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Planning Commission Recommendations
November 4, 2020

The Honorable Mayor and City Council  
City of Tacoma  
747 Market Street, Suite 1200  
Tacoma, WA 98402

RE: 2020 Annual Amendment to the Comprehensive Plan and Land Use Regulatory Code

Honorable Mayor Woodards and Members of the City Council,

On behalf of the Tacoma Planning Commission, I am forwarding our recommendations on the 2020 Annual Amendment to the One Tacoma Comprehensive Plan and the Land Use Regulatory Code (“2020 Amendment”), which includes the following three applications (or subjects):

1. Heidelberg-Davis Site – Land Use Designation Change (recommended for adoption)  
2. View Sensitive Overlay District – Height Limit Change (not recommended for adoption)  
3. Minor Plan and Code Amendments (recommended for adoption)

Enclosed please find the “Planning Commission’s Findings of Fact and Recommendations Report for the 2020 Amendment, November 4, 2020” that summarizes the proposed amendments, the public review and community engagement process, and the Planning Commission’s deliberations and decision-making.

The amendment application process remains a critical path for community members, organizations, or other public agencies to propose a change to the City’s policies and regulations, and to ensure fair consideration of diverse community interests. We are proud to report that despite the COVID-19 pandemic and its impacts on the daily life of all Tacomans, we have successfully completed the review of two significant community proposals, along with a City-initiated application. We want to share our thanks to the many Tacoma residents and stakeholders who have been actively engaged in the review process and provided invaluable feedback and advice.

The 2020 Amendment package may be relatively limited in scope, but some of the issues contained therein are nevertheless challenging and impactful. We did not formulate our recommendations without going through arduous debates and deliberations among the Commissioners. The public input also highlighted emerging issues which go beyond our current scope of work.

**Heidelberg-Davis Site – Land Use Designation Change**

With respect to the “Heidelberg-Davis Site – Land Use Designation Change” application, the Commission broadly concluded that this site is an appropriate location for the Major Institutional Campus designation, and that the proposal is consistent with policies in the One Tacoma Plan as well as the adjacent land use designations. In the long-term, the site could support a greater mix of employment, health or educational services, as well as high intensity recreational use, such as the proposed soccer stadium, in conjunction with future high capacity transit along the corridor. The Commission recommends approval of the proposed amendment.

The majority of comments that we received expressed concerns stemming from the specific project proposal and uncertainty over the specific project level implementation and impacts. While the proposed amendment is a non-project action, staff conducted a high-level environmental review to respond to community concerns. Given the community interest, potential impacts, and overall significance of this site and potential project, we recommend that the City consider future amendments to zoning and permit procedures for the Major Institutional Campus designation to support future site master planning. The development of a master plan would enable a more comprehensive project review, providing greater predictability and long-term certainty for both the project applicant and community.
**View Sensitive Overlay District – Height Limit Change**

With respect to the “View Sensitive Overlay District – Height Limit Change” application, the Commission determined that the proposal primarily affects private views rather than public views, which is inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan’s view policies (such as Policy UF-13.4 in the Urban Form Element). Furthermore, in our findings, the proposal did not substantiate adequate public benefit from the height reduction to support the amendment. Therefore, we are recommending that the City Council deny the proposed amendment.

Despite the recommendation to deny the application, the Commission acknowledges the broad public support the proposal received, and we recognize that water views, topography, and building height are character-giving attributes of many of our neighborhoods. We share our community’s sentiment that Tacoma can both grow and change, and still maintain unique neighborhoods. However, the Commission did not concur that the specific proposal adequately demonstrated policy consistency or adequate public benefit.

**Minor Plan and Code Amendments**

On a special note, we would like to draw your attention to the acknowledgement of the Puyallup Tribe of Indians, one of the proposals included in the application of “Minor Plan and Code Amendments.” The proposal would add a statement to the One Tacoma Comprehensive Plan to acknowledge the Puyallup Tribe of Indians and Tribal Trust Lands as well as discuss the Land Claims Settlement and the implications for local planning. The proposal would also amend the Tacoma Municipal Code to acknowledge that the City’s zoning and land use regulations do not apply to Tribal Trust Lands. This proposal represents a significant milestone in furthering the relationship and collaboration between the Puyallup Tribe of Indians and the City of Tacoma and redressing an historic blind spot in our Comprehensive Plan.

In conclusion, the proposed 2020 Amendment is a carefully-crafted and well-balanced product that reflects the community’s desires and concerns garnered through an extensive and rigorous engagement process. The Planning Commission believes that the recommended 2020 Amendment package, along with the additional suggestions as mentioned above, will help achieve the City’s strategic goals for a safe, clean and attractive city; a well maintained natural and built environment; a diverse, productive and sustainable economy; and an equitable and accessible community for all.

We respectfully request that the City Council accept our recommendations and adopt the 2020 Amendment package as presented.

Sincerely,

ANNA PETERSEN, Chair
Tacoma Planning Commission

Enclosure
A. SUBJECT:

2020 Annual Amendment to the *One Tacoma* Comprehensive Plan and the Land Use Regulatory Code ("2020 Amendment").

B. SUMMARY OF PROPOSED AMENDMENTS:

The 2020 Amendment consists of the following three applications:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APPLICATION</th>
<th>AMENDMENT TYPE</th>
<th>RECOMMENDATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1. HEIDELBERG-DAVIS SITE – LAND USE DESIGNATION CHANGE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed by Metro Parks Tacoma, this application seeks to change the land use designation for the 16-acre Heidelberg-Davis site (at S. 19th St. &amp; S. Tyler St.) from “Parks and Open Space” to “Major Institutional Campus” to allow future development of a soccer stadium and possibly accessory educational and healthcare facilities.</td>
<td>Plan</td>
<td>Recommended for Adoption (Passed with a vote of 6 to 1, with 2 absences)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2. VIEW SENSITIVE OVERLAY DISTRICT – HEIGHT LIMIT CHANGE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed by the West Slope Neighborhood Coalition, this application seeks to reduce the allowable building height from 25 feet to 20 feet within the West End View Sensitive Overlay District (VSD). The area under consideration was expanded during the scoping phase to include five areas within the existing View Sensitive District that exhibit similar development patterns.</td>
<td>Code and Areawide Rezone</td>
<td>Not Recommended for Adoption (Passed with a vote of 5 to 2, with 2 absences)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3. MINOR PLAN AND CODE AMENDMENTS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed by the Planning and Development Services Department and the Public Works Department, this application compiles 35 minor and non-policy amendments to the <em>One Tacoma</em> Comprehensive Plan and the Land Use Regulatory Code, intended to update information, correct errors, address inconsistencies, improve clarity, and enhance applicability of the Plan and the Code.</td>
<td>Plan and Code</td>
<td>Recommended for Adoption (Passed with a vote of 6 to 0, with 1 abstain and 2 absences)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C. FINDINGS OF FACT – PART 1: BACKGROUND

1. Comprehensive Plan and Land Use Regulatory Code
   The One Tacoma Comprehensive Plan, updated in 2015 by Ordinance No. 28335, is Tacoma’s comprehensive plan as required by the State Growth Management Act (GMA) and consists of several plan and program elements. As the City’s official statement concerning future growth and development, the Comprehensive Plan sets forth goals, policies and strategies for the health, welfare and quality of life of Tacoma’s residents. The Land Use Regulatory Code, Title 13 of the Tacoma Municipal Code (TMC), is the key regulatory mechanism that supports the Comprehensive Plan.

2. Planning Mandates
   GMA requires that any amendments to the Comprehensive Plan and/or development regulations conform to the requirements of the Act, and that all proposed amendments, with certain limited exceptions, shall be considered concurrently so that the cumulative effect of the various changes can be ascertained. Proposed amendments to the Comprehensive Plan and/or development regulations must also be consistent with the following State, regional and local planning mandates and guidelines:
   - The State Growth Management Act (GMA);
   - The State Environment Policy Act (SEPA);
   - The State Shoreline Management Act (SMA);
   - The Puget Sound Regional Council’s VISION 2040 multicounty planning policies;
   - The Puget Sound Regional Council’s Transportation 2040, the action plan for transportation in the Central Puget Sound Region (adopted on May 20, 2010);
   - The Puget Sound Regional Council’s Subarea Planning requirements;
   - The Countywide Planning Policies for Pierce County;
   - TMC 13.02 concerning the procedures and criteria for amending the Comprehensive Plan and development regulations.

3. Amendment Process
   Pursuant to the Tacoma Municipal Code, Section 13.02.070 – Adoption and Amendment Procedures, applications are submitted to the Planning and Development Services Department, and subsequently forwarded to the Planning Commission for their assessment. The Planning Commission decides which applications should move forward as part of that Amendment package. Those applications then receive detailed review and analysis by staff and the Planning Commission and input is solicited from stakeholders and the community.

   For the 2020 Amendment, the Planning Commission kicked off the annual amendment process on May 29, 2019, and reviewed the scope of work for the following four applications:
   - (1) Heidelberg-Davis Site – Land Use Designation Change (submitted by Metro Parks Tacoma)
   - (2) View Sensitive Overlay District – Height Limit Change (submitted by the West Slope Neighborhood Coalition)
   - (3) Transportation Master Plan Amendments (submitted by the Public Works Department)
   - (4) Minor Plan and Code Amendments (submitted by the Planning and Development Services Department)

   Subsequently, the Planning Commission took the following actions about the 2020 Amendment package:
   - 06/19/2019 – Conducted Public Scoping Hearing
   - 07/17/2019 – Approved scope of work and assessment report
   - 12/04/2019 – Reviewed Transportation Master Plan Amendments (reduced scope of work)
   - 02/05/2020 – Reviewed Minor Plan and Code Amendments
   - 02/19/2020 – Reviewed Heidelberg-Davis Site – Land Use Designation Change
   - 08/19/2020 – Reviewed the status of 2020 Amendment and incorporated the Transportation Master Plan Amendments into the Minor Plan and Code Amendments
   - 09/02/2020 – Released the 2020 Amendment for public review and set a public hearing date
D. FINDINGS OF FACT – PART 2: PLANNING COMMISSION REVIEW

1. Heidelberg-Davis Site – Land Use Designation Change
   (1) July 17, 2019 – The Planning Commission concluded the preliminary evaluation/scoping phase and approved acceptance of the application.
   (2) February 5, 2019 – Staff presented the Commission with information on the completion of the technical reports, as well as an update on the negotiation process between the City of Tacoma, Metro Parks Tacoma, and the sports teams.
   (3) September 2, 2020 – The Commission released the proposal for public review.
   (4) October 7, 2020 – The Commission held a public hearing and received public testimony on the proposal.
   (5) October 21, 2020 – The Commission received a debriefing on public comments and gave direction to staff regarding preparation of the final recommendation to the City Council.

2. View Sensitive Overlay District – Height Limit Change
   (1) July 17, 2019 – The Planning Commission concluded the preliminary evaluation/scoping phase and accepted the application with modifications to include other areas within the existing View Sensitive Overlay District with similar building patterns and building height profiles.
   (2) September 2, 2020 – The Commission released the proposal for public review.
   (3) October 7, 2020 – The Commission held a public hearing and received public testimony on the proposal.
   (4) October 21, 2020 – The Commission reviewed the public testimony and gave planning staff feedback on the Commission's preference on the proposal.

3. Minor Plan and Code Amendments
   (1) February 5, 2020 – The Planning Commission reviewed 30 issues (proposed amendments to the Comprehensive Plan and the Tacoma Municipal Code) proposed by staff.
   (2) August 19, 2020 – The Commission concurred with staff to incorporate proposed amendments to the Transportation Master Plan into this application.
   (3) September 2, 2020 – The Commission reviewed 5 additional issues and released all 35 issues and the associated Plan and Code amendments for public review.
   (4) October 7, 2020 – The Commission held a public hearing and received public testimony on the proposal.
   (5) October 21, 2020 – The Commission made one minor modification to the proposal.

E. FINDINGS OF FACT – PART 3: PLANNING COMMISSION PUBLIC HEARING

1. Public Hearing and Open Houses:
   The Planning Commission conducted a public hearing on the 2020 Amendment on October 7, 2020. Planning staff conducted two virtual (online) open houses on September 28 and 30, 2020, for interested citizens to learn more about the 2020 Amendment and ask questions. The main focus of the first open house was “Heidelberg-Davis Site – Land Use Designation Change”, and the second one “View Sensitive Overlay District – Height Limit Change.”

2. Public Hearing Notification:
   (1) Public Notices – The notice for the public hearing and open houses was mailed to approximately 9,000 individuals and entities within and within 1,000 feet of the Heidelberg-Davis and View Sensitive District affected areas, and e-mailed to more than 900 individuals on the Planning Commission’s interested parties list that includes the City Council, Neighborhood
Councils, area business district associations, the Puyallup Tribal Nation, adjacent jurisdictions, City and State departments, and others.

(2) **Library** – A request was made to the Tacoma Public Library on September 23, 2020 to make the public hearing notice available for patrons’ review at all branches.

(3) **News/Social Media** – The City of Tacoma issued a News Release on September 24, 2020. An online advertisement was placed on The News Tribune to run between September 28 and October 4. A legal notice concerning the SEPA Checklist and the public hearing and open houses was placed on the Tacoma Daily Index on September 25, 2020. An event page for each of the open houses on September 28 and 30 and public hearing on October 7 was posted on the City’s Facebook, starting the week of September 21.

(4) **60-Day Notices** – A “Notice of Intent to Adopt Amendment 60 Days Prior to Adoption” was sent to the State Department of Commerce (per RCW 36.70A.106) on September 22, 2020. A similar notice was sent to the Joint Base Lewis-McChord (per RCW 36.70A.530(4)) on September 22, 2020, asking for comments within 60 days of receipt of the notice.

(5) **Tribal Consultation** – A letter was sent to the chairman of the Puyallup Tribe of Indians on September 17, 2020 to formally invite the Tribe’s consultation on the 2020 Amendment.

3. **Public Review Document:**
   A Public Review Document was prepared for the Planning Commission’s public hearing and posted online at [www.cityoftacoma.org/2020Amendment](http://www.cityoftacoma.org/2020Amendment). The document included the following sections:
   I. Introduction
      - Planning Manager’s Letter to the Community
      - Executive Summary
      - Notice of Public Hearing and Open Houses
   II. Proposed Amendments and Staff Analyses
      - Heidelberg-Davis Site – Land Use Designation Change
      - View Sensitive Overlay District – Height Limit Change
      - Minor Plan and Code Amendments
   III. Determination of Environmental Nonsignificance and Environmental Checklist

4. **Public Testimony**
   At the public hearing on October 7, 2020, 4 citizens testified on “Heidelberg-Davis Site – Land Use Designation Change”, and 7 testified on “View Sensitive Overlay District – Height Limit Change.” Written comments received through the end of the comment period on October 9, 2020 included 9 on “Heidelberg-Davis Site – Land Use Designation Change”, and 69 on “View Sensitive Overlay District – Height Limit Change.”

   Most of the people commenting on “Heidelberg-Davis Site – Land Use Designation Change” expressed opposition and concerns. Most of the comments received on “View Sensitive Overlay District – Height Limit Change” were supportive, some with suggested modifications. No comments were received on "Minor Plan and Code Amendment."

F. **FINDINGS OF FACT – PART 4: RESPONSE TO PUBLIC TESTIMONY**

1. **Heidelberg-Davis Site – Land Use Designation Change**
   At the meeting on October 21, 2020, the Planning Commission reviewed public comments received. The Commission acknowledges that most of the public comments were not directed at the proposed land use designation change, but reflected the community’s concerns about the proposed Heidelberg Soccer Stadium not being compatible with the characteristics of the surrounding neighborhood, albeit not yet a project.
In reviewing the draft Capital Facilities Program for 2021-2026, the Commission included the following notion in the Findings of Fact and Recommendations Report and Letter of Recommendation, both dated July 1, 2020:

“**Heidelberg Soccer Stadium.** The Commission recognizes that the proposed soccer stadium could be a tremendous community asset. However, given the dual challenges the City is facing with an economic downturn from the COVID-19 emergency and persistent housing unaffordability, we recommend prioritizing investments in facilities and services that are responsive to these current community needs, or consider modifications to the Heidelberg Soccer Stadium project that would incorporate elements, such as affordable housing, to serve these community needs.”

The Commission shares the community’s concerns and upholds the above notion. The Commission also acknowledges the emerging need for the development of a master plan which would enable a more comprehensive review of the community vision, equity, land use, zoning, housing, transportation, open space, recreation, and other relevant issues for the general area surrounding the Heidelberg-Davis site.

The Commission also acknowledges several steps that would be necessary to develop the site with a professional athletic facility that require further public input and the site is owned by a public entity (Metro Parks Tacoma) with an elected leadership body representing the residents of the City of Tacoma.

The Commission engaged in a discussion and there were concerns expressed regarding the need to engage in this action at this time, however, the Commission upon deliberation and review of this proposal has determined to support the proposal.

The Planning Commission found:

1. The proposal is consistent with the surrounding Land Use Designations.
2. The proposed Land Use Designation is appropriate for the current use of the site and the potential use as a professional athletic complex.
3. Public Ownership of the property, by an agency with an elected board that answers directly to the residents of Tacoma gives greater surety that eventual development of the site will incorporate the wants and needs of area residents.
4. Given current and future transit options in the area the proposed Land Use Designation is appropriate.
5. Potential impacts of developments allowed in the “Major Institutional Campus” Land Use Designation can be potentially mitigated to a level that impacts would not be inappropriate to the surrounding land uses.

**2. View Sensitive Overlay District – Height Limit Change**

At the meeting on October 21, 2020, the Planning Commission reviewed public comments received, and in response, considered the following potential modifications to the proposal:

- **Modification #1 – Remove Node 4 entirely.** With this modification, the Node 4 area, which is in the general vicinity of North Lexington Street and North 49th Street, with approximately 36 lots, would maintain existing View Sensitive Overlay District status with a 25-foot building height limit. Based on public comments and further review of LIDAR and SLOPE data, this area was considered, where the proposed reduction in building height would not generate as substantive of benefits as it might in other areas.

- **Modification #2 – Adjust Node 2 by removing 5 lots.** Node 2 area, which is generally located on the north side of North 17th Street between North Skyline Drive Street and Bridgeway Dr., would be subject to a height limit of 20 feet. The 5 lots are located along the uphill edge of the study area, and would generally not block the view of the rest of the area, regardless of the building height and would remain in the existing View Sensitive Overlay District with a 25 foot building height restriction.
Upon deliberations, the Commission did not issue concurrence with either modification as the Commission had concerns about the application generally and chose not to recommend it for approval to the City Council.

Many residents were concerned about the private view being impacted by their neighbors' trees and landscapes. The Commission acknowledges that the City is not able to regulate tree height for aesthetic purposes on private property, which may constitute a “taking.” Also, many of our City’s regulated critical areas fall within these view sensitive areas and as such there are additional protections placed on the trees contained therein, and would potentially be in conflict with any tree height restrictions of a view sensitive overlay.

The Commission does recognize public support in portions of the possible impacted area and does understand that views do matter to individual property owners. The Commission spent time carefully weighing the benefit of further restriction against allowing existing regulation to stand unmodified.

The Planning Commission found:

1. Sufficient benefit would not be derived from implementation at a level that would justify potential impact to existing property owners.
2. The benefits and restrictions would apply too specifically and not benefit the entire community enough to warrant their implementation.
3. Benefit to properties would vary as would negative impact and that further refinement of the existing View Sensitive Overlay District is not warranted based on the wide variation of benefit and impact it would cause.
4. Other factors such as tree and vegetation height are in many cases as impactful, if not more so, than building height and the City of Tacoma does not regulate that. So further restriction of building height, given that reality, is unwarranted.
5. The benefits of this proposal would be derived for a relatively small number of property owners, who already have sufficient protection in place.
6. The Commission is concerned about precedence that approval of such restrictions could set and felt that such measures are contrary to the conversation the City of Tacoma is having at present regarding equity, housing availability, diversity and affordability.
7. Portions of the City, outside of the View Sensitive Overlay District designation, have views that have no protection, and further focus on areas with VSD status before those areas are considered would be unwarranted.
8. The Commission did not find substantial relation between the proposal and the goals set forth in the One Tacoma Comprehensive Plan, Urban Form Element, and Policy 13.4, which states that new development should be oriented to take advantage of the view of Commencement Bay and the Tacoma Narrows and that significant public views should be preserved. The Commission found that this proposal relates to private views primarily.

3. Minor Plan and Code Amendments

No public comments were received. At the meeting on October 21, 2020, staff proposed a modification to Issue #31 (of 35) regarding "Nonconforming Rights Re-establishment" that would make the proposed re-use under the consideration for re-establishing nonconforming rights no more intensive than the last use permitted. The Commission concurred.

G. Findings of Fact – Part 5: SEPA Review

Pursuant to Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 197-11 and Tacoma's SEPA procedures, a Preliminary Determination of Environmental Nonsignificance (DNS) on the 2020 Amendment was issued on September 11, 2020 (SEPA File Number LU20-0179), based upon a review of an
environmental checklist. No comments were received by the deadline of October 9, 2020. The preliminary determination became final on October 16, 2020. The DNS and the environmental checklist were included in the Public Review Document, as Section III.

H. FINDINGS OF FACT – PART 6: SUMMARY OF COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

1. Heidelberg-Davis Site – Land Use Designation Change
   • Tacoma Public Schools has been consulted early by Metro Parks Tacoma.
   • Metro Parks Tacoma conducted outreach to the Central Neighborhood Council in the fall of 2019 by attending and presenting at their regularly schedule meetings.
   • Standard City of Tacoma Planning and Development Services outreach has been conducted including mailings and a webpage. Press releases have and will be issued in advance of meetings.
   • On November 4, 2019 a neighborhood meeting was held at the Metro Parks Headquarters Building, attended by approximately 40 area residents. Both city and Metro Parks staff made a presentation.
   • Metro Parks Tacoma has made presentations to the Metro Parks Board, the Central Neighborhood Council and contacted the Tacoma Public Schools.
   • On March 5, 2020 the Central Neighborhood Council received a presentation on the proposal by city staff, which was also made available via a Facebook live stream.
   • On September 28, 2020 a virtual open house was held via the online video platform Zoom.

2. View Sensitive Overlay District – Height Limit Change
   • The input of all the property owners and residents within the subject area and adjacent neighborhoods has been solicited through a variety of methods including two direct mailings to owner and residents, as well as social media outreach and press releases to local media.
   • On October 21, 2019, a neighborhood meeting was held for the entire area including areas 1000 feet outside of the View Sensitive Overlay District within the West End and Brown's Point areas to inform the broader community of the effort.
   • On February 20, 2020, a second neighborhood meeting was held for area residents within the proposed recommended 20-foot overlay area. The meeting was held with the included properties and those within approximately 250 feet outside of the boundary.
   • Staff conducted site visits with concerned area residents in the intervening months. The result of these interactions has helped staff tailor the boundaries to coincide with input from potentially impacted area residents.

3. Minor Plan and Code Amendments
   This application was reviewed by the Planning Commission several times at meetings that were open to the public and available for public review during the open house and public hearing process. No public comments were received.

I. FINDINGS OF FACT – PART 7: HEALTH AND EQUITY IN ALL POLICIES

The Planning Commission finds that the 2020 Amendment review process included deliberate efforts to expand the reach of the policy discussions to underrepresented groups, including the use of online tools, open houses (both physical and virtual), direct correspondence with organizations representing underserved community interests, and the promotion of translation services. In addition, meetings in
the community were held in evenings to enhance community access, and food and beverages, as well as activities for children, were provided to support broad, active participation in the discussions.

The Commission also finds that some of the proposed amendments, such as the proposed reprioritization of transportation projects (listed in the Transportation Master Plan) within the Tacoma Mall and Downtown subareas, support the expansion and growth of compact, complete, and connected neighborhoods and mixed-use centers, which is a foundational element of the City's health, equity, and sustainability goals.

Regarding the Heidelberg-Davis Site Land Use Designation Change proposal, the Commission has deliberated on potential loss of public open and active space if a professional athletic complex is eventually developed on this site with concern. Metro Parks Tacoma has made assurance throughout the process that they have every intention of replacing any lost programmable space and preserving and replacing as much open space in this area as possible.

The Commission also is encouraged in the potential opportunity for a special development on this site that could bring greater local business opportunity, job growth, increased access to specialized healthcare, and expanded specialized educational opportunity. The Commission is hopeful that this can be accomplished while preserving and enhancing existing entertainment, public open and active space, and overall recreational opportunity in the City of Tacoma.

J. CONCLUSIONS:

1. Heidelberg-Davis Site – Land Use Designation Change

The Planning Commission concludes that the proposed Land Use Designation change for the Heidelberg-Davis site is consistent with the One Tacoma Comprehensive Plan and existing zoning; appropriately balances the City's policies to preserve open spaces and provide for public amenities, as well as educational and entertainment opportunities.

The Commission has heard and acknowledges public concerns about potential negative impacts that a possibly more intense Land Use Designation could bring, but ultimately has expressed faith in the fact that the property is owned by Metro Parks Tacoma which is a public agency with a board comprised of elected members who are charged with representing the best interests of the residents of the City of Tacoma. Further, Metro Parks Tacoma is charged with preserving and enhancing public open space and recreational opportunities for the residents of Tacoma and Metro Parks Tacoma staff has made assurance that these factors are being strongly considered and will be fully incorporated into any plan to redevelop the site in future.

2. View Sensitive Overlay District – Height Limit Change

The Planning Commission finds it challenging to justify the proposed height reduction in View Sensitive Overlay Districts, as it could conflict with many goals and values of the City in terms of growth and density, tree canopy coverage, and equity (among affected and non-affected properties within a certain View-Sensitive District), among others. The Commission finds that the View Sensitive Proposal is contrary to many ongoing conversations the City of Tacoma is presently having from housing affordability to equity. This proposal effectively represents a doubling down on policies of the past that have led to increasing housing costs, and wide swaths of the city which are exclusively single family.

Members of the Commission acknowledged most of the public comments were supportive and that there were both positive and negative aspects to the proposal. Upon deliberations, the Commission determined not to support the proposal.
The Commission concludes that:

- The City has considered possible public benefit for further restriction of building height and concluded that such benefits would not outweigh the impact to private property owners.
- The proposal would have inconsistent benefit and impact.
- The proposal does not adequately promote housing type diversity and housing type variety in a way that is an improvement from the existing overlay district.
- The proposal is in part repetitive of previous effort in 2015 to create a Conservation Special Review District Overlay Zone in the general neighborhood of West Slope, which had been denied by the City Council.
- Despite efforts to explore additional areas and otherwise adjust the proposal, the Commission does not feel that there is a sufficiently clear and broad public benefit from the proposal, which would primarily benefit a relative few and largely protect private views, to warrant a zoning change.
- The proposal is inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan’s view policies that focus on preserving significant public views, such as Policy UF-13.4 in the Urban Form element as cited below:

  "Policy UF-13.4 New development should be oriented to take advantage of the view of Commencement Bay and the Tacoma Narrows and to preserve significant public views."

3. Minor Plan and Code Amendments
The Commission concludes that the Minor Plan and Code Amendments application, with proposed non-policy amendments to various elements of the One Tacoma Comprehensive Plan and sections of the Tacoma Municipal Code, fulfills the intent to keep information current, address inconsistencies, correct minor errors, and clarify and improve provisions of the Plan and the Code.

K. RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. Heidelberg-Davis Site – Land Use Designation Change
The Planning Commission recommends that the proposed Heidelberg-Davis Site – Land Use Designation Change, as displayed in Exhibit Packet 1, be adopted by the City Council.

The Commission also acknowledges the emerging need for the development of a master plan which would enable a more comprehensive review of the community vision, equity, land use, zoning, housing, transportation, open space, recreation, and other relevant issues for the general area surrounding the Heidelberg-Davis site.

The Commission reached this recommendation by a vote of 6 to 1, with the supporting votes from Commissioners Givens, Karnes, McInnis, Petersen, Santhuff and Strobel, the opposing vote from Commissioner Horne, and Commissioners Edmonds and Torrez being absent.

2. View Sensitive Overlay District – Height Limit Change
The Planning Commission is moving forward the proposed View Sensitive Overlay District – Height Limit Change, as displayed in Exhibit Packet 2, to the City Council with a note of "Not Recommended for Adoption."

The Commission also notes that the recommended height limit change affects more areas than the original application submitted by the West Slope Neighborhood Coalition. The Commission recommends that the City Council not consider the creation of a second category of View Sensitive Overlay District with a 20-foot height limit restriction.
Furthermore:

- Given the need to focus on greater housing type diversity, measures that further restrict options and potentially compound inequity should not be considered.
- The portions of the City of Tacoma within the existing View Sensitive Overlay District are generally thriving and experiencing greater than average increase to property value. Future focus in these areas should be on measures and proposals that will benefit the city on the whole and help promote diverse housing types, affordability, and community wide equity. This proposal would at best preserve existing inequity and could actually create greater inequity.
- The City should avoid any further refinement of the View Sensitive Overlay District unless they are of a comprehensive nature and examine the entire existing View Sensitive Overlay District and potentially the entire City. For the existing View Sensitive Overlay District, it is clear that it does benefit area residents and has to some extent helped protect private views. However, such restrictions are also potentially problematic as they represent the same types of restrictions and single-family housing segregation that have contributed to greater inequity in the City of Tacoma.

The Commission reached this recommendation by a vote of 5 to 2, with the supporting votes from Commissioners Givens, Horne, Karnes, Petersen and Strobel, the opposing votes from Commissioners McInnis and Santhuff, and Commissioners Edmonds and Torrez being absent.

3. Minor Plan and Code Amendments
The Planning Commission recommends that the proposed Minor Plan and Code Amendments, as displayed in Exhibit Packet 3, be adopted by the City Council.

The Commission reached this recommendation by a vote of 6 to 0, with the supporting votes from Commissioners Givens, Horne, Karnes, McInnis, Petersen, Santhuff, while Commissioner Strobel abstained and Commissioners Edmonds and Torrez were absent.

L. EXHIBITS:
Each of the following Exhibit Packets includes a description of the respective application, a summary of the Planning Commission’s recommendations, and the proposed amendments to the One Tacoma Comprehensive Plan and/or the Tacoma Municipal Code in tracked-change format as appropriate:

- Exhibit Packet 1: Heidelberg-Davis Site – Land Use Designation Change
- Exhibit Packet 2: View Sensitive Overlay District – Height Limit Change
- Exhibit Packet 3: Minor Plan and Code Amendments
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Exhibit Packet 1

Heidelberg-Davis Site – Land Use Designation Change
PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY
November 4, 2020

Application: Heidelberg-Davis Site – Land Use Designation Change
Applicant: Metro Parks Tacoma

Summary of Proposal: Change the land use designation for the 16-acre Heidelberg-Davis site (at S. 19th St. & S. Tyler St.) from “Parks and Open Space” to “Major Institutional Campus” to allow future development of a soccer stadium and possibly accessory educational and healthcare facilities.

Location and Size of Area: 1902 S. Tyler Street (APN 9450000133); 16.16 acres/703,930 SF

Current Land Use and Zoning: Designated Parks and Open Space and Zoned R-2 Single Family Residential

Neighborhood Council Area: Central

Staff Contact: Larry Harala, (253) 318-5626, lharala@cityoftacoma.org

Planning Commission Recommendations:
The Planning Commission conducted a public hearing on October 7, 2020, concerning the 2020 Annual Amendment Package and accepted written comments through October 9, 2020.

Upon deliberations and review of public comments, the Planning Commission concludes that the proposed Land Use Designation change for the Heidelberg-Davis site is consistent with the One Tacoma Comprehensive Plan and existing zoning, and appropriately balances the City’s policies to preserve open spaces and provide for public amenities, as well as educational and entertainment opportunities.

The Commission has heard and acknowledges public concerns about potential negative impacts that a possibly more intense Land Use Designation could bring, but ultimately has expressed faith in the fact that the property is owned by Metro Parks Tacoma which is a public agency with a board comprised of elected members who are charged with representing the best interests of the residents of the City of Tacoma.

The Planning Commission recommends that the City Council adopt the proposed amendment to the Comprehensive Plan, as set forth in Exhibits A, as listed below. Furthermore, given the large scale and complexity of potential developments anticipated in and around the subject area, the Commission also acknowledges the emerging need for the development of a master plan which would enable a more comprehensive review of the community vision, equity, land use, zoning, housing, transportation, open space, recreation, and other relevant issues for the general area surrounding the Heidelberg-Davis site.

A. Proposed Land Use Designation for the Heidelberg-Davis Site (Amending the Comprehensive Plan, “Urban Form” Element, Figure 2. Comprehensive Plan Future Land Use Map)
Current Land Use Designations in the Vicinity of the Heidelberg-Davis Site

Legend
- Heidelberg Davis Sport Complex Parcel
- Crossroads Mixed-Use Center
- Downtown Regional Growth Center
- Neighborhood Mixed-Use Center
- Tacoma Mall Regional Growth Center
- General Commercial
- Heavy Industrial
- Light Industrial
- Major Institutional Campus
- Multi-Family (High Density)
- Multi-Family (Low Density)
- Neighborhood Commercial
- Parks and Open Space
- Shoreline
- Single Family Residential

EXHIBIT A
Proposed Land Use Designation for the Heidelberg-Davis Site
(Amending the Comprehensive Plan, Urban Form Element, Figure 2. Comprehensive Plan Future Land Use Map)
PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY
November 4, 2020

Application: View Sensitive Overlay District – Height Limit Change

Applicant: The West Slope Neighborhood Coalition (WSNC)

Summary of Proposal:
Establish a “View Sensitive Overlay District – 20 (VSD-20)” with a 20-foot building height limit, and an area-wide rezone for specified areas within the View Sensitive Overlay District (VSD). The area under consideration was expanded by the Planning Commission during the scoping phase to include five areas within the existing VSD, referred to as Nodes 1 through 5, that exhibit similar development patterns. All other regulatory aspects of development would remain the same, only allowed building height would change from the current limit of 25 feet to 20 feet in the proposed category.

Location and Size of Area:
The general area of applicability includes an approximately 350-acre, 900 parcel area entirely within the existing View Sensitive Overlay District within the West End and Northeast Tacoma areas. The area is distributed among five neighborhoods, or “nodes”, which share similar development patterns, with similar building height profiles, as well as view profiles.

Current Land Use and Zoning:
Land Use Designation: Single Family Residential
Zoning: R-1 and R-2 Single Family Dwelling & View Sensitive Overlay District

Neighborhood Council Area:
West End, Northeast Tacoma

Staff Contact: Larry Harala, (253) 318-5626, lharala@cityoftacoma.org

Planning Commission Recommendations:
The Planning Commission conducted a public hearing on October 7, 2020, concerning the 2020 Annual Amendment Package and accepted written comments through October 9, 2020.

In response to public testimony, the Planning Commission considered two potential modifications to the proposal: (1) Removing the entire Node 4, which is in the general vicinity of North Lexington Street and North 49th Street and includes approximately 36 lots, from the consideration for the proposed height reduction; and (2) Adjusting the boundaries of Node 2, which is generally located on the north side of North 17th Street between North Skyline Drive Street and Bridgeview Dr., by removing 5 lots along the uphill edge of the area from the consideration for the proposed height reduction. The Commission did not revise the proposal with either modification.

The Planning Commission acknowledged the broad public support the proposal received, and recognized that water views, topography, and building height are character-giving attributes of many of our neighborhoods. The Commission shares our community’s sentiment that Tacoma can both grow and change, and still maintain unique neighborhoods.
However, upon further deliberations, the Planning Commission did not concur that the specific proposal adequately demonstrated policy consistency or adequate public benefit. The Commission determined that the proposal primarily affects private views rather than public views, which is inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan’s view policies (such as Policy UF-13.4 in the Urban Form Element).

Furthermore, the Commission believed that the proposal could potentially impact housing supply and infill while the City is contemplating broader housing amendments. The Commission also recognized that the proposal is in part repetitive of previous effort in 2015 to create a Conservation Special Review District Overlay Zone in the general neighborhood of West Slope that had been denied by the City Council.

The Commission has decided to forward the proposal, as set forth in Exhibits “A” and “B” (listed below), to the City Council with a suggestion that the proposal is “Not Recommended for Adoption”:

A. Proposed Amendments to Tacoma Municipal Code, Section 13.06.070 Overlay Districts
B. Study Areas of the Proposed View Sensitive Overlay District with 20-foot Building Height Limit
Note: These amendments show all of the changes to existing Land Use regulations. The sections included are only those portions of the code that are associated with these amendments. New text is underlined and text that has been deleted is shown as strikethrough.

CHAPTER 13.06
ZONING

* * *

13.06.070 Overlay Districts.

A. View-Sensitive Overlay District.

1. Applicability.

a. The View Sensitive Overlay Districts (VS-20) and (VS-25) shall apply to all buildings, structures, or portions thereof, hereafter erected within the designated Overlay District.

The View Sensitive 20-Foot Overlay District – In areas with a predominant 20-foot development pattern which orient towards views of the Puget Sound and/or the Narrows Bridge. (VS-20)

The View Sensitive 25-Foot Overlay District - In areas with a predominant 25-foot development pattern which orient towards views of the Puget Sound and/or the Narrows Bridge. (VS-25)

ab. This section shall not apply to any building, structure, or portion thereof within any development or subdivision which is greater than 30 acres in size and which has an approved site plan or residential plat; provided, such site plans must have established the height or elevation of buildings, and such residential plats must have active architectural control committees, of which a resident or property owner of the plat shall be a member, and recorded covenants which give consideration to protection of views, and the architectural control committee must have reviewed and approved the plans of the building or structures before submittal to the City.

bc. Map.

<Approved Map to be inserted>

2. Purpose.

The purpose of the View-Sensitive Overlay District is to maintain height compatibility between new development and existing development in areas with long standing residential development with views of the Puget Sound and the Narrows Bridge. The View Sensitive Overlay District was established to balance the interests of new development or remodel to existing development with the interests of the surrounding property owners who wish to preserve the character of the neighborhood including public and private views. The View Sensitive Overlay District has been established in areas with steep topography and an established pattern of larger lots.

3. District development standards.

a. A building, structure, or portion thereof, hereafter erected, shall not exceed a height of 25 feet, 20 feet, or 25 feet per applicable Overlay District designation, except as provided in Sections 13.06.010.F, 13.05.010.A and 13.06.010.B.

b. Parking lot lighting shall not exceed 20 feet in height.

c. Parking quantity reductions. See 13.06.090.C.

* * *

* * *
View Sensitive District 20-Feet Overlay (VSD-20) Study Areas

NOTE: The recommended areas are preliminary and are subject to change.
Legend

- Proposed VSD Overlays for
  20 Feet Height Limit
- Citywide VSD Overlays (25 Feet
  Height Limit)
- Tax Parcels
- Building Footprints
- Tacoma City Boundary
- Water

Tacoma View Sensitive District Overlay - Node 3 & 4

DRAFT 9/23/2020
Legend

- **Proposed** VSD Overlays for 20 Feet Height Limit
- Citywide VSD Overlays (25 Feet Height Limit)
- Tax Parcels
- Building Footprints
- Tacoma City Boundary
- Water
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Exhibit Packet 3
Minor Plan and Code Amendments
PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY  
November 4, 2020

**Application:** Minor Plan and Code Amendments  
**Applicant:** Planning and Development Services Department

**Summary of Proposal:**  
The application includes 35 proposed amendments that address the following:  
- Amending the “Introduction + Vision” and the “Transportation Master Plan” chapters of the *One Tacoma* Comprehensive Plan to enhance the coordination with the Puyallup Tribe and update and reprioritize transportation projects;  
- Amending Chapters 13.01, 13.05, and 13.06 of the Tacoma Municipal Code to correct minor errors, address inconsistencies, clarify intents, and improve code implementation;  
- Authorizing staff to correct scrivener’s errors and incorrect references throughout Title 13 of the Tacoma Municipal Code resulted from the code reorganization implemented in early 2020; and  
- Identifying issues for further studies.

**Location and Size of Area:** Citywide

**Current Land Use and Zoning:** Various

**Neighborhood Council Area:** Citywide

**Staff Contact:** Lihuang Wung, (253) 591-5682, lwung@cityoftacoma.org

**Planning Commission Recommendations:**

The Planning Commission conducted a public hearing on October 7, 2020, concerning the 2020 Annual Amendment Package and accepted written comments through October 9, 2020. No comment was received concerning the application of Minor Plan and Code Amendments. However, the Planning Commission made a minor modification to the proposed amendment pertaining to Issue #31, based on staff’s suggestion.

The Planning Commission determines that these amendments are consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, and fulfill the intent to keep information current, address inconsistencies, correct minor errors, and improve the clarity of the Comprehensive Plan and the Tacoma Municipal Code. The Commission recommends that the City Council adopt the proposed amendments to the Comprehensive Plan and the Tacoma Municipal Code, as set forth in Exhibits A to F, as listed below:

A. Index of Proposed Amendments and Responsible Departments  
B. Proposed Amendments to the Comprehensive Plan – “Introduction + Vision” Element  
C. Proposed Amendments to the Comprehensive Plan – “Transportation Master Plan” Element  
D. Proposed Amendments to the Tacoma Municipal Code, Chapter 13.01  
E. Proposed Amendments to the Tacoma Municipal Code, Chapter 13.05  
F. Proposed Amendments to the Tacoma Municipal Code, Chapter 13.06
Index of Proposed Amendments and Responsible Departments

Listed below are all proposed amendments to the One Tacoma Comprehensive Plan and the Land Use Regulatory Code included in the “Minor Plan and Code Amendments” application of the 2020 Amendment, as recommended by the Planning Commission on November 4, 2020.

The “Issues” and “Proposed Amendments” correspond to those described in the Public Review Document for 2020 Amendment that was released for public review for the Planning Commission’s public hearing on October 7, 2020. These amendments shall be carried out by the Responsible Departments/Offices, as listed, which are subject to change depending on the specific implementation needs of certain amendments.

In addition to Exhibit A (this Index), there are several companion exhibits where most of the proposed amendments from this Index are compiled in detail, as organized below:

- Exhibit B: Proposed Amendments to the Comprehensive Plan – “Introduction + Vision” Element
- Exhibit C: Proposed Amendments to the Comprehensive Plan – “Transportation Master Plan” Element
- Exhibit D: Proposed Amendments to the Tacoma Municipal Code, Chapter 13.01
- Exhibit E: Proposed Amendments to the Tacoma Municipal Code, Chapter 13.05
- Exhibit F: Proposed Amendments to the Tacoma Municipal Code, Chapter 13.06

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issues</th>
<th>Proposed Amendments to the Comprehensive Plan or the Tacoma Municipal Code</th>
<th>Responsible Departments/Offices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Micro-housing Parking Exemption/Reduction and Accessible Parking Requirement</td>
<td>13.06.090.C.3.j; and additional review may be needed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Detached Accessory Structures – Location on a Corner Lot</td>
<td>13.06.020.G.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Drive-throughs – Variances</td>
<td>Additional review may be needed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>References to Variances</td>
<td>Correct references as appropriate in various sections of Title 13.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Usable Yard vs. Functional Yard</td>
<td>13.06.020.K.6; 13.06.020.F.7.b; 13.06.020.F.7.g(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Definition of “Lot”</td>
<td>13.01.060.L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Temporary Surface Parking</td>
<td>Additional review may be needed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Rezone Modifications</td>
<td>13.06.070.C.3; 13.05.130.C.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Tree Canopy Requirement for Schools</td>
<td>Additional review may be needed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Parking Requirement for Multi-family via CUP</td>
<td>13.05.010.A.6.g; 13.06.090.C.3.h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Footnotes in Off-Street Parking Requirements Tables</td>
<td>13.06.090.C.3.h – Table 1 and Table 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Long-Term Bike Parking Dispersement</td>
<td>13.06.090.G.5.a(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Fee Code for Public Meetings</td>
<td>Additional review may be needed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>Community Engagement for Projects</td>
<td>Additional review may be needed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issues</td>
<td>Proposed Amendments to the Comprehensive Plan or the Tacoma Municipal Code</td>
<td>Responsible Departments/Offices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Projections into Yards</td>
<td>13.06.010.H.4.g(6)</td>
<td>PDS; City Clerk’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. DADU Height</td>
<td>13.06.080.A.5.c(2)</td>
<td>PDS; City Clerk’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Site Approval Applicability</td>
<td>13.05.010.C.1</td>
<td>PDS; City Clerk’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. PRD Code for Sustainability</td>
<td>13.06.070.C.5.f(2)</td>
<td>PDS; City Clerk’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Missing Footnote on Correctional and Detention Facilities</td>
<td>13.06.060.E.4</td>
<td>PDS; City Clerk’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Building Face Orientation</td>
<td>13.06.100.B.8.b</td>
<td>PDS; City Clerk’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Public Art in Private Development</td>
<td>Correct references as appropriate in various sections of Title 13 (e.g., 13.06.100.A.3.b(4); &amp; 13.05.050.D.1.d)</td>
<td>PDS; City Clerk’s; Office of Arts and Cultural Vitality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Perimeter Landscaping Strips</td>
<td>13.06.090.B.4.e(1)</td>
<td>PDS; City Clerk’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Specificity for Bicycle Parking Credit</td>
<td>13.06.090.C.4.a(6)</td>
<td>PDS; City Clerk’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Facade Articulation Options</td>
<td>Additional review may be needed.</td>
<td>PDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Decision on Rezone Applications</td>
<td>13.05.020.J.3</td>
<td>PDS; City Clerk’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Tacoma-Fife Boundary Line Adjustments</td>
<td>Update GIS database, the Official Zoning Map, and relevant maps in the Comprehensive Plan.</td>
<td>PDS; City Clerk’s; Geographic Information Systems (GIS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Distance Measurement for Transit Access Parking Reduction</td>
<td>13.06.090.C.4.a(1)</td>
<td>PDS; City Clerk’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Front Yard Setback Inconsistency</td>
<td>13.06.040.F.1.e</td>
<td>PDS; City Clerk’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Density Requirements</td>
<td>13.06.020.F.4</td>
<td>PDS; City Clerk’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Correction of References</td>
<td>Correct references as appropriate in various sections of Title 13 (e.g., 13.02.070.C.2; 13.02.070.E.4; 13.02.070.F.2.a; 13.02.070.G.1; 13.02.070.I.1; 13.05.030.B.4; 13.05.030.B.11; 13.05.050.C; 13.06.020.F.7; 13.07.060.C.1; 13.07.060.D.1; &amp; 13.07.060.E)</td>
<td>PDS; City Clerk’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Nonconforming Rights Re-establishment</td>
<td>13.06.010.L.6</td>
<td>PDS; City Clerk’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32. Buffer Exceptions</td>
<td>13.06.090.J.5.c</td>
<td>PDS; City Clerk’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Correction of References in the Commercial District Development Standards Table</td>
<td>13.06.030.F</td>
<td>PDS; City Clerk’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34. Comprehensive Plan Acknowledgement of the Puyallup Tribe of Indians and Tribal jurisdiction</td>
<td>“Introduction + Vision” Element; &amp; 13.06.010</td>
<td>PDS; City Clerk’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35. Comprehensive Plan Transportation Master Plan Amendment</td>
<td>“Transportation Master Plan” Element</td>
<td>PDS; Public Works</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comprehensive Plan, future implementing actions will help to achieve the priorities identified in *Tacoma 2025* and further the vision for each of the focus areas well into the future.

**PUYALLUP TRIBE OF INDIANS**

The spuyalapabš or Puyallup Tribe of Indians have lived on the headwaters of the Puyallup River since time immemorial. The Tribe has traditionally hunted, gathered, and fished throughout the Puget Sound. In 1854 the Treaty of Medicine Creek was signed where the Tribe ceded all of its traditional territory except for a portion of land known today as the Puyallup Reservation. The Treaty set aside a reservation that includes areas of modern day east and north-east Tacoma. It extends into neighboring jurisdictions of Fife, Milton, Edgewood, Puyallup, and Pierce County as well. The purpose of these lands was to house, sustain, and benefit the Puyallup people. Over the next century, the Tribe would see 99% of its lands sold off and taken. Despite this the Tribe has persevered, continued to regrow its land base, and actively practice its traditional treaty rights.

Today, the Puyallup Tribe of Indians is the seventh largest employer in Pierce County, with a total estimated employment of over 3,400. Within the Tidelands the Tribe operates a Marina, several administrative departments, several cultural sites, a riverboat facility, and leased port marine businesses. Over 25% of the 5,500 tribal members live on the reservation.

The Tribe continues to grow its land base with major holdings in east Tacoma. Restoration of the Puyallup Watershed remains a priority for sustaining the local fishery. The Place of Hidden Waters Housing Development provide essential housing for tribal members. dxʷlalilali or “A Place to Come Ashore” and the Ceremonial Grounds, are traditional cultural sites for the Tribe to practice its culture and traditions. The Tribe continues to purchase land within the Reservation to restore its land base to benefit its people.
THE PUYALLUP TRIBE LAND CLAIMS SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

The Puyallup Tribe Land Claims Settlement Agreement passed by Congress in March 1990, binds its signatory members, which includes the City of Tacoma, to adhere to specific provisions when conducting land use planning.

1. The Tribe retains its authority to prevent negative impacts on the fishery resource and habitat.
2. Both the Tribe and local governments will follow federal law for dealing with applications by the Tribe and Tribal members to continue to put land into trust, including Bureau of Indian Affairs regulations.
3. Both the Tribe and the local governments will consult with each other concerning certain kinds of land use decisions, which include plan ordinances, environmental regulations, and other applicable actions triggered under the Settlement Agreement. The Agreement includes a set of guidelines, standards, and factors the parties will consider when they make land use decisions.
4. Fourth, under the Settlement Agreement, signatories are obligated to provide services and utilities to the Tribe’s trust lands in situations where there is an agreement in place for those services.

OPPORTUNITIES FOR COORDINATED PLANNING

In addition to the procedures for consultation outlined in the Land Claims Settlement Agreement, the City of Tacoma recognizes the value of coordinated, intergovernmental planning with the Puyallup Tribe of Indians to facilitate a deeper understanding of our shared interests, and as a mode to meaningfully bring together the parties to identify concerns, discuss issues, examine solutions, resolve problems, and employ joint approaches where appropriate.

In particular, the following are near-term opportunities for the City and Puyallup Tribe of Indians to continue to develop a coordinated approach to planning and development within the Puyallup Reservation:

- Tideflats Subarea Planning
- Permit intake and review
- Portland Avenue Corridor Planning
- Tacoma Dome Link Extension - Station Area Planning
- Climate resiliency planning
- Cultural and archaeological preservation
Proposed Amendments
to the “Transportation Master Plan” Element
of the Comprehensive Plan

The transportation element of the One Tacoma Comprehensive Plan, the Transportation Master Plan (TMP), is amended as follows:

A. Add the following projects to the TMP’s Appendix B – Project List:
   - Pine Street Complete Street/Gateway Project
   - S 38th Complete Streets/Gateway Project
   - I-5/Tacoma Mall Blvd Direct Connector Slip Ramp
   - Loop Road Multimodal Internal Connector
   - S 47th/48th Street Complete Streets/Bike Connection
   - Area Wide Sidewalk Gaps
   - Area-wide Active Transportation Pathways
   - S. Sprague Avenue Bike Connection
   - Warner Street Bike Connection between South 38th and South 47th Streets
   - Transit-Supportive Actions
   - I-5 Transit Connector
   - Tacoma Mall Transit Center
   - Area-wide Street Grid Connections
   - Madison District - Residential Streets
   - Pine Street & 42nd Street Signal
   - S 48th Street Overpass
   - Lincoln Heights - Residential Streets
   - S. 35th Street Bike Corridor
   - South 40th Street Bike Connection between South Tacoma Way and South Fife Street
   - South Fife to South 48th Streets Bike Connection

B. Remove the following projects from the TMP’s Appendix B – Project List, due to duplication of or inconsistency with proposed Tacoma Mall Neighborhood Subarea Plan projects:
   - S 37th St/Sprague Ave
   - S. 48th St. Overpass
   - Direct HOV access ramps to S 47th/S 48th St (transit center)
   - Tacoma Mall/I-5 Direct Access
   - Pine St near Tacoma Mall
C. Modify the following projects in the TMP’s Appendix B – Project List to recognize and give priority for achieving the goals in the South Downtown, North Downtown, and Hilltop Subarea Plans (all elements of the One Tacoma Plan):

- Pedestrian Access to Schools, Parks and other places of interest
- Pedestrian Access to Transit Projects
- Downtown Tacoma HCT Investments
- Enhanced Sounder service - South Tacoma to Downtown Seattle
- N 1st St/Broadway
- SR 509
- Thea Foss Esplanade - Phase 1
- Bayside Trails - Stadium Way
- Division Ave
- Yakima from Center to S. 34th and Tacoma from Center to S. 34th
- South Tacoma Gateways
- Onboard Positive Train Control (PTC) Equipment
- Downtown Quiet Zones
- Impact Fee Feasibility Study
- Historic Water Ditch Trail - Phase II
- South Tacoma Way Multimodal Improvement
- 48th St S & Tacoma Mall Blvd

D. Attachments – Supplemental Project Information:
1. Tacoma Mall Neighborhood Subarea Plan and Existing Transportation Master Plan Projects
2. Tacoma Mall Subarea Plan Project List
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New ID</th>
<th>Project ID</th>
<th>Project ID</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>On priority network or in Subarea Plan</th>
<th>Multimodal System (mode split map)</th>
<th>Equity (Title 6 map)</th>
<th>Safety Travel for All</th>
<th>Health &amp; Environment</th>
<th>System Preservation</th>
<th>Fiscal Stewardship</th>
<th>Congestion Management</th>
<th>Hierarchy</th>
<th>Centers</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cedar St / Pine St Corridor Improvement Project</td>
<td>A signal integration and coordination project and other ITS applications</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>S Dallas St/S Pine St/S Cedar St</td>
<td>Protected bicycle facilities between 6th Ave - 574th St</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>211</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Pine St near Tacoma Mall</td>
<td>Improved roadway to arterial standards</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM-4 and TM-12 S 38th Complete Streets/Gateway Project</td>
<td>This project is a complete streets project which prioritizes pedestrians (flat gaps and wider sidewalks), revised intersection channelization to improve all mode operations, and incorporates gateway features on S 38th Street between South Tacoma Way and I-5.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>S 38th St - S Tacoma Way to I-5</td>
<td>The South 38th Street project is a 2&quot; HMA overlay project from the east gutter line of South Tacoma Way to the concrete joint of the I-5 overpass. The work will include grading the existing asphalt surface down 2 inches for the same area. We included in the preliminary estimate a lump sum number for an</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>S 38th St Corridor Improvement Project</td>
<td>A signal integration and coordination project and other ITS applications</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>S 38th St Multimodal Corridor Study</td>
<td>Mid-term safety improvements, HCT corridor enhancements, access management strategies</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM-2 and TM-8 I-5/Tacoma Mall Blvd Direct Connector Slip Ramp</td>
<td>This project will design and construct a new overpass/ramp from southbound I-5 at South 38th Street to Tacoma Mall area for direct access or potential high-occupancy vehicles. The project will include the structure, roadway modifications, curb and gutter, new signal, streetlighting, storm sewer, landscaping and utility relocation work, and asphalt overlay between Steele St and 54th St. It will directly connect to a new or relocated multi-modal transit center.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Direct HCT access ramps off S-45th St to I-5 to Support Projects</td>
<td>New 2017 Project</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>422</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Tacoma Mall/US 5 Direct Access</td>
<td>Road 2016. This project will construct a new overpass from modified U.S. 5 East South 38th Street to Tacoma Mall Blvd. The project will include the structure, roadway modifications, curb and gutter, new signal, streetlighting, storm sewer, landscaping and utility relocation work, and asphalt overlay between Steele St and S-45th St.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM-1 and TM-13 Loop Road Multimodal Internal Connector</td>
<td>This project is a complete street which prioritizes bike, pedestrian (wider sidewalks), and green stormwater features. The project includes Steele St between 10th &amp; Tacoma Mall (shared use path), new Tacoma Mall connector between Steele and Pine (shared use path), 14th between Pine and Lawrence (bike boulevard), Lawrence between 45th and 36th (bike boulevard), and 36th/California between Lawrence and Beacon (boulevard).</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM-18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S 47th/48th Street Complete Streets/Bike Connection</td>
<td>This is a complete streets redesign incorporating bike connection from I-5 bridge to Water Flume Trail.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
<td>HCT Corridor - 48th St</td>
<td>Possible BRT or urban transit service improvements to connect Tacoma Mall with Portland Avenue area</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>S 47th/S 48th St/C 15th/E 46th St/E E St</td>
<td>Bike Lane between S Tacoma Wy - McKinley Ave</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM-7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Area Wide Sidewalk Gaps</td>
<td>As development occurs and funding allows, connect sidewalk system, addressing gaps and substandard conditions.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM-24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Area-wide Active Transportation Pathways</td>
<td>This project adds pedestrian pathways and missing link bike connections called for in the Subarea Plan.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM-5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S Sprague Avenue Bike Connection</td>
<td>This project adds a bicycle connection from I-5 Bike/Ped Bridge to Steele Street, S 35th Street, and South Tacoma Way.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gray = Tacoma Mall Subarea Plan Projects
Red = Complete Projects (Remove)
Green = Modified Scoring (Subarea Plan Priorities)
White = Existing Projects
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New ID</th>
<th>Project ID</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>On priority network or in Subarea Plan</th>
<th>Multimodal System (mode split map)</th>
<th>Equity (Title 6 map)</th>
<th>Safety Travel for All</th>
<th>Health &amp; Environment</th>
<th>System Preservation</th>
<th>Fiscal Stewardship</th>
<th>Congestion Management</th>
<th>Hierarchy</th>
<th>Centers Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>TM-23</td>
<td>Warner Street Bike Connection between South 38th and South 47th Streets</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>TM-10</td>
<td>i-5 Transit Connector</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>TM-25</td>
<td>Area-wide Street Grid Connections</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>TM-20</td>
<td>5th Street Bike Corridor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>361</td>
<td>TM-22</td>
<td>South 40th Street Bike Connection between South Tacoma Way and South Fife Street</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>205</td>
<td>TM-11</td>
<td>Transit-Supportive Actions</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>TM-12</td>
<td>Pedestrian Access to Schools, Parks and other places of Interest</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>TM-13</td>
<td>Pedestrian Access to Transit Projects</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gray = Tacoma Mall Subarea Plan Projects
Red = Complete Projects (Remove)
Green = Modified Scoring (Subarea Plan Priority)
White = Existing Projects

As of 2014, this project will grind and asphalt overlay the intersection and the four approach legs. Additionally it will provide ADA compliant curb ramps to the existing sidewalk.

As of 2014, this project will grind and asphalt overlay the intersection and the four approach legs.

As of 2014, this project will grind and asphalt overlay the intersection and the four approach legs. Additionally it will provide ADA compliant curb ramps to the existing sidewalk.
## Tacoma Mall Neighborhood Subarea Plan and Existing Transportation Master Plan Projects

| New ID | Project ID | Previous Project ID | Name | Description | On priority network or in Subarea Plan | Multimodal System (mode split map) | Equity [Title 6 map] | Safety Travel for All | Health & Environment | System Preservation | Fiscal Stewardship | Congestion Management | Horizon/timeline | Hierarchy | Centers | Total |
|--------|------------|---------------------|------|-------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------|---------|--------|------|
| 101    | 16         | 4                   | Tacoma Mall Blvd - S 38th to 56th Sts | This estimate is for the corridor improvement project of Tacoma Mall Blvd, from the intersection of S38th to the intersection of S56th Street, and will include edge grinding and 2” overlay of the asphalt roadway, patching of unserviceable road sections, concrete ADA ramps, and concrete driveways, replacement of non-compliant sidewalks and approaches, replacement of traffic detection loops with video detection, installation of LED street lighting, installation of pedestrian APS buttons, replacement of older street drain/grates/structures, striping, and installation of a center median landscape island in select areas. This estimate does not include the installation of major utilities, significant ROW acquisition, changes to the current channelization or alignment, or significant signal replacement. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 16 |
| 102    | 37         | 4                   | Tacoma Mall Blvd HOV Lanes | Between 38th Street and South City Limits | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 15 |
| 87     | 4          |                      | Downtown Tacoma HCT Investments | High-Capacity Transit investments in MLK, Division Avenue, Yakima Avenue, Tacoma Avenue, Market Street, Stadium Way, Pacific Avenue, 6th Avenue, 5th Street, 15th Street, 14th street, 15th Street, Puyallup Avenue, Dock Street, Stadium District and 25th Street | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 14 |
| 89     | 4          |                      | Enhanced Sounder service - South Tacoma to Downtown Seattle | Speed and reliability improvements, operating on a full-day schedule, and weekend operations | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 14 |
| 94     | 4          |                      | N 1st St/Broadway | Bicycle Boulevard between N Tacoma Ave - Prairie Line Trail | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 14 |
| 112    | SB 509     |                      | Protected bicycle facilities between Fawcett Ave - Marine View Drive | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 14 |
| 116    | 4          |                      | Thea Foss Explanade - Phase 1 | Shared-Use Path | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 14 |
| 126    | 8          |                      | Bayside Trails - Stadium Way | Pedestrian Trail | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 13 |
| 133    | Division Ave | | Shared lane markings between S Stadium Way - S Sprague Ave | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 13 |
| 370    | 8          |                      | Yakima from Center to S. 34th and Tacoma from Center to S. 34th | Lincoln Park Freeway Ltd – Design & construct a landscaped lid over I-5 between Yakima/Thompson and Tacoma/G streets to reconnect downtown with neighborhood. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 10 |
| 401    | 10         | South Tacoma Gateways | South Tacoma Gateways | Establish streetcrossings improvements at all arterial entryways to the South Tacoma Neighborhood Council area | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| 402    | 401        | 10                   | South Tacoma Gateways | South Tacoma Gateways | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| 413    | 76         |                      | Onboard Positive Train-Control (PTC) Equipment | Equipment installed on locomotives designed to communicate with wayside signals and back office computers intended as a fail-safe to avoid train head-on and collisions, over speed derailments, or incursions into unauthorized territory. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 445    | M1         |                      | Downtown Quiet Zones | Provide infrastructure to support quiet zones at East D, East C and South C. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| 415    | 38         |                      | Impact Fee Feasibility Study | An overall study to evaluate the potential for impact fees in the City and their application to funding new projects based on planned development | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 439    | 465        |                      | Historic Water Ditch Trail - Phase II | Shared-Use Path From S 43rd - S 47th | DONE | 0 |
| 445    | 471        |                      | South Tacoma Way Multimodal Improvement | This project will provide an asphalt overlay of South Tacoma Way, add new transit stop pads and new transit shelters at existing stops, replace hazardous sidewalks, add sidewalks where necessary, streetlighting, landscaping, a mid-block pedestrian signal, bulb-outs, reconstruct driveways and curb ramps for ADA compliance. | DONE | 0 |
### Near-Term Priorities (0–5 years)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>POTENTIAL PARTNERS</th>
<th>COST ($000)</th>
<th>PHASE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Loop Road Demonstration Project</td>
<td>Initial implementation of a section of the Loop Road—would include a study to identify the best location</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>Design, ROW*, construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I-5 Direct Access Ramp—Phase 1</td>
<td>Preliminary engineering study for new direct access/potential high-occupancy vehicle freeway off-ramp</td>
<td>WSDOT, transit providers $900</td>
<td>Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>District—Residential Streets—Phase 1</td>
<td>Initial implementation of residential streets, potentially including green stormwater infrastructure</td>
<td>$8,300</td>
<td>Design, ROW*, construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>S. Sprague Avenue Bike Connection</td>
<td>Add bicycle connection from I-5 Bike/Ped Bridge along Sprague Ave to Steele Street, S. 35th St and S. Tacoma Way</td>
<td>$2,100</td>
<td>Design, ROW*, construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Tacoma Mall Transit Center—Phase 1</td>
<td>Location study and preliminary design for new transit center (in conjunction with ST3 high-capacity transit study)</td>
<td>Transit providers $900</td>
<td>Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Area-wide Sidewalk Gaps</td>
<td>As development occurs, connect sidewalk system, addressing gaps and substandard conditions</td>
<td>Property owners $14,230</td>
<td>Design, ROW*, construction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Mid-Term Priorities (5–15 years)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>POTENTIAL PARTNERS</th>
<th>COST ($000)</th>
<th>PHASE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>I-5 Direct Access Ramp</td>
<td>New direct access/potential high-occupancy vehicle freeway off-ramp</td>
<td>WSDOT, transit providers $27,650</td>
<td>Design, ROW*, construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Tacoma Mall Transit Center</td>
<td>New transit center with six bus bays, shelter, layover space, and passenger amenities</td>
<td>Transit providers $28,000</td>
<td>Design, ROW*, construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>I-5 Transit Connector</td>
<td>Enhancements for transit speed and reliability between I-5 and new transit center location</td>
<td>Transit agencies $2,450</td>
<td>Design, ROW*, construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Transit Supportive Actions</td>
<td>Speed and reliability enhancements to support planned high-capacity transit routes</td>
<td>Transit providers TBD</td>
<td>Design, ROW*, construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>S. 38th Street Complete Streets/Gateway Project</td>
<td>Complete Streets redesign and incorporate gateway features on S. 38th Street between S. Tacoma Way and I-5</td>
<td>$10,660</td>
<td>Design, ROW*, construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Loop Road—Phase 2</td>
<td>Complete Loop Road—multimodal internal connector emphasizing bike, pedestrian and green stormwater features</td>
<td>$12,700</td>
<td>Design, ROW*, construction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Long-Term Priorities (15+ years)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>POTENTIAL PARTNERS</th>
<th>COST ($000)</th>
<th>PHASE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>District—Residential Streets—Phase 2</td>
<td>Construction of remaining residential streets, potentially including green stormwater infrastructure</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
<td>Design, ROW*, construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Heights—Residential Streets</td>
<td>Potentially including construction of residential streets, green stormwater infrastructure</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Design, ROW*, construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Pine St &amp; 42nd St Signal</td>
<td>Add a signal at the intersection of Pine St and 42nd St.</td>
<td>Transit providers $300</td>
<td>Design, ROW*, construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Pine Street—Complete Streets/Gateway Project</td>
<td>Complete Streets redesign including bicycle and transit service</td>
<td>Transit providers $2,640</td>
<td>Design, ROW*, construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Complete Streets/Bike Connection</td>
<td>Complete Streets redesign incorporating bike connection from I-5 bridge to Water Flume Trail</td>
<td>$5,040</td>
<td>Design, ROW*, construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>S. 48th Street Overtakes</td>
<td>Widens existing overpass of I-5 or build a new adjacent bridge for improved bicycle/pedestrian connection to the subarea</td>
<td>WSDOT $1,810</td>
<td>Design, ROW*, construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>S. 35th Street Bike Corridor</td>
<td>Add bicycle facility and extend corridor to South Tacoma Way</td>
<td>Property owners $2,720</td>
<td>Design, ROW*, construction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Add Bicycle Connections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>POTENTIAL PARTNERS</th>
<th>COST ($000)</th>
<th>PHASE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>S. Fife St to S. 48th St Bike Connection</td>
<td>Add bicycle connection between the Lincoln Heights and Mall Districts to S. 48th St</td>
<td>Property owners TBD</td>
<td>Design, ROW*, construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>S. 48th St Bike Connection</td>
<td>Add bicycle connection from S. Tacoma Way to S. Fife St</td>
<td>WSDOT $1,250</td>
<td>Design, ROW*, construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Warner St Bike Connection</td>
<td>Add bicycle connection from S. 18th St to S. 48th St</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Design, ROW*, construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Area-wide Active Transportation Pathways</td>
<td>Add pedestrian pathways and missing link bike connections called for in the Subarea Plan</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Design, ROW*, construction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* ROW = right-of-way.

1. These are order of magnitude cost estimates for planning purposes. No right of way costs are included.
2. The City will pursue funding opportunities as they become available and projects may begin sooner than anticipated.

**TACOMA MALL SUBAREA PLAN PROJECT LIST 03/27/19**
Note: These amendments show all of the changes to existing Land Use regulations. The sections included are only those portions of the code that are associated with these amendments. New text is underlined and text that has been deleted is shown as strikethrough.

CHAPTER 13.01
DEFINITIONS

* * *

13.01.060 Zoning Definitions.

* * *

13.01.060.L

“Laboratories.” Establishments providing medical or dental laboratory services, scientific research, pharmaceutical research laboratories (including limited product testing) or establishments with less than 2,000 square feet providing photographic, analytical, or testing services. This classification excludes manufacturing, except of prototypes. (Other laboratories are classified as limited industry.)

* * *

“Lot.” A designated parcel, tract, or area of land established by plat, subdivision, or as otherwise created by legal action. A fractional part of divided lands having fixed boundaries, being of sufficient area and dimension to meet minimum zoning requirements for width and area. The term shall include tracts or parcels.

“Lot, corner.” A lot abutting upon two or more streets at their intersection.

“Lot frontage.” That portion of a lot abutting upon a public or private street or way or permanent access easement including an officially approved accessway.

“Lot, interior.” A lot other than a corner lot.

“Lot line.” A line of record bounding a lot that divides one lot from another lot or from a public or private street or any other public space.

“Lot of record.” A single platted lot which is a part of a plat which has been recorded as required by the laws of the state of Washington, in the office of the Pierce County Auditor.

“Lot, through.” A lot having frontage on two parallel or nearly parallel streets.

* * *

* * *
Exhibit E

2020 Annual Amendment to the Comprehensive Plan and Land Use Regulatory Code

Note: These amendments show all of the changes to existing Land Use regulations. The sections included are only those portions of the code that are associated with these amendments. New text is underlined and text that has been deleted is shown as strikethrough.

CHAPTER 13.05
LAND USE PERMITS AND PROCEDURES

* * *

13.05.010 Land Use Permits.
A. Conditional Use Permits.
1. Purpose.

* * *

Two- and three-family and townhouse dwellings, where allowed by conditional use permit in Special Review Districts (R-2SRD and HMR-SRD). In addition to the General Criteria, a conditional use permit for a two- or three-family or townhouse dwelling unit in a Special Review District shall only be approved upon a finding that such use is consistent with all of the following criteria:

a. The use is consistent with the goals and policies of the Comprehensive Plan, any adopted neighborhood or community plans, and applicable ordinances of the City of Tacoma.

* * *

g. The proposed structure is designed to resemble a detached single-family house in terms of architecture, bulk, front and rear setbacks, and location of parking in a designated rear yard. The site shall provide the required rear yard of the zoning district on one side of the structure. Each unit shall provide no more than one off-street parking space.

h. The applicant shall submit, in conjunction with the application, site plan drawings and drawings of building elevations, information on building materials, a landscape plan, and complete information indicating why the property is inappropriate for single-family development. The purpose of these plans and information shall be to show consistency with the required criteria.

* * *

C. Site Approval.
1. Applicability.

A Site Approval for transportation connectivity is required when proposed development meets both the site characteristics circumstances and the development thresholds as set forth below:

a. Site Characteristics.

A Site Approval requirement applies under The development site must meet all of the following circumstances:

(1) The proposed development site is located in an area subject to an adopted Subarea Plan, including the Tacoma Mall Neighborhood Subarea Plan, with a transportation element that identifies the need for additional street and pedestrian connectivity in order to accommodate planned growth.

(2) The development site, defined as land sharing common access, circulation, and improvements as specified in TMC 13.01, is at least one acre in size.

* * *
The development site is located within a block that is eight acres or larger in size. Blocks, for this purpose, are defined as assemblages of land circumnavigated by the shortest possible complete loop via the public street network.

b. Development Thresholds.

Site Approval for transportation connectivity is required when the proposed development must exceed one or more of the following thresholds:

(1) Construction of 200 or more dwelling units.
(2) Construction of 60,000 or more square feet.

c. Development activities that exceed these thresholds may generate significant transportation impacts and could also potentially create barriers to circulation and pedestrian connectivity.

d. Project proponents may elect to apply for a Site Approval in association with development below the thresholds above projects that do not meet both of the above site characteristics circumstances and development thresholds.

* * *

13.05.020 Application requirements for land use permits.

* * *

J. Time Periods for Decision on Application.

* * *

3. Decision when effective. A decision is considered final at the termination of an appeal period if no appeal is filed, or when a final decision on appeal has been made pursuant to either Chapter 1.23 or Chapter 1.70. In the case of a zoning reclassification, the first City Council’s decision on final reading of the reclassification ordinance by the City Council shall be considered the final decision. First reading shall be considered a tentative approval, and does not constitute final rezoning of the property. However, first reading of the ordinance shall assure the applicant that the reclassification will be approved, provided that the application complies with all requirements and conditions for reclassification as may have been imposed by the Hearing Examiner or the City Council.

4. If unable to issue a final decision within the 120-day time period, a written notice shall be made to the applicant, including findings for the reasons why the time limit has not been met and the specified amount of time needed for the issuance of the final decision.

* * *

13.05.050 Development Regulation Agreements.

* * *

D. Review criteria.

The City Manager, and such designee or designees as may be appointed for the purpose, shall negotiate acceptable terms and conditions of the proposed Development Regulation Agreement based on the following criteria:

1. The Development Regulation Agreement conforms to the existing Comprehensive Plan. Except for projects on a public facility site of at least five acres in size, conformance must be demonstrated by the project, as described in the Development Regulation Agreement, scoring 800 points out of a possible 1,050 points, according to the following scoring system (based either on the Downtown Element of the City Comprehensive Plan or on the Tacoma Mall Neighborhood Subarea Plan, as applicable):

   a. Balanced healthy economy. In any project where more than 30 percent of the floorspace is office, commercial, or retail, one point shall be awarded for every 200 square feet of gross floorspace (excluding parking) up to a maximum of 290 points.

   * * *

   d. Quality Urban Design. Up to 60 points shall be awarded for each of the following categories: (i) walkability, (ii) public environment, (iii) neighborliness, and (iv) support for public art. Review of any proposed public art shall be coordinated with the City’s Arts Administrator or approved by the Arts Commission.
13.05.130 Modification/revision to permits.

C. Major Modifications.

Any modification exceeding any of the standards for minor modifications outlined above shall be subject to the following standards.

1. Major modifications shall be processed in the same manner and be subject to the same decision criteria that are currently required for the type of permit being modified. Major modifications to Site Rezone Permits that do not change the site’s zoning designation shall be considered by the Director and processed as a Process II permit, consistent with the regulations found in Section 13.05.070.D. Major modifications to Conditional Use Permits shall be processed as a Process I permit, consistent with the regulations found in Section 13.05.020.C.

2. In addition to the standard decision criteria, the Director or Hearing Examiner shall, in his/her review and decision, address the applicability of any specific conditions of approval for the original permit.
CHAPTER 13.06
ZONING

13.06.010 General Provisions

* * *

A. Applicability.

1. The regulations of this Chapter are applicable in all zoning districts, with exceptions only as noted. Regulations may refer to districts by class of districts, for example Districts or Industrial Districts, this means that all districts carrying the designated prefix or suffix are required to meet the given regulation. Overlay districts are combined with an underlying zoning district and supplement the regulations of that district. Overlay districts only apply to land carrying the overlay district designation.

2. For a Public Facility Site, as defined in Chapter 13.01, that is at least five acres in size, the regulations set forth in Chapter 13.06 shall not apply if a Development Regulation Agreement, pursuant to the provisions of Section 13.05.050, has been approved for the site and is complied with.

3. Puyallup Tribal Trust Lands are under the jurisdiction of the Puyallup Tribe of Indians and not subject to the use and development standards of this Title. If a property held in Tribal Trust status is removed from Trust and becomes subject to City of Tacoma zoning, the least intensive zoning classification associated with the applied Land Use Designation in the Comprehensive Plan Future Land Use Map will be applied to the property until such time as the City conducts a zoning review and amendment process.

* * *

H. Setbacks and yard areas.

1. Applicability.

* * *

4. Setback and yard area exceptions.

a. Setbacks for group buildings.

* * *

g. Projections into required setbacks and yards.

Every part of a required setback or yard shall be open, from the ground to the sky, and unobstructed, except for the following:

(1) Accessory building in the required rear yard setback.

* * *

(6) Uncovered, ground level decks (deck surface no more than 30-inches in height from surrounding grade) may occupy up to 50 percent of a required setback area and may also extend into required side yard setbacks to within 3-feet of the property line.

* * *
L. Nonconforming parcels/uses/structures.
1. Applicability.

6. Abandonment or vacation of nonconforming use.

When a nonconforming use is vacated or abandoned for 12 consecutive months or for 18 months during any three-year period, the nonconforming use rights shall be deemed extinguished and the use shall, thereafter, be required to be in accordance with the regulations of the zoning district in which it is located. Nonconforming rights for the use of an existing structure may be re-established through a Conditional Use Permit per the requirements in TMC 13.05.010.A, provided (a) the use has not been abandoned for a period of more than five years and (b) the proposed re-use of the structure is no more intensive than the last permitted use at the site as described in subsection (c.) above.

13.06.020 Residential Districts.

A. Applicability.

F. District development standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>R-1</th>
<th>R-2</th>
<th>R-2SRD</th>
<th>HMR-SRD</th>
<th>R-3</th>
<th>R-4-L</th>
<th>R-4</th>
<th>R-5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Minimum Lot Area (in square feet, unless otherwise noted)</td>
<td>* * *</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Minimum Density (units per gross-net acre)</td>
<td>* * *</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Purpose. Accessory dwelling units, conversion of existing single-family to more than one unit, and one infill single-family house on sites currently developed with one, are exempt from minimum-density requirements.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Standard</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Max. Height Limits (in feet)</td>
<td>* * *</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G. Accessory building standards.

Accessory buildings permitted per Section 13.06.020.C.4, such as garages, sheds, detached accessory dwelling units (DADUs), common utility and laundry facilities, and business offices and recreational facilities for mobile home/trailer courts and multi-family uses, are subject to the following location and development standards:

1. The total square footage of all accessory building footprints shall be no more than 85 percent of the square footage of the main building footprint and no more than 15 percent of the square footage of the lot, not to exceed 1,000 square feet. For lots greater than 10,000 square feet, the total square footage of all accessory building footprints shall be no more than 10 percent of the square footage of the lot (the other limitations applicable to smaller properties outlined above shall not apply). If one of the accessory buildings is a Detached ADU, an additional 500 square feet may be added to the allowed total square footage of all accessory building footprints.

7. For garages that include vehicular doors facing the front or corner street property line, the building or portion of the building with such doors shall be setback at least 20 feet from the front or corner street property line or private road easement.
8. Detached accessory buildings located on corner lots shall provide the main building side yard setback along the corner side property line. When the rear lot line of a corner lot abuts the side lot line of the lot in the rear, no accessory building shall be located less than the interior side yard setback for the site’s zoning district.

9. Commercial shipping and/or storage containers shall not be a permitted type of accessory building in any residential zoning district. Such storage containers may only be allowed as a temporary use, subject to the limitations and standards in Section 13.06.635.

K. Small-lot single family residential development.

1. Applicability.

* * *

6. Functional Minimum yard space shall (see examples below):

a. Feature minimum dimensions of 15 feet on all sides, except for lots that are less than 3,500 SF, where the minimum dimensions shall be no less than 12 feet.

b. Not include alleys or driveway space.

c. Not be located within the required front yard.

d. Be directly connected to and accessible from the house.

e. For minimum usable yard spaces applicable to single family dwellings, refer to Section 13.06.020.F.7.b.

f. For yard space exceptions pertaining to critical areas, refer to Section 13.06.020.F.7.g(1).

* * *

13.06.030 Commercial Districts.

A. Applicability.

* * *

F. District development standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T</th>
<th>C-1</th>
<th>C-2</th>
<th>PDB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Lot area and building envelope standards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Setbacks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Applicability.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Purpose.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Minimum Front Setback</td>
<td>In all districts listed above, 0 feet, unless abutting a residential zoning, then equal to the residential zoning district for the first 100 feet from that side. Maximum setbacks (Section 13.06.200.E [13.06.030.F.8]) supersede this requirement where applicable. Animal sales and service: shall be setback from residential uses or residential zoning district boundaries at least 20 feet.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Minimum Side Setback</td>
<td>In all districts listed above, 0 feet, unless created by requirements in Section 13.06.090.B. Animal sales and service: shall be setback from residential uses or residential zoning district boundaries at least 20 feet.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Minimum Rear Setback</td>
<td>In all districts listed above, 0 feet, unless created by requirements in Section 13.06.090.B. Animal sales and service: shall be setback from residential uses or residential zoning district boundaries at least 20 feet.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* * *
8. Maximum setback standards on designated streets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T</th>
<th>C-1</th>
<th>C-2</th>
<th>PDB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Applicability.</td>
<td>Pedestrian streets as defined in TMC 13.06.010.D.1.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. 6th Avenue (Madison Street to Alder Street).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. 6th Avenue (Sprague Avenue to I Street).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. North 30th Street (from 200 feet east of the Starr Street centerline to 190 feet west of the Steele Street centerline).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Purpose.</td>
<td>To achieve a pedestrian supportive environment, where buildings are located in close proximity to the street and designed with areas free of pedestrian and vehicle movement conflicts, maximum building setbacks are required as follows:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* * *

* * *

13.06.040  Mixed-Use Center Districts.

A. Applicability.

* * *

F. District development standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NCX</th>
<th>CCX</th>
<th>UCX</th>
<th>RCX</th>
<th>CIX</th>
<th>HMX</th>
<th>URX</th>
<th>NRX</th>
<th>Additional Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Lot area and setbacks.</td>
<td>For single, two- and three-family dwellings and townhouses: 10-foot front, 5-foot sides, 15-foot rear For other uses: 10-foot front, 7.5-foot sides, 20-foot rear Maximum setbacks may apply (see Section 13.06.040.H). If a buffer is required, a minimum setback is created (see Section 13.06.090.J). Townhouse setback standards apply to the perimeter property lines of the development and not to individual internal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Minimum setbacks.</td>
<td>0 feet</td>
<td>0 feet</td>
<td>0 feet</td>
<td>0 feet</td>
<td>0 feet</td>
<td>0 feet</td>
<td>0 feet</td>
<td>For townhouse developments, a setback of at least 5 feet shall be provided along the perimeter of the development on all sides that do not abut public street or alley right-of-way.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For X District property abutting a residentially zoned property, equal to the residential zoning district for the first 100 feet from that side.

For X District property across a non-designated Pedestrian Street from R-1, R-2 or R-2SRD District property, the following front yard setback shall be provided:

- Minimum 10-foot front yard setbacks are required along non-designated Pedestrian Streets.
- Limited exception: For corner lots that also front on a designated Pedestrian Street, this setback shall not apply for the first 130 feet from the corner, as measured along the edge of the right-of-way.
- Covered porches and entry features may project up to 6 feet into the setback.
- The setback area may include landscaping, walkways, pedestrian plazas, private patios, porches, or vehicular access crossings (where allowed), but not include parking.

---

**13.06.060 Industrial Districts.**

A. Applicability.

---

E. District use restrictions.

The following use table designates all permitted, limited, and prohibited uses in the districts listed. Use classifications not listed in this section are prohibited, unless permitted via Section 13.05.080.

1. Within the JBLM Airport Compatibility Overlay District, the land use and development standards of this section are modified as specified in TMC 13.06.070.F, which shall prevail in the case of any conflict.

---

4. District use table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Uses ²</th>
<th>M-1</th>
<th>M-2</th>
<th>PMI</th>
<th>Additional Regulations ¹, ²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adult family home</td>
<td>P/N*</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>In M-1 districts, permitted only within residential or institutional buildings in existence on December 31, 2008, the effective date of adoption of this provision, or when located within a mixed-use building where a minimum of 1/3 of the building is devoted to industrial or commercial use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>*Not permitted within the South Tacoma M/IC Overlay District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>See Section 13.06.080.N.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Uses</th>
<th>M-1</th>
<th>M-2</th>
<th>PMI</th>
<th>Additional Regulations[^1,^2]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Correctional facility[^*]</td>
<td>CU</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Modifications or expansions to existing facilities that increase the inmate/detainee capacity shall be processed as a major modification (see Section 13.05.080). A pre-application community meeting is also required. This CU is only available in the M-1 zones in place as of 1/1/2018. The notification distance for a project within the M-1 zone will be 2,500 feet from the boundaries of that zone.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detention facility[^*]</td>
<td>CU</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Modifications or expansions to existing facilities that increase the inmate/detainee capacity shall be processed as a major modification (see Section 13.05.080). A pre-application community meeting is also required (see Section 13.05.010.A.16. This CU is only available in the M-1 zones in place as of January 1, 2018. The notification distance for a project within the M-1 zone will be 2,500 feet from the boundaries of that zone.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[^1]: 13.06.070 Overlay Districts.

A. View-Sensitive Overlay District.

C. PRD Planned Residential Development District.

1. Applicability.

5. Urban design, sustainability and connectivity. The PRD site design shall demonstrate the following:

a. Establishment of high quality and context-responsive Basic Neighborhood Patterns, including the following:

f. Sustainable features. The proposal must provide documentation of the incorporation of both green building and site features as follows:

(1) Built Green 4 Stars or LEED Gold Certified rating for Building Design and Construction; and,

(2) Greenroads Bronze, or equivalent best available or practicable certification, if full new roadway sections are constructed.

g. Connectivity. Proposed PRD Districts shall connect with and continue the abutting street network, to provide for a continuous connection with the neighborhood pedestrian, bicycle and vehicular pathways, to the maximum extent feasible.
13.06.080 Special Use Standards

A. Accessory dwelling units.

1. Applicability.

5. Use Standards, subject to variance:

a. Minimum Lot Size.

5. Height.

(1) Attached ADUs are subject to the height limitations applicable to the main house.

(2) Detached ADUs shall be no taller than the main house. In addition, height shall be limited to the most restrictive of the following:

- The maximum height for detached ADUs shall be 18 feet, measured per the Building Code, or up to 20 feet with incorporation of either parking on the main level of below or above the DADU structure (not next to), or with certification of the DADU under Built Green criteria with 4 stars, or equivalent environmental certification.

- The conversion of an existing accessory structure taller than 18 feet may be authorized through issuance of a Conditional Use Permit.

- In View Sensitive Districts, the maximum height shall be 15 feet, measured per TMC 13.06.700.B, and allowance of additional height is subject to TMC 13.05.010.B Variances.

d. Location.

The ADU shall be permitted as a second dwelling unit added to or created within the main building or as a detached structure located in the rear yard.

13.06.090 Site Development Standards.

A. Drive-throughs.

B. Landscaping standards.

1. Applicability.

4. District landscaping requirements.

a. Applicability.

e. Site Perimeter Landscaping:

(1) Purpose.

Site Perimeter Landscaping is intended to ensure that areas abutting public rights of way, property lines, and not developed with structures, be attractive, and provide the environmental benefits of vegetation.

(2) Exceptions.

Site Perimeter Landscaping is not required in Industrial or X Districts.
C. Off-street parking areas.

1. Applicability.

** * * *


The quantity of off-street parking shall be provided in accordance with the standards of the tables below.

a. Fractions.

** * * *

h. The following parking quantity standards apply to the Zoning Districts established in 13.06.020 Residential Districts, 13.06.030 Commercial Districts, and 13.06.060 Industrial Districts.

<p>| TABLE 1 – Required Off-Street Parking Spaces9, 14 (All footnotes are in Table 2 below.) |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Required parking spaces</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-family detached dwelling, Adult family home, Staffed residential home1, 2, 12</td>
<td>Dwelling.</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-family dwelling in all districts1, 2, 12</td>
<td>Dwelling.</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Townhouse dwelling in all districts1, 2, 12</td>
<td>Dwelling.</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three-family dwelling in all districts1, 2, 12</td>
<td>Dwelling.</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two- or Three-family dwelling via Conditional Use Permit</td>
<td>Dwelling.</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group housing – up to 6 residents</td>
<td>Room, suite or dwelling.</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group housing – 7 or more residents1, 16</td>
<td>Room, suite or dwelling.</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Lots, Cottage Housing and lots not conforming to area/width3</td>
<td>Dwelling.</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** * * *

Retail10 (View Sensitive)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Required parking spaces</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retail commercial establishments, except as otherwise herein, less than 15,000 square feet of floor area</td>
<td>1,000 square feet of floor area.</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shopping Center</td>
<td>1,000 square feet of floor area.</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail commercial establishments, except as otherwise herein</td>
<td>1,000 square feet of floor area.</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating and drinking establishments11 (View Sensitive)</td>
<td>1,000 square feet of floor area.</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Office

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Required parking spaces</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Business and professional offices</td>
<td>1,000 square feet of floor area.</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical and dental clinics</td>
<td>1,000 square feet of floor area.</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** * * *
TABLE 2 – Exceptions to quantity requirements.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Guest rooms, dwellings or suites in group housing, retirement homes, apartment hotels, residential hotels, and residential clubs shall be construed to be dwelling units for purposes of determining the number of off-street parking stalls required.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* * *

15. For purposes of calculating parking quantity requirements, “floor area,” when used, shall not include space devoted to parking.

16. Parking requirements may be reduced through provision of one or more of the Parking Quantity Reduction options offered in Mixed-Use Center Districts (TMC 13.06.0909.C.j, below), up to a minimum of 1 stall per 2 rooms, suites or dwellings. Each parking reduction option provided shall receive 50 percent of the credit available in Mixed-Use Center Districts. This reduction may not be utilized in combination with the bonus offered through Footnote 1 of this table.

* * *

j. Mixed-Use Centers – Required Off-Street Parking Spaces.

(1) Applicability. 
(a) The following off-street parking requirements apply to mixed-use zoning districts as established in 13.06.040 Mixed-use Center Districts.
(b) Accessible parking shall be provided for people with physical disabilities as part of all new buildings and additions to existing buildings in accordance with the standards set forth in Chapter 2.02 Building Code, based on the parking provided. However, after consulting with the City’s ADA Coordinator, the Building Official may approve an alternate to providing, or a reduction of, on-site accessible parking when it is determined that the alternate is reasonable in light of circumstances associated with the specifics of an individual site and the needs of people with disabilities.

(2) Quantity. Residential Uses. Minimum 1.0 stall per unit.
   Commercial or Office Uses. Minimum 2.5 stalls per 1000 square feet of floor area.
   Other Uses. For uses not specifically listed above, the parking requirement in the Mixed-Use Center Districts shall be 70% of the parking requirement for that use identified in Table 1. See Section 13.06.090.C for use of compact stalls.
   For purposes of calculating parking quantity requirements, “floor area,” when used, shall not include space devoted to parking.
   In the Tacoma Mall Center, the following parking quantities are required:
   (1) Residential uses. Minimum 0.5 stalls per unit.
   (2) Non-residential uses. Exempt from vehicular parking requirements, except for loading spaces pursuant to TMC 13.06.090.C, and accessible spaces pursuant to the provisions of 13.06A.065.B.2.

* * *

4. Parking Quantity Reductions.

   a. Mixed-use Centers and Downtown.

   The parking requirements for mixed-use, multi-family, group housing, commercial, institutional and industrial developments within Mixed-use Center Districts as established in TMC 13.06.040 and Downtown Districts as established in 13.06.050 may be reduced as follows:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1) Transit Access</th>
<th>Parking requirement shall be reduced by 25% for sites located within 500 feet accessible walking distance of a transit stop and 50% for sites located within 500 feet accessible walking distance of a transit stop at which a minimum of 20-minute peak hour service is provided (routes which serve stops at least every 20 minutes during peak hours). Applicants requesting this reduction must provide a map identifying the site and transit service schedules for all transit routes within 500 feet of the site.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(6) Bicycle Parking Credit</td>
<td>For every five non-required bicycle parking spaces provided on the site (beyond the standard requirements, as found in Section 13.06.090.F), the automobile parking requirement shall be reduced by one space. This credit is limited to a maximum of 5 automobile spaces, or 15% of the standard parking requirement for the development, whichever is less. Vehicle parking for residential uses shall be replaced by long-term bicycle parking. For all other uses, a combination of long- and short-term bicycle parking shall be used for each vehicle space replaced.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G. Short and Long Term Bicycle Parking.

1. Applicability.


a. Location standards.

(1) Long-term bicycle parking facilities for residential uses shall be located on site and within 100 feet of the building they serve.

(2) Non-residential long-term bicycle parking shall be located on-site or within a shared bicycle parking facility within three-hundred (300) feet of the lot, except as provided in subsection 6 below.

(3) Long-term bicycle parking shall be in a secure location where access to the bicycles is limited and is not available to the general public.

J. Residential transition standards.

1. Applicability.

5. Landscaping Buffers:

a. Applicability.

b. Purpose.

Landscaping buffers are intended to function as a substantial vegetative screening providing physical and visual separation between dissimilar districts in order to soften visual and aesthetic impacts. Buffers also provide the aesthetic and environmental benefits of vegetation.

c. Exceptions.

(1) When there is a 20 foot vertical grade difference between a development site that is located across the street or alley or is abutting R-District property, no Landscape buffers are required along the affected property line if such grade difference is demonstrated to provide comparable protection.
(5) The continuous landscaping buffer may be interrupted to the minimum extent necessary to accommodate walkway access and preferred driveway access to and from the property and to allow limited access to and use of necessary utilities.

(6) A buffer is not required between the front of a residential building and the street.

* * *

13.06.100 Building design standards.

A. Commercial District Minimum Design Standards.

1. General applicability.

* * *


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose: The following design choices are intended to help reduce the apparent mass of structures and achieve a more human scale environment by providing physical breaks in the building volume that reduce large, flat, geometrical planes on any given building elevation.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>a. Size to choice ratio for b below</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) Buildings under 7,000 square feet of floor area are not required to provide mass reduction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Buildings from 7,000 square feet of floor area to 30,000 square feet of floor area shall provide at least one mass reduction feature.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Buildings over 30,000 square feet of floor area shall provide at least two mass reduction features.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **b. Mass reduction choices** |
| (1) Upper story. Buildings with a maximum footprint of 7,000 square feet of floor area, that do not exceed 14,000 square feet of floor area, may count use of a second story as a mass reduction feature. |
| (2) Upper story setback. An 8 feet minimum setback for stories above the second story for elevations facing the street or parking lots over 20 stalls. This requirement applies to a maximum of 2 elevations. |
| (3) Wall modulation. Maximum 100 feet of wall without modulation, then a minimum 2 feet deep and 15 feet wide offset of the wall and foundation line on each elevation facing the street, parking lots over 20 stalls, or residential uses. |
| (4) Public plaza. A public plaza of at least 800 square feet or 5 percent of building floor area, whichever is greater. The plaza shall be located within 50 feet of and visible to the primary public entrance; and contain a minimum of a bench or other seating, tree, planter, fountain, kiosk, bike rack, or art work for each 200 square feet of plaza area. **Any proposed art work shall be coordinated with the City’s Arts Administrator or approved by the Arts Commission.** Plaza contents may count toward other requirements when meeting the required criteria. Walkways do not count as plazas. Plazas shall not be used for storage. Required parking stalls may be omitted to the minimum necessary if needed to provide the plaza. Where public seating is provided, it shall utilize designs that discourage long-term loitering or sleeping, such as dividers or individual seating furniture. Plazas may be permeable pavement or pavers where feasible. Low Impact Development vegetated stormwater features may be used for up to 30% of the plaza requirement where feasible. |
B. Mixed-Use District Minimum Design Standards.

8. Façade Surface Standards.

| Purpose: The following standards are intended to help reduce the apparent mass of structures and achieve a more human scale environment by providing visual breaks at more frequent intervals to the building volume that reduce large, flat, geometrical planes on any given building elevation, especially at the first story. The choices are also intended to encourage variety in the selection of façade materials and/or treatment and to encourage more active consideration of the surrounding setting. |
|---|---|
| a. Blank walls limitation | (1) Blank wall definition: A ground floor wall or portion of a ground floor wall that is over 4 feet in height and has a horizontal length greater than 15 feet without a transparent window or door |
| (2) Blank walls facing a street, internal pathway, or customer parking lot of 20 stalls or greater must be treated in one or more of the following ways: | |
| • Transparent windows or doors. | |
| • Display windows at least 2 feet in depth and integrated into the façade (tack-on display cases do not qualify). | |
| • Landscape planting bed at least 5 feet wide or a raised planter bed at least 2 feet high and 3 feet wide in front of the wall. Such planting areas shall include planting materials that are sufficient to obscure or screen at least 60 percent of the wall’s surface within 3 years. | |
| • Installing a vertical trellis in front of the wall with climbing vines or plant materials sufficient to obscure or screen at least 60 percent of the wall’s surface within 3 years. For large areas, trellises should be used in conjunction with other blank wall treatments. | |
| b. Building face orientation | (1) All multi-family buildings shall maintain primary orientation to an adjacent street or right-of-way and not toward the alley or rear of the site, unless otherwise determined by the Director. |
| (2) The building elevation(s) facing street public rights-of-way shall be a front, side, or corner side and shall not contain elements commonly associated with a rear elevation appearance, such as loading docks, utility meters, and/or dumpsters. | |
| (3) For buildings that have more than 2 qualifying elevations, this requirement shall only be applied to two of them. | |