/1/17 -6/30/18 = \$185,256.34

Ending Balance - 6/30/2018 = \$20,789.03

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

During the program year, the City of Tacoma received annual entitlements from the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) through the CDBG, HOME and ESG programs.

CDBG funding focused on housing objectives including housing rehabilitation, recapitalizing the Affordable Housing Fund (AHF) along with support for In Time Renovations, The Pierce County Community Rebuilding Together South Sound, Associated Ministries and Metropolitan Development Council which provide painting, home repair and rehabilitation services, focusing on assistance to lower income senior and disabled homeowners. Through its Single Family Blight Abatement program TCRA staff allocated funds from the AFH to rehabilitate two foreclosed and abandoned properties they'd acquired the year prior for the purpose of rehabilitating and reselling them to low income households whose income level does not exceed 80% AMI. In August of 2017, one of the homes was resold to a homeowner whose income was below 50% AMI. Rehabilitation of the second home has experienced major unforeseen challenges and is expected to be completed and resold to an income qualified homebuyer by the end of calendar year 2018.

CDBG funds were also dedicated to supporting one (1) subrecipient whose aim is to address a high

priority community need to expand economic opportunities and provide living wage jobs. These monies were also used to continue support to public services that complement the General Fund supported programs; especially in the focus areas of housing, economic development and workforce development activities and youth stabilization. Program income was used to support Tacoma Community Redevelopment Authority (TCRA) Housing Operations and to fund the Economic Development Fund (EDF) which is used to directly acquire property for community and economic development purposes.

HOME

HOME monies were focused on capitalizing the TCRA AHF which is used to support the purchase of affordable housing for the benefit of lower income residents. The funds were used to facilitate the development of new housing projects that provide permanent rental and homeownership opportunities for low and moderate income residents including permanent special needs housing for homeless and persons with a mental disability.

In addition TCRA continued on its prior year's efforts and used HOME funds to begin construction of a 26 unit mixed income residential project Valhalla Hall. Construction began in January of 2017 and is anticipated to be completed in September of 2018, with a leasing up period to immediately follow. It is anticipated that beneficiaries of the project will be reported in IDIS at this time.

HOME funding was also used to support City staff responsible for the implementation of various HOME related projects as well to help fund operational support for designated Community Development Housing Organizations (CHDO's) in their role in the development of new affordable housing opportunities.

ESG

Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG) funds were used to support the operating costs of emergency shelters for the homeless, as well as rapid re-housing and homelessness prevention assistance. Funds were also used to support City staff in their role of administering contracts on the local Homeless Management Information System (HMIS).

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

The attached table includes all of the matching funds for all the 2017-2018 Annual Action Plan activities with the exception of the public service programs. While most of the matching funds will be exact figures, some may be approximate dollars due to some projects not being underway. The Business Revolving Loan Fund (BRLF), the Down-Payment Assistance (DPA) Program and Affordable Housing Fund (AHF) generally require the leveraging of funds (e.g. loans to permanent rental and shelter providers requiring matching funds).

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match	
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	0
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	0
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	0
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	0
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	0

Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME MBE/WBE report

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period				
Balance on hand at beginning of reporting period \$	Amount received during reporting period \$	Total amount expended during reporting period \$	Amount expended for TBRA \$	Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$
0	0	0	0	0

Table 7 – Program Income

Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period						
	Total	Minority Business Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sub-Contracts						
Number	8	0	0	2	6	0
Dollar Amount	1,174,429	0	0	559,860	614,569	0
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	305,590	305,590	0			
Number	1	1	0			
Sub-Contracts						
Number	38	10	28			
Dollar Amount	2,855,814	733,929	2,121,885			

Table 8 - Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted						
	Total	Minority Property Owners				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition

Parcels Acquired		4	976,278			
Businesses Displaced		0	0			
Nonprofit Organizations Displaced		1	5,585			
Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced		0	0			
Households Displaced	Total	Minority Property Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	0	0
Number of Non-Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	0	0
Number of Special-Needs households to be provided affordable housing units	0	0
Total	0	0

Table 11 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through Rental Assistance	0	0
Number of households supported through The Production of New Units	133	80
Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units	17	12
Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units	2	1
Total	152	93

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

In 2015, the TCRA began negotiations with US Bank for the donation of a dilapidated single family property that was to be rehabilitated and resold to a low income, first time homebuyer. Unfortunately, due to change in staff and the sellers legal counsel, the transaction experienced a 10-12 month stall, resulting in negotiations, which were originally estimated to be completed in 2017, continued into 2018. In August of 2018, negotiations were completed and the TCRA took possession of a property

located at 1026 S Sprague Avenue. The completion and resale of this p[roperty will be reported in the 2018-19 CAPER.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Households Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	0	0
Low-income	0	0
Moderate-income	0	0
Total	0	0

Table 13 – Number of Households Served

Narrative Information

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

The primary goal for 2017-18 was to decrease the length of stay in emergency shelters and transitional housing for homeless families. The City continues to partner with local homeless service providers as well as Pierce County in an effort strategize a system wide plan for rapid re-housing and/or permanent supportive housing. The City participates in multiple collaboratives and committees to ensure alignment in standards of service delivery and coordination of referrals to maximize the number of households moved from emergency shelter into permanent housing.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

The primary goal for 2017-18 was to decrease the length of stay in emergency shelters and transitional housing. To accomplish this, the City committed funds in 2017 to establish an overflow shelter at a local churches, increasing same-day options for families experiencing homelessness. In coordination with this effort the City approved Temporary Shelter Regulations to allow non-profit organizations and faith based organizations to apply for a temporary use permit to operate an emergency shelter on their property.

In May 2017 the City of Tacoma declared a state of emergency in response to the growing number of individuals living in homeless encampments. A temporary emergency shelter was put in in June of 2017 to provide emergency shelter to individuals residing in encampments throughout Tacoma.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

One of theThe City's long term goals is to prevent the number of families becoming homeless. To support this goal the City funds services to help those exiting homelessness to sustain housing and financial independence. By leveraging different revenue sources the City ensures contracted homeless service providers are able to additional supports such as case management, temporary financial assistance, counseling, and employment navigation. The City collaborates with homeless service providers to strategize best practices to ensure there are services available to make homelessness rare

brief and one time.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

One of the City's funding priorities in 2018 was to decrease the amount of time households are homeless. The following is a list of results related to the actions taken by the City:

A total of \$31,445 was allocated to rapid re-housing providers, increasing the capacity of local service providers to move individuals and families from homelessness into permanent housing.

A total of \$140,000 was allocated to a housing navigator to provide housing navigation services for families in the Temporary Overnight Family Shelter program.

A total of \$597,304 was allocated to programs providing housing services (affordable housing, housing first, permanent supportive housing, rapid re-housing, transitional housing).

A total of \$567,000 was allocated to the operation of a day drop-in center and an overnight young adult shelter.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

As stated in the five year Consolidated Plan, the Tacoma Housing Authority (THA) cooperates with the City to provide affordable housing opportunities for lower income residents through a variety of programs. The City continues to support THA's efforts to improve its public housing stock and to maintain levels of assistance to lower income residents as well as cooperate to find methods of preserving Section 8 housing. It is anticipated the City will continue to support THA's efforts to rehabilitate and modernize their publically- owned housing projects.

THA is redeveloping the 1800 & 2500 blocks of Hillside Terrace. Phase I and II of the 2500 block is now named Bay Terrace. The second phase completed construction in the summer of 2017 and is achieved 100% occupancy in August 2017. This phase has 74 units of housing in a mix of mid-rise and townhouse style apartments. All of the units are tax credit units; a portion of them are further subsidized using project based housing assistance vouchers.

The 1800 block is tentatively named "Hillside 1800." The redevelopment of 1800 Hillside Terrace will incorporate a single building with 4-stories with up to 70 units of affordable housing. The housing units are programmed as one and two bedroom units serving individuals and small families earning less than 60% of Area Median Income (AMI) with 50% of the units @ 30% AMI, 25% of the units @ 40% AMI and 25% of the units @ 60% AMI. Twenty percent (20%) of the units will serve individuals with disabilities and 20% will service individuals and small families experiencing homelessness. This project will replace the 18 units of Public Housing formerly occupying the site which were demolished in 2009 (this dates needs to be checked). These households were previously relocated according to HUD's URA guidelines. Hillside 1800 was awarded a Low Income Housing Tax Credit allocation from the Washington State Housing Finance Commission in Spring of 2018. The predevelopment design phase is in process and construction is expected to begin in early 2019.

In October 2013, THA applied to the Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD) program through the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). RAD is HUD's key Public Housing preservation program, and accomplishes this through the conversion of selected Public Housing units to the Project Based Section 8 Program. The conversion allowed THA to attract Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) equity to finance the rehabilitation of Public Housing throughout Tacoma. In February 2015, THA's application was accepted and THA began to prepare for conversion . THA converted 456 Public Housing units to the Project Based Section 8 program. Residents' rents will continue to be calculated at 30% of their income, and there wasn't any permanent displacement as a result of the conversion. For buildings undergoing significant rehab, residents were temporarily relocated and returned to their same unit. The nine sites, consisting of 456 units, that received an allocation of LIHTC equity closed on financing April 19, 2016. Construction on all 9 sites was completed by the end 2017. Project closeout is expected to be completed by October of 2018.

The Arlington Drive Youth Campus is a new construction project with a target population serving youth and young adults experiencing homelessness. The site of this project is part of the Salishan Public Housing HOPE VI redevelopment and will consist of 58 apartments for young adults 18-24 and a 12 bed crisis residential center for youth 12-17 years old. Funding sources for the projects include LIHTC, City of Tacoma, Pierce County and the Washington State legislature. Architecture and engineering services have been procured and preliminary master planning as well as design is occurring.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

THA is a Moving to Work authority. The current THA five-year plan outlines its policies, programs, operations and strategies. THA offers opportunities for residents to be involved in management through representation on the THA Board of Commissioners. The Mayor appoints one THA resident as Commissioner. In addition, THA supports a Resident Advisory Board, TRAC, which includes representation from all seven of THA's senior buildings. THA provides funding to support TRAC. The Salishan HOPE VI housing redevelopment project includes homeownership potential for public housing residents.

In November 2015, THA applied to HUD for permission to dispose of 34 Public Housing Scattered Sites (single family homes) spread throughout the City of Tacoma. This application was approved in March 2016. Over the last year 18 homes were renovated and sold to households with incomes no greater than 80% of Area Median Income. One home has been renovated and used to temporarily house homeless youth. The other former residents of the scattered site homes have been relocated. Existing residents are given the first priority to purchase the home in which they used to live. Clients and residents in the rest of the THA portfolio will be given second priority. If the public housing residents are not interested in purchasing the homes are listed on the Multiple Listing Service. All 33 homes were listed on the MLS; the last home is expected to sell in September 2018.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

Tacoma Housing Authority (THA) is the only public housing authority (PHA) existing in the City Of Tacoma. THA is a high functioning PHA that has a strong financial position and maintains a highly experienced and effective staff capacity. Therefore, the City has seen no need to provide assistance to THA as they are not considered to be "troubled".

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

In FY 2017, the City provided general funds for relocation assistance and rent subsidies to lower-income households displaced by local code enforcement utilizing general funds. Additionally, housing staff participates in an Affordable Housing Advisory group aimed at developing additional affordable housing opportunities and development incentives in neighborhoods that have historically been unaffordable to low-to-moderate income households.

Also, in 2017 City staff

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

During FY 2017-18, a total of six units of affordable homeownership housing were added to Tacoma's inventory. The City celebrated the completion of the Bay Terrace, Phase II Apartments. This 74-unit project was developed by Tacoma Housing Authority using HOME funds and will provide affordable one-bedroom, two-bedroom and three-bedroom units for households earning up to 80% area median income in Tacoma's Central neighborhood council district.

Additionally, the City closed on financing for the rehabilitation of 59 units of 1-bedroom, 2-bedroom and 3-bedroom multi-family housing located in the New Tacoma neighborhood council district. The project is being undertaken by Multi-Service Center in conjunction with Shelter Resources Inc. Units are reserved for households earning 50% or less of area median income. Work is anticipated to be completed in early 2019 and will be reported in the 2018-19 CAPER.

Lastly, for-profit developer Pierce Real Properties continues to develop the multi-family rental project known as the McKinley Artist Lofts, also referred to as The Station. The project involves the redevelopment of a surplus public facility into 14-live/work units targeting households in the arts industry. Eight of the units will be set-aside for households at or below 50% AMI. The project is located in Tacoma's McKinley Business District. The project is anticipated to be complete in fall 2018 and will be reported in the 2018-19 CAPER.

Tacoma has continued to commit federal CDBG and HOME funds to affordable housing projects early in the development process as a way to leverage other financing. This funding approach has proven successful because an initial local financial commitment to a project is often critical for a project to be competitive for other federal and state financing. Dependent upon on the availability of funds we will continue to have annual project application submissions directly through the sub-recipient, Tacoma Community Redevelopment Authority (TCRA). Competitive applications will show project feasibility, site

control, a project timeline, and other funding proposed/committed to the proposal.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The City continues to address lead-based paint issues in accordance with Title X of the 1992 HCDA. The following practices and procedures are followed to address lead-based paint hazards:

All owners of properties receiving up to \$5,000 of federally-funded assistance are provided a copy of the lead-based paint (LBP) pamphlet. If there is any work done on the property affecting paint surfaces, the surfaces are assumed to contain lead-based paint if the property was constructed prior to 1978, and safe work practices are followed. After work is completed, a notice to occupants is provided.

All owners of properties receiving between \$5,000 and \$25,000 in federally funded rehabilitation assistance are also provided with a copy of the LBP pamphlet. If the home was constructed prior to 1978, a LBP risk assessment is completed by a certified LBP firm. If the surfaces to be disturbed are determined to contain lead-based paint, interim controls are exercised. A notice to occupants is provided and clearance test performed by an EPA certified firm after the work is completed.

All owners of properties receiving \$25,000 or more in federally funded rehabilitation assistance are provided with the LBP pamphlet. A written risk assessment is obtained from a certified risk assessor and the specifications for the work written to insure all deteriorated LBP surfaces are properly prepared and the necessary action taken to correct any deficiencies. A clearance test is performed by a certified firm after the work has been completed and the owner has received a notification of completion.

All property acquisition financed with federal funds are inspected for lead-based paint hazards when the property in question was constructed prior to 1978. In addition, all rental properties are inspected on a regular basis for LBP hazards.

Several members of Community and Economic Development Department have received varying levels of training in LBP.

During the program year, through the use of CDBG, Lead Safe Work Practices were practiced on _____ and Interim Controls or Standard Practices was practiced on _____ homes through participation in the single family acquisition, rehabilitation and resale program, the Single Family Rehab Loan Program and home repair programs administered thorough Metropolitan Development Council and Rebuilding Together South Sound.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The City continues to take an active role and provides leadership and cooperation with community wide organizations to foster and maintain affordable housing and reduce the number of persons living below the poverty level. Some of these organizations and events include the Tacoma-Pierce County Housing

Council, Tacoma-Pierce County Coalition for the Homeless, THA' Family Self-Sufficiency Program, United Way of Tacoma-Pierce County, Northwest Community Development Managers Association, Tacoma's Annual Fair Housing Conference, the Gates Sound Families Foundation, Tacoma/Pierce County Partners in Homeownership and the Tacoma/Pierce County Affordable Housing Consortium.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

There are specific activities that continue to get support not only from federal funds such as CDBG, HOME, ESG and COC, as discussed in this report, but also from other public and private sources such as United Way of Pierce County, City of Tacoma General Fund, Tacoma Housing Authority, Washington State (e.g. Housing Trust Fund), the Puyallup Indian Tribe, Metropolitan Development Council's Community Action Agency funds and Impact Capital. These funded activities include emergency and transitional shelters, other homeless and special needs programs, job training programs as well as various activities to support the families and senior citizens on limited income.

Federal, state and private financing sources continue to require local government's commitment to financing a project before they will commit their funds. It is essential that local governments provide housing development funds. As previously mentioned in this report, the City has made a substantial commitment to housing by setting aside 50% of its CDBG and all of its entire HOME and ESG funds for affordable housing. Tacoma will continue to pursue funding sources for a local housing trust fund to better serve the needs of its citizens.

Tacoma continues to work with site selectors and often receives nationwide media coverage for the positive growth and turn-around of its downtown. Tacoma continues to work to attract and retain businesses in Tacoma. Specific accomplishments included:

Continued to partner with the Tacoma Police Department and Public Works Department to effectively implement the City's Crime-Free Housing program incorporated in the Business Districts. The overall goal is to reduce crime within the targeted areas.

Continued to market and provide services related to various business loan programs recently introduced by TCRA and include the Façade Assistance Loan Program, Fire Safety Loan Program and Historic Repair and Rehabilitation Loan Program. These three loan offerings are actively marketed to property and business owners through the Neighborhood Business Districts and Cross District Associations.

Together with private and public partners, Tacoma continues to work at relocation and retention efforts for major employers who have outgrown their existing space and have leases that will run out in the next few years.

Various revitalization efforts have occurred along the Martin Luther King corridor in the Hilltop community of Tacoma. Specifically, the TCRA has commenced with the construction of the former Valhalla Hall site. The new building will be a mixed income development to include an element of

ground floor live-work space and 9 permanent units of affordable housing. The completion of the new residential structure is estimated for the winter of 2018.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The City of Tacoma Human Rights, a section of the Office of Equity & Human Rights, provides education and technical assistance regarding federal, state and local fair housing laws. Education and outreach are tailored to suit housing providers, tenant advocacy groups, and public/private community organizations. Fair housing education and outreach during the fiscal year include:

Crime Free Housing Trainings:

October 18th and 19, 2017

March 21st and 22nd, 2018

June 20th and 21st, 2018

Homeward Bound:

March 2, 2018

Trends:

December 5, 2017

Fair Housing Conference- Spokane

April 25, 2018

Tiki Apartments Weekly Outreach

The Human Rights Division four-person team is mobilized efforts in offering discrimination investigation assistance to Tiki Apartment tenants. Activities included:

1. Interviewed tenants and assisted tenants needed or asked the Landlord for a reasonable accommodation.

2. Worked in partnership with the Housing Justice Project Organization in drafting charges of disability discrimination and performing investigations. Outreach dates were:

May 1, 2018

May 12, 2018

May 31, 2018

June 4, 2018

June 11, 2018

June 18, 2018

June 25, 2018

At all education and outreach opportunities, fair housing materials were distributed. On an ongoing basis, Human Rights staff continues to provide technical assistance and guidance on fair housing laws to community members, housing providers, social service organizations, and government entities.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

From July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2018, City of Tacoma Human Rights has resolved 375 complaints of discrimination filed in Tacoma under the federal Fair Housing Act and under the local fair housing provisions in Tacoma Municipal Code (TMC) Chapter 1.29. In these sixteen years, over \$79,000 in settlement awards have resulted from this local administrative enforcement process. In addition to non-monetary settlements, other relief included: waiver of rental payments, securing units, granting of reasonable accommodations (i.e.: reserved parking spaces, increase in voucher size, allowing service animals), updating rental documents to include equal housing opportunity logo and language, posting of fair housing information, and fair housing training.

From July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018, City of Tacoma Human Rights completed 14 fair housing investigations, which were also dual-filed with the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. Six of the 14 investigations were resolved through Pre-Finding Settlement Agreements which included affirmative measures to ensure fair housing compliance including document review, updated policies, implementation of reasonable accommodation policy, posting of fair housing information, and fair housing training for rental owners/staff. Relief included direct payment to complainants totaling over \$4,000 during the fiscal year.

Human Rights receives an average of 30 inquiries a month from residents who feel they have experienced housing discrimination. From July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2018, Human Rights staff opened 14 complaints, all dual-filed with HUD. The investigations opened during this time period include alleged violations based on race, disability, sex/gender, national origin/ancestry, and familial status.

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

Each year, NCS staff conducts a risk assessment of all funded programs to determine which programs need an on-site contract monitoring. Per City policy, all programs receive a site monitoring at least once every two years. Results are considered in subsequent application processes for funding.

When identifying which programs will be monitored, certain conditions automatically trigger a monitoring. Those conditions include: being new to receiving City of Tacoma funding, having negative findings in the most recent agency financial audit, and/or not being monitored the previous year. Other considerations in determining the need for an on-site contract monitoring include staff turnover, program performance, and award amount (i.e., receiving more than \$50,000 in aggregated funding from the City of Tacoma, including CDBG/ESG).

Programs that are flagged for a monitoring receive a site visit prior to the end of the contract period (by June 30th of each year). A standard monitoring tool is used by staff during the site visit to evaluate program performance, compliance with applicable federal requirements, personnel and administration practices, and accounting practices. Any negative findings are summarized at the end of the monitoring form, along with corrective actions and a timeline for completing corrective actions. The monitoring results, summary of findings, and timeline for corrective action are then provided to the program.

In the FY 2017-18 contract period, thirteen (13) programs received CDBG and/or ESG funding from the City of Tacoma. All thirteen (13) programs received an on-site contract monitoring in 2017.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

The 15-day period for examination and review will take place beginning on September 7th, 2018 and ending on September 22nd, 2018. The City provided public notice on September 7th, 2017 in the Legal section of the Tacoma publication of The News Tribune. Draft copies of the report are available at local libraries, the Customer Care Center and the Community and Economic Development Department

(located at 747 Market Street Suite 900, Tacoma, WA 98402) and is posted on the City's website. Citizen comments received will be incorporated in the final report.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

The Human Services Division of the Neighborhood and Community Services Department has not had any significant changes in our program objectives.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?

No

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

After monitoring the entire rental housing portfolio in 2014, the City divided its portfolio into a 3-year cycle. Because many new projects have multiple local funding sources, TCRA works closely with and holds agreements with the Washington State Department of Commerce and Washington State Housing Trust Fund to monitor common projects. The funders each take a turn monitoring common projects using UPCS standards. As projects come on-line, they are added to the calendar, with an initial inspection within the first project year. Additionally, all properties are reviewed for rent and income compliance on an annual basis.

In the 2017-18 PY, inspections were conducted in late May and early June. All units in nine properties were inspected by a third party consultant which has performed the City's on-site inspections since 2014. Additionally, other properties receiving funding from the State and City were inspected by the State and the results of those inspections as well as documentation that the findings have been addressed were forwarded to the City from the State. Some common findings in individual units include windows blocked by furniture, damaged doors, gaps in electrical panels and damaged door hardware.

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)

Each recipient of HOME funds signs an agreement requiring their agency to retain records which show compliance with fair housing and equal opportunity requirements. The agreements also require that they affirmatively market to minority and women owned construction contractors and sub-contractors whenever the agencies are bidding for new construction or rehabilitation work. In response to the passage of recent initiatives, the City has undertaken its own program to support and encourage the involvement of small business. Outreach includes streamlining the bidding and purchasing process, presentations to business organizations, particularly those who cater to the minority and women owned businesses, and updating website information and placing some bidding processes on-line.

City and TCRA staff is currently participating in regional planning sessions to produce the Affirmativeley Furthering Fair Housing (AFFH) document that's due as part of its next 5 year Consolidated Plan; which will include years 2019-2024.

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects,

including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

During the current reporting period the City of Tacoma received \$861,998 in program income, \$222,294 of which was expended on one affordable multi-family housing projects, Valhalla Hall, which will serve 14 low income households.

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)

The City continues to release an affordable housing NOFA annually. Both HOME and CDBG funds are made available for new construction and rehabilitation of multi-family rental and single-family homeownership projects. This NOFA is extremely important for multi-family rental developers as they are often not able to attract financing from other public lenders such as the state’s Housing Trust Fund or to position themselves well for housing tax credits without having a local commitment of funding. The also City continues to work closely with the Homeownership Center of Tacoma (HCT) and Habitat for Humanity (H4H) to foster the development of affordable housing.

Additionally, in 2017-18 the City launched an effort to compile an Affordable Housing Strategies Plan to encourage the preservation and development of affordable housing in Tacoma. The plan is anticipated to be finalized in September 2018 and implementation measures will immediately follow.

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name	TACOMA
Organizational DUNS Number	073135535
EIN/TIN Number	916001283
Identify the Field Office	SEATTLE

CAPER

Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance

Tacoma/Lakewood/Pierce County CoC

ESG Contact Name

Prefix Ms
First Name Pamela
Middle Name 0
Last Name Duncan
Suffix 0
Title Manager

ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1 747 Market Street, Room 836
Street Address 2 0
City Tacoma
State WA
ZIP Code -
Phone Number 253595057
Extension 0
Fax Number 0
Email Address pduncan@cityoftacoma.org

ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix
First Name
Last Name
Suffix
Title
Phone Number
Extension
Email Address

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date 07/01/2017
Program Year End Date 06/30/2018

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: YWCA Pierce County

City: Tacoma

State: WA

Zip Code: 98402, 3904

DUNS Number: 364210302

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 20000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Associated Ministries

City: Tacoma

State: WA

Zip Code: 98405, 5021

DUNS Number: 180153637

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 20000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Exodus Housing

City: Sumner

State: WA

Zip Code: 98390, 3249

DUNS Number: 120590331

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 29784

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Salvation Army

City: Tacoma

State: WA

Zip Code: 98405, 3307

DUNS Number: 169170123

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 20000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Catholic Community Services

City: Tacoma

State: WA

Zip Code: 98405, 4457

DUNS Number: 799006341

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 40000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: The Rescue Mission

City: Tacoma

State: WA

Zip Code: 98402, 1207

DUNS Number: 095731014

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 40000

CR-65 - Persons Assisted

4. Persons Served

4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	163
Children	214
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	377

Table 16 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	1,505
Children	1,073
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	2,578

Table 17 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	4,356
Children	1,566
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	5,922

Table 18 – Shelter Information

4d. Street Outreach

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 19 – Household Information for Street Outreach

4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	4,572
Children	1,884
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	6,456

Table 20 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	3,965
Female	2,591
Transgender	9
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	2
Total	6,567

Table 21 – Gender Information

6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Under 18	1,844
18-24	420
25 and over	4,200
Don't Know/Refused/Other	6
Missing Information	0
Total	6,470

Table 22 – Age Information

7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

Number of Persons in Households

Subpopulation	Total	Total Persons Served – Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters
Veterans	813	10	428	375
Victims of Domestic Violence	1,509	125	737	647
Elderly	775	0	310	465
HIV/AIDS	17	0	3	14
Chronically Homeless	790	0	267	523
Persons with Disabilities:				
Severely Mentally Ill	1,018	0	307	711
Chronic Substance Abuse	654	0	101	553
Other Disability	2,254	159	672	1,403
Total (Unduplicated if possible)	7,830	294	2,845	4,691

Table 23 – Special Population Served

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

10. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	199,930
Total Number of bed-nights provided	186,076
Capacity Utilization	93.07%

Table 24 – Shelter Capacity

11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

ESG Performance Standards for 2017-18:

Persons exiting to permanent housing at the end of the program year (Target of 85% for Rapid Re-housing, 45% for families in Emergency Shelter, 10% for individuals in Emergency Shelter)

Persons age 18 and older who increased their total income at program exit or at the end of the program year (Target of 20% for all ESG clients)

*Please note: These standards were developed in consultation with the CoC for the City’s Annual Action Plan, but were not included in contracts with sub-recipients. Programs reported on other outputs and outcomes for the City. Data on these performance standards came from HMIS.

Results indicate that one of the individual shelters met the target for exiting households to permanent housing. All but one of the family shelters met or exceeded the target. Families were more likely to increase their income during a shelter stay than unaccompanied adults. For rapid re-housing, the target for exits to permanent housing was much higher. Of the three funded providers, one met the target and two did not. Those that didn’t meet the target serve victims of domestic violence. The complexity of such situations may explain the slightly lower achievement.

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2015	2016	2017
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	0
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	0	0	0

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2015	2016	2017
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	54,737	45,645	15,811
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	21,782	15,656	6,000
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	8,840	9,835	34,181
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	85,359	71,136	55,992

Table 26 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2015	2016	2017
Essential Services	0	0	0
Operations	114,428	120,000	109,025
Renovation	0	0	0

Major Rehab	0	0	0
Conversion	0	0	0
Subtotal	114,428	120,000	109,025

Table 27 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2015	2016	2017
Street Outreach	0	0	0
HMIS	4,979	5,029	5,061
Administration	14,936	15,087	15,179

Table 28 - Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	2015	2016	2017
	219,702	211,252	185,257

Table 29 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

	2015	2016	2017
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	0	0	0
Other Federal Funds	0	0	0
State Government	62,960	20,000	20,000
Local Government	65,000	49,784	30,654
Private Funds	89,345	125,416	131,487

Other	20,000	0	0
Fees	0	0	0
Program Income	0	0	0
Total Match Amount	237,305	195,200	182,141

Table 30 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

11g. Total

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2015	2016	2017
	457,007	406,452	367,398

Table 31 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities