



City of Tacoma  
Community and Economic Development Department

TO: Planning Commission  
FROM: Shirley Schultz, Principal Planner, Current Planning Division  
SUBJECT: Billboard Regulations – Public Comment and Review  
DATE: April 13, 2011

At your last meeting, Commission members were provided a copy of the public comments received concerning the proposed changes to the billboard regulations. Due to the extensive commentary, staff also provided an initial overview of some of the key issues that emerged from the public testimony.

At the April 20 meeting, the Commission will continue its review and discussion of the testimony and possible revisions to the draft code. As promised, attached is a “Summary of Public Comments and Staff Responses Report” that summarizes all of the issues and concerns raised in public testimony. Where appropriate, staff has provided responses and, in some cases, is recommending modifications to the draft proposed regulations to address the points raised by many of the commenters.

Staff is seeking guidance and/or concurrence on the proposed modifications and direction from the Commission to assist in any further analysis and potential revisions in response to the testimony and in formulating its findings and recommendation to the City Council. To facilitate the discussion, Shelley Kerslake, the City’s legal counsel, will also be at the meeting to speak with the Planning Commission about the Settlement Agreement and next steps, and to address some of the legal issues/questions that have been raised.

If you have any questions, please contact Shirley Schultz at (253) 591-5121 or [shirley.schultz@cityoftacoma.org](mailto:shirley.schultz@cityoftacoma.org).

Attachment

c: Peter Huffman, Assistant Director





## BILLBOARD CODE REVISIONS

### SUMMARY OF PUBLIC COMMENTS AND STAFF RESPONSES REPORT April 20, 2011

	COMMENTS	SOURCE(S)	STAFF RESPONSE
<b>AESTHETICS</b>			
1.	Digital Billboards are visual blight	Barrie, Robbins-Ghormley, Rolfe, Augustine, Babare, Bjornson, Buffington, Cooke(2)*, Cooper, Corso, Davie, Delight, Donohue, Faker*, Flint, Gannett, Heller*, Jacobs, Kircher, Lally, Lawson*, Limerick, Martin, McDonald-Wright, McManus, Mellor, Nuismer, Oberfield, Osborn, Paul, Paulson, Pinto, Porter, Riedener, Sabo, Schlemmer, Schmidt, Shoop, Sukys, Sutton, Swanson, Walton, Weiss*, Winters, Historic Tacoma, Central Neighborhood Council	Comment noted.
2.	There's too much advertising, this is intrusive, and it does not belong in common public spaces	Ayer, Bjornson, Caldwell, Erickson, Freitas, Girvin, Heller*, Koenig, Marks, Menzies*, Neuberger, Sullivan, Varnell,	Comment noted.
<b>SAFETY</b>			
3.	Make sure luminance levels are acceptable for safety standards	Community Council of Tacoma	Staff is reviewing current draft code and studies regarding luminance and brightness levels and will continue to discuss this issue, related information and any potential changes to the draft levels with the Planning Commission for its consideration.

	COMMENTS	SOURCE(S)	STAFF RESPONSE
4.	Digital billboards contribute to driver distraction, pedestrian distraction, including references to FHWA studies and a Wisconsin study	Allard, Carr, Bjornson, Boneske, Corso, Cruise, DeOme*, Davis-Long, Denton, Dewes, Dewitt, Eaves, Erickson, Gannett, Halko, Halmo, Hankwitz*, Hull*, Jacobs*, Jensen, Jensen*, Johanson*, Lambert, Lawson, Limerick, Love, McElhiney*, Mellor, Menzies, Mordhorst, Napolitano, Nelson, Nichols, Noss, Oberfield, Paul, Riedener, Riehs, Sawaya, Schaaf, Schlemmer, Sukys, Sutton, Van Horn, Varnell, Varner, Walton, Weiss*, Winters*  Historic Tacoma, South Tacoma Business District Association, South Tacoma Neighborhood Council, Central Neighborhood Council	Comment noted. The Planning Commission has voiced similar concerns and they will continue to discuss this issue before making their recommendation. Based upon the April 6 Planning Commission discussion, it is likely that the Commission's final report and recommendation will include findings related to driver safety and distraction from digital signage.  At a minimum, the sign code will ensure that signs are consistent with the following: do not interfere with or obscure any official sign, traffic control device, signal, or roadway illumination; cannot display any messages or color combinations which might be mistaken for emergency lights or traffic control devices; and cannot be construed as providing direction or warning to drivers.
5.	Information on correlation between accidents and digital signs is inconsistent. US DOT, state, and insurance statistics show no correlation.	Clear Channel Outdoor	Comment noted. While there are studies which show no correlation between accidents and digital signs, the safety question is complex and no engineering design standards are in place. There is no definitive guidance on controls for this type of sign. It is clear that the purpose of signs is to get the attention of passers-by, so the goal of any ordinance would be to minimize the length and amount of any distraction.
6.	Studies in OH, NM, MN, VA, and PA conducted by local governments, show that there's no statistical correlation between accidents and digital billboards	Clear Channel Outdoor	Comment noted. Other studies, however, have shown a correlation between digital signs and accident rates (Wisconsin). No thorough study has been completed by the FHWA to use as guidance in this case.

	COMMENTS	SOURCE(S)	STAFF RESPONSE
<b>RECEIVING AREAS</b>			
7.	There should be no special receiving areas, they are too close to residential districts, they are illegal and nonconforming	Cruise, Love, Winters Central Neighborhood Council	<p>Comment noted. Under the current proposal, only 10 digital faces may locate within the special receiving areas; after that, future digital billboards would only be allowed in the 4 permitted zones – C-2, M-1, M-2, and PMI.</p> <p>The special receiving areas are located in the following zoning districts: M-2, M-1, C-2, CIX, UCX, UCX-TD, WR, CCX, C-1, T, NCX, RCX, R4-L, R-3, R2-SRD, and R-2. Six of the special receiving areas are located wholly within the C-2, M-1, or M-2 zoning districts. The remaining areas are at least partially zoned residential or mixed-use.</p> <p>The Planning Commission may consider, as part of its recommendation, the removal of some or all of the draft special receiving areas.</p>
8.	Special receiving areas are not fair to different neighborhoods	Bishop, Cruise, Corso, Olson, Perkins, Schlemmer	<p>Comment noted. The City is divided into eight neighborhood council districts. The 18 special receiving areas in the current draft are located in the following neighborhood council districts:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Central (1)</li> <li>• Along the Central / North End boundary (3)</li> <li>• New Tacoma (3)</li> <li>• West End (1)</li> <li>• South Tacoma (9)</li> <li>• South End (1)</li> </ul> <p>There are no special receiving areas in the following neighborhoods council districts:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Northeast Tacoma</li> <li>• East Side</li> </ul> <p>The special receiving areas currently included in the draft regulations were outlined in the settlement agreement negotiated between the City Council and Clear Channel Outdoor. The Commission may consider recommending changes to the special receiving areas.</p>
9.	Distribute billboards more evenly, including additional neighborhoods, near decision-makers' homes	Olson, Cruise	<p>Comment noted. The special receiving areas in the current draft, which would apply to the first 10 digital billboards, were negotiated between the City Council and Clear Channel Outdoor. Beyond the first 10 digital billboards allowed, the proposed regulations do not allow billboards to be located in or near residentially-zoned areas.</p>

	COMMENTS	SOURCE(S)	STAFF RESPONSE
10.	Were the council members aware that the 19 special receiving areas were adjacent to residential neighborhoods and the billboards would shine on houses?	DeOme	Council members and Planning Commissioners all received copies of the proposed special receiving areas. The areas were determined by negotiation and discussion between the City Council and Clear Channel Outdoor.
11.	No billboards of any type should be allowed near schools, churches, parks, shorelines, and historic buildings	Ayer(2), Carr, Casey, Thacker, Clark, Coates, Elling, Hatter, Martin, McClintock, Nash, Parker, Price, Robbins-Ghormley, Rolfe, Schain, Strivens, Swanson, Delight North End Neighborhood Council	Comment noted. Under the current proposal, the first 10 digital billboard faces would be allowed in special receiving areas, some of which are within or near historic districts, shorelines, parks, churches or schools. After the first 10, billboards would not be allowed in or near these areas/uses. Staff is currently reviewing and will propose additional language to clarify how this restriction relates to historic districts, historic sites, and conservation areas. In addition, the Commission has requested staff provide additional discussion about buffering from churches located in commercial or industrial areas. See response to Comment #7.
12.	Billboards, if allowed, should be restricted to industrial areas like the tide flats and Nalley Valley.	Ayer(2), Carr, Casey, Thacker, Clark, Coates, Elling, Entwistle, Hatter, Martin, McClintock, Nash, Parker, Price, Robbins-Ghormley, Schain, Stephens, Strivens, Swanson Community Council of Tacoma, Northeast Tacoma Neighborhood Council	Comment noted. As currently drafted, the first 10 faces would be allowed in the special receiving areas. After the first 10, billboards would be restricted to 4 zoning districts, 3 of which are industrial zones. The Planning Commission may choose to address this item further in its findings and recommendation to the City Council.
13.	Increase buffers to 1000 feet, 700 feet, or only where they are not visible from residential uses	Girvin, Cruise Sukys South Tacoma Neighborhood Council, Central Neighborhood Council	Comment noted. The current and proposed buffers are 250 feet from churches, schools, historic properties, open space/parks, or residences, and 375 feet from a shoreline district. Increasing buffers to this level would significantly reduce the number of places a new billboard could locate, and thus could reduce the effectiveness of the proposed exchange program. If directed by the Commission, staff could conduct additional analysis regarding increased buffering distances.
14.	Only allow digital billboards where there is currently (as of February 2011) a lit billboard.	Price	Comment noted. The Planning Commission may choose to address this item further in its findings and recommendation to the City Council.

	COMMENTS	SOURCE(S)	STAFF RESPONSE
15.	<p>6<sup>th</sup> &amp; Sprague should not be a special receiving area</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Blocks Trinity Presbyterian Church (and Central Seventh Day Adventist)</li> <li>• Dangerous</li> <li>• Distracting to students</li> <li>• Too close to an historic district</li> </ul>	<p>Ayer, Barrie, Beardsley-Schoonmaker, Casey, Thacker, Bert*, Bishop, Bonner, Cade, Carlton, Clark, Cruise, Curtis, Elling, Entwistle, Erickson, Hankwitz*, Hawkins, Herron, Lambert, Leslie, Martin, McClintock, Menzies, Miller, Miller (2), Monroe, Nash, Nash(2), Neuberger, Nuismer, Oliver, Robbins-Ghormley; Robbins-Ghormley(2), Robinson, Rose, Ryan, Sabo, Sautner, Sawaya(2), Schlemmer, Shoop, Spivey, Strader, Sukys, Tucker, Varnell, Walkup*</p> <p>North Slope Historic District, Central Neighborhood Council</p>	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>It should be noted that the specific billboard at the It's Greek to Me restaurant site is not owned by Clear Channel Outdoor. It is one of 4 structures in the city that are owned by a different party, but managed by Clear Channel Outdoor.</p> <p>Further, it should be clarified that placement of a digital billboard at a particular site will not necessarily result in removal of a standard billboard at that site – that is, in the special receiving areas, digital billboards are not necessarily <i>replacement</i> billboards – so, in special receiving areas, there could be an increase in the number of billboards, at least until such time as the standard billboards were removed through the exchange program.</p> <p>This will be discussed thoroughly at the April 20 Planning Commission meeting. In addition, the Commission may choose to recommend that this location, or any of the other specific locations included in the draft, not be included as a special receiving area.</p>
16.	<p>Digital billboards should not be allowed on 6<sup>th</sup> Avenue; they are contrary to the goals for a pedestrian-oriented community</p>	<p>Boneske, Cruise, Koenig, Leslie, Lumsden, Mullen, Nelson, Ryan, Turner</p>	<p>Comment noted. Staff briefly addressed this issue (what the Comprehensive Plan says about signage and pedestrian orientation) in the staff report. See the response to Comment #70.</p> <p>The goals of the Mixed Use Districts in the <i>Comprehensive Plan</i> include creating pedestrian-oriented streets, context-sensitive design, and quality, distinctive, signage. Billboards are not specifically addressed in the mixed-use language because currently billboards would not be allowed in a mixed-use district. Of the 18 special receiving areas in the draft code, 7 are wholly or partially within mixed-use centers.</p> <p>Also see the response to Comment #15.</p>
17.	<p>The digital sign at 56<sup>th</sup> &amp; South Tacoma Way is bad, no digital billboard should go there</p>	<p>Schmidt, Stephens</p>	<p>Comment noted. It should be clarified that the digital sign operating in this neighborhood (at the Austin's Pro/Max automotive retail and service business) is an on-premises sign and not a billboard and would not be affected by the proposed code changes.</p> <p>Also see the response to Comment #15.</p>

	COMMENTS	SOURCE(S)	STAFF RESPONSE
18.	No digital billboards should be allowed at 74 <sup>th</sup> and Tacoma Mall Boulevard	Halko, Vaughn	Comment noted. Currently, even though this area is zoned “C-2” there are very few locations where a billboard could be located because of buffering standards, and also because of State regulations regarding billboards along highways. Under the draft code, the special receiving area would increase the number of locations where a digital billboard could be located, but the State regulations regarding signs visible from I-5 would still apply, likely restricting where and how a billboard could be located in this special receiving area.  Also see the response to Comment #15.
19.	How did the dots on the settlement agreement map become the receiving areas in the code?	DeOme Central Neighborhood Council	The special receiving areas were determined through negotiation between the Council and Clear Channel. It is staff’s understanding that they were chosen, in part, based upon visibility and traffic counts and are meant to be areas and not specific locations, in order to allow Clear Channel some flexibility in negotiating property leases for the placement of digital billboards. The specific boundaries contained in the draft code were provided by Clear Channel.
20.	Could more than one digital billboard go in a special receiving area?	DeOme	Yes – either on the same structure or on different structures in the same special receiving area. It is important to note that “one billboard” equates to one billboard <i>face</i> not one billboard <i>structure</i> – so a double-faced billboard structure (which is common) is considered two billboards. The current draft code does not include any restriction on how many digital billboard faces or structures could be located in each of the special receiving areas. If directed by the Commission, staff could explore such a restriction
21.	Digital billboards will not be placed in residential zones – only in C1, C2, CCX, NCX, UCX, UCX-TD, M1, M2, and WR.	Clear Channel Outdoor	This may be correct, however, as currently drafted, some of the special receiving areas are within or adjacent to residential districts. However, this comment may indicate that even if available Clear Channel does not intend to locate billboards in those areas. Currently, billboards may be placed in C-2, M-1, M-2, and PMI zoning districts.
22.	The special receiving areas were carefully chosen between Clear Channel and the City Council.	Clear Channel Outdoor	This is correct, based upon staff’s understanding of the negotiations leading up to the Settlement Agreement.
23.	The special receiving areas are high-traffic corridors.	Clear Channel Outdoor	This is correct. The special receiving areas are generally located at or near major arterial intersections.
24.	It’s impossible for every receiving area to have a sign.	Clear Channel Outdoor	Based on a maximum of 10 digital billboards in the 19 special receiving areas, this is correct.



	COMMENTS	SOURCE(S)	STAFF RESPONSE
<b>ILLUMINATION, IMAGES, CONTENT</b>			
25.	Have the Council members seen examples of what digital billboards look like in the dark and light hours?	DeOme	Council members and Commissioners were shown the video provided by Clear Channel Outdoor showing side-by-side comparisons of static and digital billboards, which is also available on the Planning Division website at: <a href="http://cms.cityoftacoma.org/Planning/Billboard%20Regulations/Digital%20Ovs%20Traditional%20Video.wmv">http://cms.cityoftacoma.org/Planning/Billboard%20Regulations/Digital%20Ovs%20Traditional%20Video.wmv</a>
26.	Digital Billboards create light pollution in general and especially affecting residential neighborhoods	Barrie, Allard, Babare, Beardsley-Schoonmaker, Botsford, Davis-Long, Eaves, Flint, Freitas, Girvin, Jacobs, Limerick, Marks, Martin, Menzies*, Monroe, Paulson, Robinson, Sautner, Sukys, Varnell, Varner, Walton, Weiss*, Winters South Tacoma Neighborhood Council	While lighted billboards and digital billboard do increase light levels in the surrounding area, the current draft of the code includes limits on the brightness of signs that are meant to reduce the impacts. See response to Comment #3.
27.	Turn off between 10 p.m. and 6 a.m. or restrict to daylight hours only	Freitas*, North Slope Historic District, Central Neighborhood Council	Comment noted. The current draft code would restrict billboards from being lit between 10 p.m. and 5 a.m. The Commission could consider recommending different time restrictions.
28.	The number of messages should be reduced to lengthen static image time	Parker, Community Council of Tacoma, Northeast Tacoma Neighborhood Council, Central Neighborhood Council	Comment noted. The Planning Commission may choose to address this item further. Under the proposed code, the required static image time is no less than 8 seconds.
29.	Prohibit message sequencing	Community Council of Tacoma, Northeast Tacoma Neighborhood Council	Comment noted. The Planning Commission may choose to address this item further. Legal information regarding content regulation will be required, and in addition the Commission may wish to look at interactive messages (i.e., messages with text messaging instructions or "call now" type messages).
30.	Digital billboards in South Tacoma should be shielded so that no light is directed upward which might affect aircraft at JBLM	Bozick	Comment noted. Staff will be drafting language to address this concern and discussing it further with the Commission.

	COMMENTS	SOURCE(S)	STAFF RESPONSE
31.	Are there any restrictions on content? Some images may not be appropriate in some areas, and also equal time should be guaranteed.	Mordhorst	Content cannot generally be regulated, only time, place, and manner. Digital billboards are not subject to the same regulations regarding equal time as TV and radio are.
32.	These are not like the signs along I-5, and will be adjusted for brightness based on ambient light.	Clear Channel Outdoor	Comment noted. Clear Channel operates several signs within the City of Kent, which are comparable to what would be installed in Tacoma. The proposed regulations include a requirement that digital billboards include an ambient light sensor so that they can continually adjust to changing light conditions.
33.	Signs will not be animated, flash, rotate, etc.	Clear Channel Outdoor	This is correct. Animation, rotation, etc. is prohibited in the draft code.
34.	The light level is not dissimilar to that from a lighted static billboard.	Clear Channel Outdoor	Comment noted. Different studies of brightness are discussed in "Illuminating the Issues: Digital Signage and Philadelphia's Green Future" by Gregory Young. It can be found multiple places, it is included on page 156 of Volume II of the public comments.
<b>SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT</b>			
35.	Have all business districts and neighborhood councils been notified?	Jensen	Yes. The public notice was distributed to a broad mailing list and was also sent electronically to neighborhood groups, business districts, and community groups. Several of those groups submitted comments.
36.	Give citizens opportunity (let them vote) to decide if the status quo is better than the settlement agreement, if we should sue, etc.	Nelson, Roberson	Comment noted. Any decision regarding a ballot measure could be made by the City Council or pursued by citizen petition.
37.	More public input should have been taken before the agreement was made.	Ayer(2), Buffington, Carr, Casey, Dickson*, Thacker, Clark, Coates, Elling, Jensen (2), Hankwitz*, Hatter, Martin, McClintock, Nash, Nelson, Parker, Price, Robbins-Ghormley, Schain, Strivens, Swanson, Tyvand, Winters* South Tacoma Neighborhood Council	Comment noted.
38.	Hold public comment period open	Jensen*	The Planning Commission determined not to extend the comment period at their March 16 meeting. The comment period was open from February 16 through March 25. Additional comment will be taken at the City Council public hearing as well as at the first and second readings of the ordinance to adopt code changes.

	COMMENTS	SOURCE(S)	STAFF RESPONSE
39.	The City needs to plan public meetings at times when more people can attend	Jensen (2)	Comment noted.
40.	We are interested in hearing the council's reasoning for approving the settlement agreement. What was the advice of the Legal Department?	DeOme, Schafer*	Comment noted. The Planning Commission has made a similar request and the City's legal counsel will be addressing legal issues and questions at the Commission's April 20 meeting.
41.	The term "Settlement Agreement" is a misnomer; it's never been signed, it's not valid and can be revoked	Lumsden, Winters, Cruise, Schafer Central Neighborhood Council	Comment noted. Clarification on the Settlement Agreement, the "option period" and other legal items will be addressed as appropriate by the City's legal counsel at the April 20 meeting.
42.	The Court case (lawsuit) was invalid because it's okay to control signage w/o intruding upon 1 <sup>st</sup> Amendment rights. Clear Channel never sought administrative remedy, and it was beyond the statute of limitations of state land use law.	Schafer, Halmo South Tacoma Neighborhood Council,	Comment noted. Comments, information, and arguments related to the Settlement Agreement, litigation, legitimacy of sign regulation, etc. will be reviewed by the Planning Commission for their information and will be passed on to both legal counsel and the City Council for their consideration as they make further decisions regarding billboards.
43.	The Settlement Agreement is not substantial – there's no guarantee that other billboards will come down, the exchange ratio is not high enough. The public messaging benefits doesn't offset all of the negatives.	Adkins, Jensen, Price, Weiss South Tacoma Neighborhood Council	Comment noted.
44.	This was addressed in 1997, we should move forward with that. It is defensible in court.	Allard, Alexander, Anderson*, Atherton*, Ayer(2), Barrie, Babare, Camarata, Casey, Thacker, Clark, Coates, Collier, DeOme*, Elling, Hampton*, Hatter, Jeffrey, LaPointe*, Lawson*, Lewis, Lumsden, Martin, McClintock, Menzies, Nash, Nelson, Oberfield, Owens, Parker, Paulson, Price, Rasmussen*, Reid*, Robbins-Ghormley, Ryan, Rolfe, Schafer, Schain, Spivey, Stailey, Strivens, Swanson, Winters  North End Neighborhood Council, Central Neighborhood Council	Comment noted. See response to Comment #42.

	COMMENTS	SOURCE(S)	STAFF RESPONSE
45.	Tacoma's current definition of billboard is not content based and is defensible per the <i>Los Angeles</i> decision; the current ordinance is defensible	Schafer	Comment noted. See response to Comment #42.
46.	The current code as related to nonconforming signs is invalid because of incorrect reference to section and numbering.	Schafer	Comment noted. See response to Comment #42.
47.	Please clarify the Option Period in the proposed settlement agreement.	Schafer	Comment noted. Clarification on the Settlement Agreement, the "option period" and other legal items will be addressed as appropriate by the City's legal counsel at the April 20 meeting.
48.	This should not be restricted to Clear Channel – it's a business monopoly, it's a communication monopoly (i.e., how do you guarantee equal time)	Davie, Mordhorst	Comment noted. These potential code changes are not designed for or only applicable to Clear Channel Outdoor – they would apply to all current and any future owner of billboards in the city. The City's legal counsel may speak to this in more depth at the April 20 meeting.
49.	Did the Council receive figures from Clear Channel regarding their profits on the digital billboards? Once they are up, there are minor labor costs and the boards can show multiple images. It seems like it is going to be more profit than a 1 for 5 trade.	DeOme	Comment noted. The Planning Commission did not participate in negotiations; the exchange ratio was negotiated between the City Council and Clear Channel Outdoor. See response to Comment #52.
50.	Did Clear Channel write the code?	Jensen	Clear Channel provided a draft code to staff and it was one item used as information for consideration by the Planning Commission, along with benchmarking from other cities and staff-level research. Ultimately, the Planning Commission decided what requirements and performance standards were used in the draft code.
51.	Please provide an accurate Exhibit 2, and a copy of the settlement agreement.	Schafer	This has been done and posted on the Planning Division website ( <a href="http://www.cityoftacoma.org/planning">www.cityoftacoma.org/planning</a> ).
52.	Increase the exchange ratio	Price	Comment noted. The Planning Commission could consider recommending a different exchange ratio and/or discuss this issue further in its findings and recommendation to the City Council.
53.	The Settlement Agreement talks about structures, not faces, and does not restrict size – how are those addressed?	DeOme	These items are addressed in the draft code. The revised regulations, if adopted, will be the controlling document for future rules regarding billboards; however, Clear Channel retains the option to pursue continued litigation.
54.	The Settlement Agreement will result in a net reduction of over 30,000 sf of signage in the city, aggressively removing about 42% of Clear Channel's assets.	Clear Channel Outdoor	If the exchange is carried out to its fullest extent (i.e., no remaining Clear Channel static billboards and only 36-38 digital billboards) these numbers are approximately correct. The immediate removal of 79 faces and 100 permits does represent about that proportion of assets.

	COMMENTS	SOURCE(S)	STAFF RESPONSE
55.	What do we do to guarantee there's not a proliferation of billboards?	Botsford	Limits are provided in the code. No new billboards are allowed – a certain number must be removed in order to install one in a new location. The overall goal of the proposed code amendment is to reduce the number of billboard faces in the city. If adopted and implemented, the proposed regulations would result in the elimination of 54 existing static faces and an additional 25 faces within 5 years. Ultimately, all 253 standard faces could be replaced with 38 or fewer digital faces, citywide.
<b>SIZE</b>			
56.	Digital billboards proposed are too big (should be limited to 300 square feet if allowed at all)	Adkins, Cruise, Parker, Jensen (2)*, Nelson, Schmidt, Winters*  Historic Tacoma, Central Neighborhood Council	Comment noted. The Planning Commission may choose to consider changes to the size allowances and address this item further in its findings and recommendation to the City Council.
57.	Were the council members aware the settlement agreement describes the first 10 billboards in 19 receiving areas as "bulletin" boards, which means they can be up to 600 square feet	DeOme	It is staff's understanding that the Council was made aware that the first ten signs would be the "bulletin" size (up to 672 square feet).
58.	The Settlement Agreement DOES NOT identify the size of the first ten 10 digital billboards. The references in Clear Channel's draft of the ordinance uses the term "bulletin" inconsistently.	Cruise  Central Neighborhood Council	Comment noted. The term "bulletin" is used inconsistently in the Settlement Agreement. Staff subsequently clarified with both Clear Channel Outdoor and legal counsel that the proposed size for the first ten is intended to be bulletin, or 672 square feet. The adopted code will be the controlling factor for the regulation of billboards, and therefore the code establishes the allowed size for digital billboards.
59.	There is NO definition of "bulletin" billboards in the TMC 13.06.520 on Signs identifying "bulletin" boards as 672 sq. ft. The only reference to "bulletin" billboards in the TMC is TMC 13.06.521(1) stating under the section on "Exempt Signs" that "Non-electric bulletin boards [shall] not exceed [ing] 12 square feet..." Obviously by Tacoma's own definition, a bulletin board can be as small as 12 square feet. This sounds to me like the City is accepting the unsubstantiated, inappropriate definition of a "bulletin" billboard offered by Clear Channel to the detriment of the citizens and residential neighborhoods of Tacoma.	Cruise	Comment noted. Staff agrees that the use of "bulletin" in the sign code to refer to a bulletin board (for posting of notices, etc.) or in the sense of "a brief notice" is confusing in light of the term "bulletin" being used in the discussion of digital billboards and size. It should be noted that "bulletin" is <i>not</i> used to discuss billboard size in the draft code. The adopted code will be the controlling factor for the regulation of billboards, and therefore the code establishes the allowed size for digital billboards. However, to avoid confusion, staff will put forth additional code changes to remove or clarify the use of that word in the code.

	COMMENTS	SOURCE(S)	STAFF RESPONSE
60.	Digital Billboards should have stricter size limits, lower height, location only on sides of buildings	Parker, Price North End Neighborhood Council, Northeast Tacoma Neighborhood Council	Comment noted. The Planning Commission may consider changes to these standards and address this item further in its findings and recommendation to the City Council.
61.	Revise the entire sign code to make ALL signage smaller and more contextual	Gray, Shaw, Stailey North End Neighborhood Council	Comment noted. Revisions to the entire sign code are beyond the scope of this project.
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL</b>			
62.	Did the council consider increased energy use issues with the digital billboards? How do digital billboards play into being a “green” city?	DeOme	Comment noted. Signs are not subject to the energy standards under the building code. Digital billboards generally use more energy than a standard floodlit billboard; however, LED technology is continuously improving to use less electricity. While different energy use figures have been cited, taking into account the proposed exchange program (where multiple existing billboards will be removed in exchange for each digital billboard), the overall change in energy usage by billboards may not be significant or could reflect an overall reduction.
63.	Energy use is too high and they cannot be recycled	Allard, Anderson*, Bjornson, Carrigan, Davis-Long, DeOme, Frederick, Gannett, Historic Tacoma, Lampman, Love, McDonald-Wright, Nichols, Oberfield, Rasmussen, Schmidt, Sukys, Tyvand, Walton, Winters, Erickson, Martin, McDonald-Wright, Nichols, Sawaya, Sukys, Tyvand, Weiss*, Winters* Historic Tacoma, Central Neighborhood Council	Comment noted. See response to Comment #62.
64.	The location surrounding South 72 <sup>nd</sup> and 74 <sup>th</sup> is an important flyway and habitat near Wapato Park – light pollution is bad enough already	Lawson	Comment noted.

	COMMENTS	SOURCE(S)	STAFF RESPONSE
65.	Digital Billboards create noise pollution with their cooling fans	Sautner, Jensen, Robinson, Rolfe, Walton, DeOme Central Neighborhood Council	Comment noted. Any use or development in the City is required to comply with the City's noise ordinance and would be subject to enforcement. Further, at least one major sign manufacturer does not use cooling fans for its signs (Daktronics, which Clear Channel says is a primary provider of its digital billboards).
66.	Over the past 4 years there has been a 79% decrease in energy use for digital billboards, with further reductions expected.	Clear Channel Outdoor	This is consistent with the information staff has received from one of the primary sign manufacturers (Daktronics).
67.	Current generation of digital sign faces are not cooled by fans.	Clear Channel Outdoor	This is consistent with the information staff has received from one of the primary sign manufacturers (Daktronics).
68.	Digital billboards eliminate the need for vinyl (not recyclable) and the traffic on the streets associated with changing vinyl billboard faces.	Clear Channel Outdoor	Comment noted.
69.	Manufacturers state that 90% of a digital sign is recyclable.	Clear Channel Outdoor	This is consistent with the information staff has received from one of the primary sign manufacturers (Daktronics).

**GENERAL COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS**

70.	Why do we have to do anything? Can't we just ban them? (like other cities, like Seattle)	Bjornson*, Davis-Long, Gray, Shaw, Jensen(2), Nelson, Perkins, Winters	Yes. Currently the City bans new billboards. The City does not have to allow digital billboards. As outlined in the Settlement Agreement, allowing digital billboards is seen as an opportunity to: 1) achieve resolution to a lawsuit; and 2) accelerate the removal of static billboards in the city.
71.	Digital billboards are bad for Tacoma's image – they negate good work done to promote economic growth	Adkins, Anderson, Barrie, Schain, Blilie, Faker, Flint, Halko, Heller*, Jensen*, Johanson*, Jones*, Love, Miller(2), Nelson, Nuismer, Paul, Paulson, Perkins, Porter, Ray, Schmidt, Stephens, Vaughn, Cruise South Tacoma Business District Association, South Tacoma Neighborhood Council, Central Neighborhood Council	Comment noted.

	COMMENTS	SOURCE(S)	STAFF RESPONSE
72.	This proposal goes against the Comprehensive Plan and current city law	Adkins, DeOme*, Menzies, Morford, Reid*, Winters Historic Tacoma, South Tacoma Business District Association, South Tacoma Neighborhood Council	<p>Comment noted. The staff report briefly addressed the applicable policies of the Comprehensive Plan and how they relate to the proposed code changes:</p> <p>The <i>Comprehensive Plan</i> discusses signage in the context of urban design, aesthetics, and pedestrian orientation in several sections of the <i>Plan</i>. In most cases it sets forth goals and policies for integrating signage plans into sub-area development plans, ensuring high quality signage, and encouraging pedestrian-scaled signs in mixed-use districts. Commercial district design goals are to integrate signage into the overall design and scale of the district, and ensuring that commercial district development does not act as a detriment to surrounding neighborhoods. The <i>Plan</i> states outright that billboards should be prohibited in the Shoreline districts and freestanding signs should be prohibited in the UCX-TD district (Tacoma Dome Urban Center Mixed-Use). Individual signs proposed for some of the special receiving areas (specifically, those proposed for location in the UCX-TD between “D” and “G” Streets along Puyallup Avenue) could be seen as in conflict with the stated goal of the <i>Comprehensive Plan</i> to not allow freestanding signs in these areas. In addition, to the extent that billboards are considered to be auto-oriented (that is, they are directed toward busy streets and the attention of motorists), it can also be argued that they are not appropriate for location in mixed-use districts generally. Six of the 19 Special Receiving Areas are located in mixed-use districts and one is located in a Downtown district. These proposed locations are along busy arterial streets with high volumes of vehicular traffic. See Exhibit B.</p> <p>Certain special receiving areas also are located within the required buffer distance from residential districts. Digital billboards placed in these locations may impact the residential area – depending on how the sign is designed and oriented.</p> <p>In the aggregate, however, the exchange program should result in fewer billboards overall (both digital and traditional) in the city, with fewer billboards located close to residential districts and fewer billboards in all districts – including mixed-use districts. While some areas may be impacted temporarily or permanently by additional billboards, overall the city will see a reduction.</p> <p>The proposed exchange program will result in the immediate removal of 54 standard billboard faces or about 12,300 square feet of signage. These billboards are located in nonconforming locations, in the shoreline district, mixed-used districts, and small neighborhood commercial areas.</p>



	COMMENTS	SOURCE(S)	STAFF RESPONSE
73.	Digital billboards are bad for property values and are a disincentive to development	Blilie, Boneske, Bjornson, Cruise, Freitas, Limerick, Lumsden, Mullen, Rash, Robinson, Sukys(2), Varnell, Varner South Tacoma Neighborhood Council, Central Neighborhood Council	Comment noted.
74.	What we have now is better than digital billboards would be	Barrie, Parker, Jensen, Rue, Spivey	Comment noted.
75.	Allowing digital billboards may result in lawsuits over safety and property devaluation	Cruise South Tacoma Neighborhood Council, Central Neighborhood Council	Comment noted. The City's legal counsel will be addressing legal issues and questions at the Commission's April 20 meeting.
76.	The Commission should not only listen to the public but look at their own personal situations /attitudes toward this proposal.	Tubig*	Comment noted.
77.	Clear Channel should reimburse owners for any property value loss	Gray, Shaw, Varnell	Comment noted.
78.	Hold off – pass a moratorium on digital billboards: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• till 2021</li> <li>• till FHWA Study comes out</li> <li>• for five years</li> </ul>	DeOme*, Denton, Jensen, Cruise, Halmo	Comment noted. The Planning Commission may choose to address this item further in its findings and recommendation to the City Council.
79.	Provide an attorney to help land owners get rid of leases	Adkins	Comment noted. Leases are private transactions and the City does not generally get involved in the negotiations regarding private leases. The City's legal counsel will be addressing legal issues and questions at the Commission's April 20 meeting.
80.	Start with a few digital billboards and then see if more should be allowed	Davis	Comment noted. The Planning Commission could choose to recommend the Council consider some type of pilot program.
81.	Add 54 removed billboards to the code	Cruise	Comment noted. Staff is drafting language to include in the code regarding the 54 specific billboards slated for removal in exchange for the first 10 digital billboards.

	COMMENTS	SOURCE(S)	STAFF RESPONSE
82.	The language about changes to nonconforming billboards should be deleted entirely. It's vague, ambiguous, and illogical, and capriciously perpetuates nonconforming signs by turning them into digital billboards. It is also inconsistent with federal and state regulations on nonconforming signs.	Cruise	Comment noted. Nonconforming language will remain in some form but staff is drafting language that revises and clarifies the statement.
83.	Please correct the math on the exchange rates.	DeOme	The exchange rates in the staff report are an attempt to lay out just one possible scenario of exchange under the proposed code. If 10 digital billboards are permitted immediately and no more are permitted within the first five years, the exchange rate (and eventual total number of billboards) would be different than if 10 were permitted immediately and then a rate of, say, 1 per year after. The results would also change whether a digital billboard replaced an <i>existing</i> billboard in a special receiving area or whether it was a <i>new</i> billboard in a special receiving area. In short, there are numerous ways the exchange rate after the first 10 billboards could work.  The billboard situation would, in all likelihood, be somewhere <b>between</b> "no digital billboards and 253 standard faces" and "no standard faces and 38 digital billboards".
84.	Provide photos of digital billboards	Hampton	See the video posted on the Planning Division website at: <a href="http://cms.cityoftacoma.org/Planning/Billboard%20Regulations/Digital%20Qvs%20Traditional%20Video.wmv">http://cms.cityoftacoma.org/Planning/Billboard%20Regulations/Digital%20Qvs%20Traditional%20Video.wmv</a>
85.	Verify whether or not the City benefits in ANY WAY from these, and how much	Jensen, Botsford	The City receives some B&O tax from businesses operating billboards and its portion of the personal property tax paid by billboard owners to Pierce County. Business license fees, utility taxes (for electrical use), and permit fees would also be collected. Sales tax does not apply.
86.	The City should get revenue/tax for allowing these	Caldwell, Corso, Lumsden, Riedener	Comment noted.
87.	Provide a cost/benefit analysis from Clear Channel – what they gain from the proposal	Rich	Comment noted. Studies exist which estimate the profitability of digital vs. standard billboards, but Clear Channel has not supplied this information.
88.	Address the issue of placing cell equipment on billboards	Stephens	Comment noted. Staff is drafting language regarding co-location to be considered for the draft code.
89.	Demonstrate that these do not cause interference with radio and home electronics.	Pederson	Comment noted. Staff is drafting language regarding electronic interference to be considered for the draft code.
90.	Are billboards allowed at 12 <sup>th</sup> & Union?	DeOme	No, nor will they be under the draft code.

	COMMENTS	SOURCE(S)	STAFF RESPONSE
91.	Why are illegal billboards allowed to remain?	DeOme	Billboards are considered legal non-conforming uses if they were built legally and with the proper permits (commonly referred to as “grandfathered” uses). They became non-conforming based upon subsequent changes in the city’s land use code. Nonconforming uses are generally allowed to remain – for example, this is why we still have some old corner stores in areas that have long since been designated as single-family neighborhoods. The 1997 billboard code included an “amortization” clause designed to eliminate all nonconforming billboards by 2007. That amortization clause was a key reason for Clear Channel’s lawsuit against the City, to which the settlement agreement is a response.
92.	There should enforceable timeline for the removal of (all) static faces	Parker, Lumsden, Price North Slope Historic District, North End Neighborhood Council	Comment noted. Any new amortization or removal program would need to be considered in light of current amortization code language, whether it’s feasible, whether it would necessitate compensation for removed signs, etc. Staff will be drafting language to clarify that any faces required to be removed in exchange for new billboards would be done so before the new billboard was erected. See response to Comment #91.
93.	Update the sign code to affirmatively prohibit digital billboards	Freitas, Alexander	Comment noted. If the Council ultimately elects to not allow digital billboards, staff will recommend a more obvious prohibition.
94.	Because of the cost/investment in digital billboards, removal will be difficult and expensive (e.g., in case of a public works project)	Central Neighborhood Council	Comment noted.
95.	The City should limit the effective period of permits for digital billboards to prevent costs if a billboard has to be removed. Also, there should be an agreement with Clear Channel to limit liability in the case of power loss or other reason the sign is turned off.	Central Neighborhood Council	Comment noted. The Planning Commission may choose to address this item further in its findings and recommendation to the City Council.

	COMMENTS	SOURCE(S)	STAFF RESPONSE
<b>GENERAL OPPOSITION</b>			
96.	<p>Generally opposed to the proposal</p> <p><b>Sources:</b>  <u>Neighborhood Council, Groups, Organizations:</u>            North Slope Historic District, Historic Tacoma, South Tacoma Business District Association, Community Council of Tacoma, North End Neighborhood Council, Northeast Tacoma Neighborhood Council</p> <p><u>Individuals:</u>            Adkins, Alexander, Allard, Allen, Amberly, Anderson, Anderson, Anderson, Atherton, Augustine, Ayer, Ayer, Babare, Bardwil, Barrie, Beardsley-Schoonmaker, Bishop, Bjornson, Blilie, Boardman, Boneske, Bonner, Botsford, Bozick, Braun, Bristow, Buffington, Cade, Camarata, Carleton, Carr, Carrigan, Casey, Clark, Coates, Coble, Collier, Cooke, Cooke, Cooper, Corso, Cruise, Curtis, Davie, Davis, Davis, Delight, Denton, DeOme, Dewes, DeWitt, Di Nino, Donohue, Eaves, Eberhardt, Elling, Entwistle, Erickson, Ferrari, Finnigan, Flint, Flynn, Frederick, Freitas, Gannett, Girvin, Gray, Haddon, Halko, Halmo, Hammer, Hampton, Hatter, Hawkins, Herron, Jacobs, Jacobson, Jeffery, Jensen, Jensen, Johnson, Kircher, Knudson, Koenig, Ladenburg, Lally, Lambert, Lampman, Langsted, Larsen, Lawson, Leslie, Lewis, Limerick, Love, Lumsden, Marks, Martin, Martin, McClintock, McDonald-Wright, McManus, Mellor, Menzies, Michael, Miller, Miller, Miller, Monroe, Mordhorst, Morford, Mullen, Murphy, Napolitano, Nash, Nash, Nelson, Neuberger, Nichols, Nilson, Noss, Nuismer, Oberfield, Oberfield, Oliver, Olsen, Olson, Osborn, Owens, Parker, Paul, Paulson, Pedersen, Perkins, Pinto, Porter, Price, Rash, Rasmussen, Rasmussen, Ray, Rich, Riedener, Riehs, Robbins-Ghormley, Robbins-Ghormley, Roberson, Robinson, Rolfe, Rose, Rosi, Rue, Ryan, Ryan, Sabo, Sautner, Sawaya, Sawaya, Schaaf, Schafer, Schain, Schlemmer, Schmidt, Shifty, Shoop, Sikora, Spivey, Stailey, Stephens, Strader, Strivens, Sukys, Sukys, Sullivan, Sutton, Swanson, Thacker, Thekat, Thurlow, Tubig, Tucker, Turner, Tyvand, Van Horn, Varnell, Varner, Vaughn, Vaughn, Walton, Weiss, Winters, Wolf</p> <p><u>Petitions:</u>            Petitions signed by a total of 103 people</p>		Opposition noted.
<b>SUPPORTIVE COMMENTS</b>			
97.	The exchange rate results in a smaller number of billboards	Caldwell	This is a correct statement, the way the code is currently drafted 54 faces would be removed in conjunction with the first 10 digital faces; an additional 25 would be removed within the first 5 years. Beyond the first 10, additional digital billboards could be erected in exchange for removing multiple existing static billboards.
98.	Digital billboards are already operating successfully in other cities, and in 43 states	Diamond Clear Channel Outdoor	Comment noted.

	COMMENTS	SOURCE(S)	STAFF RESPONSE
99.	Billboards provide income for property owners	Tran, Johnson, Larsen, Rosi, Dickson	Comment noted. The proposed regulations would encourage the removal of many of the existing billboards in exchange for allowing new digital billboards. Under that scenario, some property owners with existing billboards would likely lose their billboard(s) and any associated income while some property owners would get new billboards and any associated income.
100.	Clear Channel provides board membership from executives	Boys & Girls Club	Comment noted. It is unclear how allowing or not allowing digital billboards would affect whether individuals choose to serve such organizations.
101.	Digital billboards are cleaner and more contemporary than standard billboards	Jacobson, Caldwell, Clear Channel Outdoor	Comment noted.
102.	Clear Channel has a commitment to give back to community	Brain Injury Association of Washington, Communities in Schools, Graham Business Association, United Way of Pierce County, Proctor District Association, American Red Cross, Boys & Girls Club, Clear Channel Outdoor	Comment noted. It is important to note that these potential code changes are not designed for or only applicable to Clear Channel Outdoor.
103.	Digital billboards are a dynamic tool for local businesses to reach a large number of people, increase sales and revenue	Jacobson, WWEE, Communities in Schools, Diamond, Larsen, Clear Channel Outdoor	Comment noted.
104.	85% of the billboard space is purchased by local businesses, where the national average is 47%, indicating that Tacoma businesses rely on billboards more than other places.	Clear Channel Outdoor	Comment noted.
105.	In an economic downturn it does not make sense to take away an efficient, affordable advertising medium.	Clear Channel Outdoor	Comment noted.
106.	Digital billboards provide real-time public service information	Diamond, American Red Cross, Clear Channel Outdoor	Comment noted. Staff are currently working on additional code language to require digital billboard operators to have an agreement with emergency communication and public service provider(s).

	COMMENTS	SOURCE(S)	STAFF RESPONSE
107.	Digital billboards assist in raising awareness, getting volunteers, etc. for our organizations	Brain Injury Association of Washington, WWEE, Communities in Schools, United Way of Pierce County, American Heart Association, American Red Cross	Comment noted.
108.	Clear Channel provides discounted and pro-bono advertising	Brain Injury Association of Washington, Washington Women's Employment & Education (WWEE), Communities in Schools, Graham Business Association, United Way of Pierce County, Proctor District Association, American Heart Association, American Red Cross, Boys & Girls Club	Comment noted. As noted above, these potential code changes are not designed for or applicable only to Clear Channel Outdoor. The proposed regulations do not include any requirements for billboard operators to provide discounted services.
109.	Passing this ordinance indicates receptiveness to businesses	Jacobson	Comment noted.
110.	Clear Channel provides marketing and outreach assistance	WWEE, Communities in Schools, United Way of Pierce County, Proctor District Association	Comment noted. Also see the response to Comments #102 and #108.
111.	Digital billboards are more subtle than people think	Jacobson, Diamond	Comment noted.
112.	Over-regulation is detrimental to growth and prosperity	Jacobson	Comment noted.
113.	Clear Channel and advertising have increased support for a public event/festival	Graham Business Association, Proctor District Association, Boys & Girls Club	Comment noted.
114.	Clear Channel operates its signs legally – they have been present in the City for more than 85 years	Clear Channel Outdoor	Comment noted. Also see Comment #102.
115.	Signs were all legal when built and are legally non-conforming.	Clear Channel Outdoor	Comment noted. The proposed regulations recognize the existence of legally non-conforming billboards in the City.

## SOURCE KEY

### Oral Testimony on March 16, 2011

No.	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation	Address	City	State	Zip	E-mail
1.	Freitas	Kevin	FeedTacoma.com					
2.	Sukys	Britton						
3.	Adkins	Jon	Dome District					
4.	Cruise	Susan	Central Neighborhood Council					
5.	Winters	Sharon	Historic Tacoma					
6.	Schafer	Douglas	Central Neighborhood Council					
7.	Reid	Kendall						
8.	Hampton	Marshall						
9.	Tubig	Chris						
10.	Weiss	Stacey						
11.	Jensen	Jill						
12.	Jensen	Rob						
13.	DeOme	Tricia	Central Neighborhood Council					
14.	Menzies	Patricia						
15.	Johanson	Sandra						
16.	Atherton	Jason						
17.	Jacobs	Brian						
18.	Jensen	Audrey						
19.	Faker	Denny	Stadium District					
20.	Lawson	Kirsten						
21.	Dickson	William B.	Wm. Dickson Co.					
22.	Heller	Eric						
23.	Rasmussen	Joni						
24.	Anderson	R. R.	Cartoonist					
25.	Bjornson	Erik	North End Neighborhood					
26.	James	Rick	NTNC					
27.	LaPointe	Raquel						
28.	Paul	Bert						
29.	Hull	Louise						
30.	Walkup	Diane						
31.	Hankwitz	Victoria						
32.	McElhiney	Scott						
33.	Cooke	Edie						

### Written Comments received by March 25, 2011

No.	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation	Address	City	State	Zip	E-mail	Date
1.	Adkins	Jori		301 Puyallup Ave.	Tacoma		98421	<a href="mailto:joriadkins@mac.com">joriadkins@mac.com</a>	22-Mar
2.	Alexander	Morgan						<a href="mailto:motocafe@yahoo.com">motocafe@yahoo.com</a>	22-Mar
3.	Allard	Sarah						<a href="mailto:se.allard@gmail.com">se.allard@gmail.com</a>	16-Mar
4.	Allen	MacKenzie		1102 North M St.	Tacoma		95403	<a href="mailto:macallen@harbornet.com">macallen@harbornet.com</a>	17-Mar
5.	Anderson	Colleen						<a href="mailto:colleen-anderson@iacademy.org">colleen-anderson@iacademy.org</a>	16-Mar
6.	Anderson	Deborah						<a href="mailto:dja1950@hotmail.com">dja1950@hotmail.com</a>	24-Mar
7.	Anderson	R. R.	Cartoonist					<a href="mailto:andersor42@gmail.com">andersor42@gmail.com</a>	16-Mar
8.	Atherton	Jason		423 S G Street #R	Tacoma	WA	98405	<a href="mailto:jra721@gmail.com">jra721@gmail.com</a>	22-Mar
9.	Augustine	Stacy		4401 N 32nd Street	Tacoma			<a href="mailto:saugustine@harbornet.com">saugustine@harbornet.com</a>	13-Mar
10.	Ayer	Julian		514 N. I St.	Tacoma	WA	98403	<a href="mailto:julianayer@gmail.com">julianayer@gmail.com</a>	15-Mar
11.	Ayer	Stephanie Kennedy		514 N. I St.	Tacoma	WA	98403	<a href="mailto:stephanieayer@gmail.com">stephanieayer@gmail.com</a>	15-Mar
12.	Babare	Martin & Evelyn		6442 View Ridge Dr	Tacoma	WA	98407	<a href="mailto:mbabare@nventure.com">mbabare@nventure.com</a>	23-Mar
13.	Bardwil	Mark	North Slope Historic District					-	21-Feb
14.	Barrie	Christy						<a href="mailto:CBARRIE@Tacoma.K12.Wa.US">CBARRIE@Tacoma.K12.Wa.U S</a>	22-Mar
15.	Beardsley-Schoonmaker	Courtney	Trinity Presbyterian Church Intern for Youth Devpt.	1615 6th Ave.	Tacoma	WA	98405	<a href="mailto:courtneyb@tpctacoma.org">courtneyb@tpctacoma.org</a>	16-Mar
16.	Bishop	Nancy						<a href="mailto:bishopnan@gmail.com">bishopnan@gmail.com</a>	18-Mar
17.	Bjornson	Erik	Law Office of Erik Bjornson					-	22-Mar
18.	Billie	Amanda						<a href="mailto:ABillie@Bentallkenedy.com">ABillie@Bentallkenedy.com</a>	25-Mar
19.	Boardman	Jim						<a href="mailto:jboardman@witt-company.com">jboardman@witt-company.com</a>	14-Jan
20.	Boneske	Doug						<a href="mailto:DougBoneske@g.com">DougBoneske@g.com</a>	16-Mar
21.	Bonner	Stanley		1702 6th Ave				-	15-Mar
22.	Botsford	Adam		814 South 72nd Street	Tacoma	WA		<a href="mailto:adambotsford@hotmail.com">adambotsford@hotmail.com</a>	16-Mar
23.	Bozick	Vincent	Joint Base Lewis-McChord					<a href="mailto:rose.scheffler@us.army.mil">rose.scheffler@us.army.mil</a>	21-Mar
24.	Braun	Kelly						<a href="mailto:kelly.myles.braun@gmail.com">kelly.myles.braun@gmail.com</a>	25-Mar
25.	Bristow	Pete	South Tacoma Business District Association					-	23-Mar
26.	Buffington	Ross & Julie		502 S. Sheridan Ave.	Tacoma	WA	98405	<a href="mailto:rossbuffington@mac.com">rossbuffington@mac.com</a>	20-Mar
27.	Cade	Deborah		908 North M Street	Tacoma	WA	98403	<a href="mailto:dlcade@comcast.net">dlcade@comcast.net</a>	25-Mar
28.	Caldwell	Tony	SENCo					<a href="mailto:caldwellae@hotmail.com">caldwellae@hotmail.com</a>	16-Jan
29.	Camarata	Justin	North End Neighborhood Council					<a href="mailto:justincamarata@gmail.com">justincamarata@gmail.com</a>	23-Mar
30.	Carleton	Naomi						<a href="mailto:ensie1@gmail.com">ensie1@gmail.com</a>	15-Mar
31.	Carr	Maile		412 South M Street	Tacoma	WA	98405	<a href="mailto:smileslau@hotmail.com">smileslau@hotmail.com</a>	15-Mar
32.	Carrigan	Drew						<a href="mailto:drew.carrigan@gmail.com">drew.carrigan@gmail.com</a>	16-Mar
33.	Casey	Robert	(& Tracie Thacker)	1617 Division Ave #9	Tacoma	WA	98403	<a href="mailto:traciet@harbornet.com">traciet@harbornet.com</a>	14-Mar
34.	Cech	Anita	Communities In Schools of Washington	1010 South 336th Street, #205	Federal Way	WA	98003	<a href="mailto:Anita@ciswa.org">Anita@ciswa.org</a>	22-Mar
35.	Clark	Aya		523 N. C Street. #2	Tacoma		98403	<a href="mailto:ayaclark@comcast.net">ayaclark@comcast.net</a>	16-Mar
36.	Coates	Brooke		17 North Rd. N	Tacoma	WA	98406	<a href="mailto:brookecoates2@gmail.com">brookecoates2@gmail.com</a>	15-Mar
37.	Coble	Kenneth						<a href="mailto:kennethcoble@gmail.com">kennethcoble@gmail.com</a>	16-Mar
38.	Collier	Josh						<a href="mailto:joshcollier@gmail.com">joshcollier@gmail.com</a>	21-Mar



No.	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation	Address	City	State	Zip	E-mail	Date
39.	Cooke	Edie		2109 North Union Avenue	Tacoma	WA	98406	<a href="mailto:edie.cooke@hotmail.com">edie.cooke@hotmail.com</a>	17-Mar
40.	Cooke	Richard		2109 North Union Ave	Tacoma	WA	98406	<a href="mailto:apclam@nventure.com">apclam@nventure.com</a>	16-Mar
41.	Cooper	Doug & Char		1520 South 5th Street	Tacoma	WA	98405	<a href="mailto:coopersz@comcast.net">coopersz@comcast.net</a>	16-Mar
42.	Corso	Geoff		701 N. J St.				<a href="mailto:corso1965@live.com">corso1965@live.com</a>	17-Mar
43.	Crawley	Deborah	Brain Injury Association of Washington	PO Box 3044	Seattle	WA	98114	<a href="mailto:deborahc@braininjurywa.org">deborahc@braininjurywa.org</a>	16-Mar
44.	Cruise	Susan		615 S. Madison St.	Tacoma	WA	98405	<a href="mailto:scruise250@msn.com">scruise250@msn.com</a>	11-Mar
45.	Curtis	Debra						<a href="mailto:micadeki@comcast.net">micadeki@comcast.net</a>	22-Mar
46.	Davie	Fred		4102 N. Ferdinand St.	Tacoma	WA	98407	<a href="mailto:backstagevideotacoma@yahoo.com">backstagevideotacoma@yahoo.com</a>	16-Mar
47.	Davis	Bonnie			Tacoma			-	3-Mar
48.	Davis	Felice		3008 N. Narrows Dr. E101	Tacoma	WA	98407	<a href="mailto:fdavis1944@gmail.com">fdavis1944@gmail.com</a>	15-Mar
49.	Delight	Anita Joy		2214 N. Stevens Street	Tacoma	WA	98406	<a href="mailto:ajoy@harboret.com">ajoy@harboret.com</a>	15-Mar
50.	Denton	Jon						<a href="mailto:moment_00@yahoo.com">moment_00@yahoo.com</a>	15-Mar
51.	DeOme	Tricia	Central Neighborhood Council					<a href="mailto:chair@cnc-tacoma.com">chair@cnc-tacoma.com</a>	1-Mar
52.	DeOme (3)	Tricia	(& Douglas Schafer)					-	25-Mar
53.	Dewes	Amberly						<a href="mailto:iamdewes@yahoo.com">iamdewes@yahoo.com</a>	24-Mar
54.	DeWitt	Suzie & Scott						<a href="mailto:suziedewitt@nwdusa.com">suziedewitt@nwdusa.com</a>	3-Feb
55.	Di Nino	Lynn		2313 N 29th St	Tacoma	WA		<a href="mailto:lynndin@msn.com">lynndin@msn.com</a>	27-Mar
56.	Diamond	Jon	Diamond Parking					-	21-Mar
57.	Donohue	Rick						<a href="mailto:planeman01@hotmail.com">planeman01@hotmail.com</a>	23-Mar
58.	Eaves	Michaela						<a href="mailto:michaelaeaves@gmail.com">michaelaeaves@gmail.com</a>	16-Mar
59.	Eberhardt	Ginny	Community Council of Tacoma					-	24-Mar
60.	Elling	Kari Ann		921 S. Ridgewood Ave	Tacoma	WA	98405	<a href="mailto:kariann713@yahoo.com">kariann713@yahoo.com</a>	15-Mar
61.	Entwistle	Zach		7519 E F St	Tacoma	WA	98404	<a href="mailto:zach@zachentwistle.com">zach@zachentwistle.com</a>	16-Mar
62.	Erickson	Sara		315 N L Street	Tacoma	WA	98403	<a href="mailto:315NLSStreetTacoma98403">315 N L Street Tacoma 98403</a>	18-Mar
63.	Finnigan	Katherine						<a href="mailto:kdf@nventure.com">kdf@nventure.com</a>	23-Mar
64.	Flint	Bryan		508 E Harrison St.	Tacoma	WA	98404	<a href="mailto:bryanflnt@gmail.com">bryanflnt@gmail.com</a>	25-Mar
65.	Flynn	Christie						<a href="mailto:ittyjo@yahoo.com">ittyjo@yahoo.com</a>	17-Mar
66.	Frederick	Richard		1563 Seashore Dr.	Tacoma	WA	98465	<a href="mailto:alphacentauri@harboret.com">alphacentauri@harboret.com</a>	17-Mar
67.	Freitas	Kevin		3142 N. Cheyenne St.	Tacoma	WA	98407	<a href="mailto:kevin@kevinfreitas.net">kevin@kevinfreitas.net</a>	16-Mar
68.	Gannett	Mark						<a href="mailto:mgannett@vigliaw.com">mgannett@vigliaw.com</a>	23-Mar
69.	Girvin	Robert		906 N. Stadium Way	Tacoma	WA	98403	<a href="mailto:rgirvin@harboret.com">rgirvin@harboret.com</a>	15-Mar
70.	Gray	Colleen	(& Stan Shaw)					<a href="mailto:anythingcreative@harboret.com">anythingcreative@harboret.com</a>	16-Mar
71.	Haddon	Ellie							17-Mar
72.	Halmo	Jim						<a href="mailto:jimh1890@hotmail.com">jimh1890@hotmail.com</a>	16-Mar
73.	Hammer	Bruce		5816 South A Street	Tacoma	WA	98408	<a href="mailto:turtleboy1@thewiredcity.net">turtleboy1@thewiredcity.net</a>	25-Mar
74.	Hampton	Marshall						<a href="mailto:mhampton@wamail.net">mhampton@wamail.net</a>	18-Jan
75.	Hatter	Beverly						<a href="mailto:bevhatler@harboret.com">bevhatler@harboret.com</a>	15-Mar
76.	Hawkins	Anne	Jason Lee Middle School					<a href="mailto:AHAWK11@Tacoma.K12.Wa.US">AHAWK11@Tacoma.K12.Wa.U S</a>	16-Mar
77.	Haynie	David	Graham Business Association	PO Box 163	Graham	WA	98338	<a href="mailto:peg2@mashell.com">peg2@mashell.com</a>	15-Mar

No.	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation	Address	City	State	Zip	E-mail	Date
78.	Herron	Anna		618 S. Sheridan Avenue	Tacoma	WA		<a href="mailto:aherron@northwestleadership.org">aherron@northwestleadership.org</a>	18-Mar
79.	Hilderbrand	Kari	United Way of Pierce County					-	15-Mar
80.	Jacobs	Brian						<a href="mailto:bljacobs@harboret.com">bljacobs@harboret.com</a>	16-Mar
81.	Jacobson	Kurt		4553 Kennedy Rd NE	Tacoma	WA	98422	<a href="mailto:kurtgjacobson@gmail.com">kurtgjacobson@gmail.com</a>	21-Mar
82.	Jeffery	Karen		424 East Wright Ave.	Tacoma	WA		<a href="mailto:mcgintey@comcast.net">mcgintey@comcast.net</a>	22-Mar
83.	Jensen	Jill		3002 N. 13th St.	Tacoma	WA		<a href="mailto:jillandrob@gmail.com">jillandrob@gmail.com</a>	25-Mar
84.	Jensen	Robert		3002 N 13th	Tacoma	WA		<a href="mailto:robert.w.jensen@boeing.com">robert.w.jensen@boeing.com</a>	24-Mar
85.	Johnson	Jere						-	19-Mar
86.	Kerslake	Shelley	Kenyon Disend, PLLC					<a href="mailto:smkdd@comcast.net">smkdd@comcast.net</a>	7-Mar
87.	Kester	Eugene	Proctor District Association					-	14-Mar
88.	Kircher	Bob						<a href="mailto:bobkircher@comcast.net">bobkircher@comcast.net</a>	12-Feb
89.	Knudson	Gary	Gary Knudson & Associates	3307 N 25th Street	Tacoma	WA	98406	<a href="mailto:gknudson@harboret.com">gknudson@harboret.com</a>	7-Mar
90.	Koenig	Jennifer	(along with Joe, Aidan, Charlie, and Zachary)					<a href="mailto:koenig3722@comcast.net">koenig3722@comcast.net</a>	16-Mar
91.	Ladenburg	John	Ladenburg Law, PLLC	1019 Pacific Avenue, Suite 1116	Tacoma	WA	98402	<a href="mailto:john@ladenburg.org">john@ladenburg.org</a>	15-Mar
92.	Lally	Beth						<a href="mailto:blally253@gmail.com">blally253@gmail.com</a>	16-Mar
93.	Lambert	Annie		4617 S. 12th Street Ct.	Tacoma	WA	98405	<a href="mailto:anniecorson@gmail.com">anniecorson@gmail.com</a>	18-Mar
94.	Lampman	Carmen	Century Insurance Services LLC.	3208 50th St Ct NW Ste C104	Gig Harbor	WA	98335	<a href="mailto:Carmenl@CenturyInsurancesvc.com">Carmenl@CenturyInsurancesvc.com</a>	17-Mar
95.	Langsted	Fred	(& Tracie Ferrari, Tracie)	3621 North Stevens Street	Tacoma	WA	98407	<a href="mailto:Atomic215DH@hotmail.com">Atomic215DH@hotmail.com</a>	16-Mar
96.	Larsen	Darrel	King County Deputy Assessor					-	20-Mar
97.	Lawson	Kirsten Marie						-	23-Mar
98.	Leslie	Najeea	Forms of Grace, Yoga & Healing Arts					<a href="mailto:najeea@iname.com">najeea@iname.com</a>	15-Mar
99.	Lewis	Mary						-	24-Mar
100.	Limerick	James						-	17-Mar
101.	Lippens	Olivia	Clear Channel Outdoor Seattle	PresidentClear Channel Outdoor Seattle				<a href="mailto:oliviavoigtlippens@clearchannel.com">oliviavoigtlippens@clearchannel.com</a>	16-Mar
102.	Love	Courtney						-	25-Mar
103.	Lumsden	Terry		3517 6th Ave #200	Tacoma	WA	98406	<a href="mailto:TELumsden@aol.com">TELumsden@aol.com</a>	22-Mar
104.	Marks	Jena						<a href="mailto:Paintmarks1@yahoo.com">Paintmarks1@yahoo.com</a>	16-Mar
105.	Martin	Adam						<a href="mailto:icecreamdoesitwell@gmail.com">icecreamdoesitwell@gmail.com</a>	16-Mar
106.	Martin	Judith		515 North M Street	Tacoma	WA	98403	<a href="mailto:judithkmartin@aol.com">judithkmartin@aol.com</a>	19-Mar
107.	Mason	Brent	Washington Women's Employment & Education	3516 South 47th Street, Suite 205	Tacoma	WA	98409	<a href="mailto:brentm@WVEE.org">brentm@WVEE.org</a>	14-Mar
108.	Mayes	Michael	Clear Channel Outdoor	3601 6th Avenue S	Seattle	WA	98134	<a href="mailto:MichaelMayes@clearchannel.com">MichaelMayes@clearchannel.com</a>	25-Mar
109.	McClintock	Marshall	Landmarks Preservation Commission	701 North J Street	Tacoma	WA	98403	<a href="mailto:marshalm@q.com">marshalm@q.com</a>	5-Mar
110.	McDonald-Wright	Susan						<a href="mailto:samw1225@harboret.com">samw1225@harboret.com</a>	15-Mar
111.	McManus	Charlie	Primo Grill					<a href="mailto:pgrill@qwestoffice.net">pgrill@qwestoffice.net</a>	24-Mar
112.	Mellor	Nancy						<a href="mailto:nancy_mellor@wamail.net">nancy_mellor@wamail.net</a>	

No.	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation	Address	City	State	Zip	E-mail	Date
113.	Menzies	Patricia		615 S Grant Ave.	Tacoma	WA	98405	<a href="mailto:pmenzies48@gmail.com">pmenzies48@gmail.com</a>	16-Mar
114.	Miller	Chris						<a href="mailto:martindelmar@gmail.com">martindelmar@gmail.com</a>	25-Mar
115.	Miller	John		648 North Sprague	Tacoma	WA	98403		15-Mar
116.	Miller	Katie						<a href="mailto:katiemiller@gmail.com">katiemiller@gmail.com</a>	16-Mar
117.	Minas	Francesca	American Heart Association	710 2nd Ave. Ste. 900	Seattle	WA	98104	<a href="mailto:Francesca.Minas@heart.org">Francesca.Minas@heart.org</a>	23-Mar
118.	Monroe	Tad & Melissa		1617 Division Avenue #11	Tacoma	WA		<a href="mailto:tmonroe@urbangracetacoma.org">tmonroe@urbangracetacoma.org</a>	
119.	Montgomery	Dennis	Communities In Schools of Washington					-	7/28/2010
120.	Mordhorst	Andrew						<a href="mailto:amartist@harbornet.com">amartist@harbornet.com</a>	24-Feb
121.	Morford	M.						<a href="mailto:mmorf@mail.com">mmorf@mail.com</a>	22-Mar
122.	Mullen	Bob & Janet		3005 S. 7th	Tacoma	WA	98405	<a href="mailto:mullens1@juno.com">mullens1@juno.com</a>	13-Mar
123.	Murphy	Robyn						<a href="mailto:theimbibery@gmail.com">theimbibery@gmail.com</a>	16-Mar
124.	Napolitano	Lew						<a href="mailto:NAPOLL@dshs.wa.gov">NAPOLL@dshs.wa.gov</a>	15-Jan
125.	Nash	Mindy		1522 Earnest S. Brazill St.	Tacoma	WA	98405	<a href="mailto:mindynash@gmail.com">mindynash@gmail.com</a>	16-Mar
126.	Nash	Rod						<a href="mailto:rod.g.nash@gmail.com">rod.g.nash@gmail.com</a>	18-Mar
127.	Nelson	Jodi						<a href="mailto:Jodi.Nelson1@comcast.net">Jodi.Nelson1@comcast.net</a>	25-Mar
128.	Neuberger	Tim						<a href="mailto:timneuberger@hotmail.com">timneuberger@hotmail.com</a>	15-Mar
129.	Nichols	Laure						<a href="mailto:johnnichols@harbornet.com">johnnichols@harbornet.com</a>	16-Mar
130.	Nilson	Cary						<a href="mailto:carynilson@vzw.blackberry.net">carynilson@vzw.blackberry.net</a>	16-Mar
131.	Noss	Joy		1201 12th Ave. S.W.	Puyallup	WA	98371	<a href="mailto:pjmst@g.com">pjmst@g.com</a>	24-Mar
132.	Nuismer	JT	Gray Gables Homeowners Association	1617 Division Ave. #2	Tacoma	WA		<a href="mailto:jtnuismer@harbornet.com">jtnuismer@harbornet.com</a>	25-Mar
133.	Oberfield	Matthew		916 N. Grant Ave.	Tacoma	WA	98403	<a href="mailto:mx2oberfield@harbornet.com">mx2oberfield@harbornet.com</a>	16-Mar
134.	Oberfield	Megan						<a href="mailto:mx2oberfield@harbornet.com">mx2oberfield@harbornet.com</a>	15-Mar
135.	Oliver	John & Judy		1417 Division Ave.	Tacoma	WA		<a href="mailto:oliver_001@msn.com">oliver_001@msn.com</a>	16-Mar
136.	Olsen	Debra		8222 S Park Ave	Tacoma	WA		<a href="mailto:Deb@luth.org">Deb@luth.org</a>	16-Mar
137.	Olson	Don		3510 South 7th Street	Tacoma	WA	98405	<a href="mailto:d.olsonjr@comcast.net">d.olsonjr@comcast.net</a>	17-Mar
138.	Osborn	Robert		2504 S M St	Tacoma	WA	98405	<a href="mailto:osborn1970@yahoo.com">osborn1970@yahoo.com</a>	18-Mar
139.	Owens	Jayson						<a href="mailto:jaysondownens@gmail.com">jaysondownens@gmail.com</a>	22-Mar
140.	Parker	Kevin		1215 South 14th Street	Tacoma	WA	98405	<a href="mailto:v-kep@microsoft.com">v-kep@microsoft.com</a>	21-Mar
141.	Paul	Brendan						<a href="mailto:brendanpatrickpaul@gmail.com">brendanpatrickpaul@gmail.com</a>	24-Mar
142.	Paulson	Jamie		809 S Pine St	Tacoma	WA		<a href="mailto:jamie@thriceallamerican.com">jamie@thriceallamerican.com</a>	16-Mar
143.	Pedersen	Ray						<a href="mailto:hagar512@comcast.net">hagar512@comcast.net</a>	19-Jan
144.	Perkins	Sally		1419 S. Sheridan	Tacoma	WA	98405	<a href="mailto:sally@practicalsolutionstacoma.com">sally@practicalsolutionstacoma.com</a>	16-Mar
145.	Petitions		(103 signatures total)						25-Mar
146.	Pinto	Mark		3419 N. 27th St.	Tacoma	WA	98407	<a href="mailto:markpinto@ymail.com">markpinto@ymail.com</a>	6-Mar
147.	Porter	Kaaren						<a href="mailto:porkat@comcast.net">porkat@comcast.net</a>	16-Mar
148.	Price	Kyle	North End Neighborhood Council	1112 North 5 <sup>th</sup>	Tacoma	WA		<a href="mailto:Kyle_Price@aw.org">Kyle_Price@aw.org</a>	2-Feb
149.	Rash	Jordan		3826 N 7th Street	Tacoma	WA	98406	<a href="mailto:rash.jordan@gmail.com">rash.jordan@gmail.com</a>	16-Mar
150.	Rasmussen	Joanna	Whitman Elementary					<a href="mailto:JRASMU1@Tacoma.K12.Wa.US">JRASMU1@Tacoma.K12.Wa.U S</a>	23-Mar
151.	Rasmussen	Joel		520 North Ainsworth Ave.	Tacoma	WA	98403	<a href="mailto:Joel.Rasmussen@Insengineers.com">Joel.Rasmussen@Insengineers.com</a>	24-Mar
152.	Ray	Erika						<a href="mailto:erikaray7584@gmail.com">erikaray7584@gmail.com</a>	24-Mar

No.	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation	Address	City	State	Zip	E-mail	Date
153.	Rich	Jim	Guardian Security					<a href="mailto:jhrich@gwestoffice.net">jhrich@gwestoffice.net</a>	4-Mar
154.	Riedener	Claudia	Ixia Tile Tacoma	1004 S. Steele	Tacoma	WA	98405	<a href="mailto:ixia@harbornet.com">ixia@harbornet.com</a>	25-Mar
155.	Riehs	Abbey						<a href="mailto:brianandabbey@gmail.com">brianandabbey@gmail.com</a>	17-Mar
156.	Robbins-Ghormley	Amber		3309 N. 8th St.	Tacoma	WA	98406	<a href="mailto:am_robbins@yahoo.com">am_robbins@yahoo.com</a>	15-Mar
157.	Robbins-Ghormley	Matt	Trinity Leadership and Congregation					<a href="mailto:matt@tpctacoma.org">matt@tpctacoma.org</a>	16-Mar
158.	Roberson	Fred	Roberson Building Company	1944 Pacific Ave., Suite 210	Tacoma	WA	98402-3121	<a href="mailto:paula@officesandlofts.com">paula@officesandlofts.com</a>	21-Mar
159.	Robinson	Joanna						<a href="mailto:jorobin@wamail.net">jorobin@wamail.net</a>	16-Mar
160.	Rolfe	Linda		3623 North Proctor	Tacoma	WA	98407	<a href="mailto:larolfe1@comcast.net">larolfe1@comcast.net</a>	15-Mar
161.	Rose	Jacob	Pacific Grill					<a href="mailto:jacobrosere@gmail.com">jacobrosere@gmail.com</a>	16-Mar
162.	Rosi	Ron						-	22-Mar
163.	Rue	Kirk		317 North 4th	Tacoma	WA	98403	<a href="mailto:barkplace@harbornet.com">barkplace@harbornet.com</a>	23-Mar
164.	Ryan	Jeffrey	Ryan Architecture	3017 North 13th St.	Tacoma	WA	98406	<a href="mailto:jiryan@harbornet.com">jiryan@harbornet.com</a>	17-Mar
165.	Ryan	Susan						<a href="mailto:SRYAN1@rainierconnect.com">SRYAN1@rainierconnect.com</a>	17-Mar
166.	Sabo	Marilynn						<a href="mailto:gowanraig@yahoo.com">gowanraig@yahoo.com</a>	14-Mar
167.	Sautner	Helen						<a href="mailto:gems3125@gmail.com">gems3125@gmail.com</a>	16-Mar
168.	Sawaya	Melanie		1506 South 5th Street	Tacoma	WA	98405	<a href="mailto:msawaya18@gmail.com">msawaya18@gmail.com</a>	2-Mar
169.	Sawaya	William		1506 South 5th Street	Tacoma	WA	98405	<a href="mailto:wesawaya@yahoo.com">wesawaya@yahoo.com</a>	21-Mar
170.	Schaaf	John & Linda						<a href="mailto:spunkertwo@comcast.net">spunkertwo@comcast.net</a>	12-Mar
171.	Schafer	Douglas						<a href="mailto:schafer@pobox.com">schafer@pobox.com</a>	3/1/2011  3/18/2011
172.	Schain	Steve		420 N Stadium Way	Tacoma	WA	98403	<a href="mailto:steveschain@harbornet.com">steveschain@harbornet.com</a>	15-Mar
173.	Schlemmer	Jennevieve						<a href="mailto:jps@jennevieve.com">jps@jennevieve.com</a>	25-Mar
174.	Schmidt	Ken						<a href="mailto:khschmidt55@yahoo.com">khschmidt55@yahoo.com</a>	16-Mar
175.	Schoo	Stephanie	American Red Cross					<a href="mailto:llana.Kalmbach@seattlredcross.org">llana.Kalmbach@seattlredcross.org</a>	16-Mar
176.	Shifty	Citykitty						<a href="mailto:shifty@meowmail.com">shifty@meowmail.com</a>	16-Mar
177.	Shoop	Harlan		1111 S. Ridgewood	Tacoma		98405	<a href="mailto:harlanshoop@comcast.net">harlanshoop@comcast.net</a>	18-Mar
178.	Sikora	John		4519 North Frace	Tacoma			-	17-Mar
179.	Spivey	Mike		620 N. Adams St.	Tacoma		98406	<a href="mailto:mike.z.spivey@gmail.com">mike.z.spivey@gmail.com</a>	15-Mar
180.	Stailey	Heather						<a href="mailto:hastailey@aol.com">hastailey@aol.com</a>	24-Mar
181.	Starnes	Mark	Boys & Girls Clubs					-	15-Mar
182.	Stephens	Heidi						<a href="mailto:heidigs@hotmail.com">heidigs@hotmail.com</a>	22-Mar
183.	Strader	Virginia		654 North Sprague.	Tacoma	WA		-	15-Mar
184.	Strivens	Karla		7623 East F St.	Tacoma	WA		<a href="mailto:office@tpctacoma.org">office@tpctacoma.org</a>	16-Mar
185.	Sukys	Britton		1617 Division Ave #12	Tacoma	WA	98403	<a href="mailto:sukys@comcast.net">sukys@comcast.net</a>	10-Mar
186.	Sukys	Glenn	Keys Investigations	P.O. Box 8445	Tacoma	WA	98409	<a href="mailto:gsukys@q.com">gsukys@q.com</a>	11-Mar
187.	Sullivan	Grace						<a href="mailto:gracehope@gmail.com">gracehope@gmail.com</a>	16-Mar
188.	Sutton	Beth						<a href="mailto:basuttonrt@hotmail.com">basuttonrt@hotmail.com</a>	16-Mar
189.	Swanson	Rob						<a href="mailto:dr.robswanson@gmail.com">dr.robswanson@gmail.com</a>	15-Mar
190.	Thekat	Gritz						<a href="mailto:gritz@meowmail.com">gritz@meowmail.com</a>	16-Mar
191.	Thurlow	John	Northeast Tacoma Neighborhood Council					-	21-Mar

No.	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation	Address	City	State	Zip	E-mail	Date
192.	Tran	Hung	Hung Tran Auto Repair					<a href="mailto:hungauto08@yahoo.com">hungauto08@yahoo.com</a>	18-Mar
193.	Tubig	Chris						<a href="mailto:chris.tubig@gmail.com">chris.tubig@gmail.com</a>	16-Mar
194.	Tucker	Joan						<a href="mailto:Joan.Tucker@multicare.org">Joan.Tucker@multicare.org</a>	24-Mar
195.	Turley	Bob	Diamond Parking					<a href="mailto:Bob.Turley@DiamondParking.com">Bob.Turley@DiamondParking.com</a>	21-Mar
196.	Turner	Julie		817 North J. St.	Tacoma	WA	98403	<a href="mailto:juliejayturner@gmail.com">juliejayturner@gmail.com</a>	14-Mar
197.	Tyvand	Patsy		3804 No 33rd Street	Tacoma	WA		<a href="mailto:patsy.tyvand@expeditors.com">patsy.tyvand@expeditors.com</a>	24-Mar
198.	Van Horn	Shirley						<a href="mailto:shirleyvanhorn@net-venture.com">shirleyvanhorn@net-venture.com</a>	24-Mar
199.	Varnell	Joann	Jason Lee Middle School					<a href="mailto:JVARNEL@Tacoma.K12.Wa.US">JVARNEL@Tacoma.K12.Wa.US</a>	16-Mar
200.	Varner	Paula		3315 North 27th St	Tacoma	WA	98407	<a href="mailto:cetaceous_1@yahoo.com">cetaceous_1@yahoo.com</a>	16-Mar
201.	Vaughn	Laura						<a href="mailto:lauravaughn@harboret.com">lauravaughn@harboret.com</a>	16-Mar
202.	Vaughn	Skip	South Tacoma Neighborhood Council					<a href="mailto:skipvaughn@harboret.com">skipvaughn@harboret.com</a>	16-Mar
203.	Walton	Jackie						<a href="mailto:dancingjackaroo@gmail.com">dancingjackaroo@gmail.com</a>	20-Mar
204.	Weiss	Stacey		1214 N Junett St	Tacoma	WA	98406	<a href="mailto:sweiss@pugetsound.edu">sweiss@pugetsound.edu</a>	16-Mar
205.	Winters	Sharon	Historic Tacoma					<a href="mailto:swinters@nventure.com">swinters@nventure.com</a>	8-Mar
206.	Wolfe	Braden		4211 N. 14th St.	Tacoma	WA	98406	<a href="mailto:abw@harboret.com">abw@harboret.com</a>	22-Mar