

# **CITY OF TACOMA LEGISLATIVE POLICY MANUAL**

**2009-10 EDITION**

**Approved by the City Council**

**November 18, 2008**

(with the exception of policies on utilities)

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### 4     LEGISLATIVE PHILOSOPHY

### 5     ADMINISTRATION

Affirmative action  
Charter  
Collective bargaining  
Court consolidation  
Health care benefits  
Health department  
Liability on negligence & nuisances  
Pension portability  
Public records & open meetings

### 15    ECONOMIC & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Annexation  
Arts  
Beltway planting  
Business relocation impacts  
Fair share  
Foss Waterway redevelopment  
Gambling  
Growth Management  
Housing  
Historic preservation  
Library  
Neighborhood improvement  
Parks & recreation  
Property valuation  
Stadia & convention centers  
Tax incentives  
Tourism  
Two-year colleges  
University of Washington Tacoma  
Washington State History Museum

### 40    ENVIRONMENT

Air & water pollution  
Model Toxics Control Act  
State Environmental Policy Act  
Sustainability  
Wetlands

### 45    HUMAN RIGHTS & AT-RISK POPULATIONS

Accessibility  
Children  
Chronic inebriates  
Deaf services  
Discrimination & hate crimes  
Homeless  
Human rights  
Seniors  
Welfare  
Working poor

### 56    REVENUE, BUDGET & FINANCE

Capital facilities revenue  
Finance & accounting  
General fund revenue  
Local transportation revenue  
Unfunded mandates & other  
State & Federal budget  
impacts

64    PUBLIC SAFETY

Administrative warrants  
Cost recovery for services  
Domestic violence  
Fire codes  
Firearms & other weapons  
Fireworks  
Hazardous materials  
Juvenile justice  
Misdemeanor presence rule  
Trauma care

75    TRANSPORTATION &  
PUBLIC WORKS

Dangerous buildings  
Design/build  
Ferry service  
Mini-maxi building codes  
Regional transportation  
Regional transportation facilities  
Regulatory reform

Tacoma-Eastern Railroad  
Traffic congestion & safety  
Transportation planning  
Transportation system utilities

87    UTILITIES

Utility rates & taxation  
Utility service  
Utility operation  
Tacoma Power  
Tacoma Water  
Tacoma Rail  
Telecommunications  
Solid Waste Utility  
    Landfill liability  
    Recycling  
Wastewater Utility  
    Alternative treatment  
    techniques  
    Biosolids

## LEGISLATIVE PHILOSOPHY

The City is a first class, charter city endowed by the State Constitution and laws and by its citizens with the authority to raise revenue and provide services necessary to carry out the vision set by the City Council.

In its relationship with the State and Federal governments, the City always:

- Seeks the broadest authority for our citizens and the City Council to make decisions locally.
- Seeks to avoid the assumption of the costs of services from other governments without adequate revenue.
- Seeks options in both revenue-raising and in approaches to providing services so our citizens and the City Council can determine for themselves the best way to meet their needs.
- Seeks new efficiencies and partnerships that can enhance service delivery and reduce costs.
- Seeks opportunities to help our partners achieve success in order to further improve the community.

It is within this philosophy that the attached State and Federal legislative policies are adopted.

## ADMINISTRATION

## **Affirmative action**

The voters of Washington have approved Initiative 200, which prohibits the use of affirmative action in government hiring and contracting.

The City remains committed to programs designed to mitigate historical patterns of discrimination and prejudice against minorities and women.

Initiative 200 has no effect on federal affirmative action regulations. The City complies with all federal requirements related to affirmative action and believes that such requirements are necessary and proper.

The City believes that government should provide leadership in eliminating and preventing discrimination and, therefore, supports relevant programs designed to accomplish this goal.

## **Charter**

The citizens of Tacoma adopted a home rule charter in 1953. The Charter is regularly reviewed and has been amended by vote of the people many times. Within the framework of Federal and State law, the City should be free to exercise its authority in taxation and service delivery.

The City opposes legislation that infringes upon its autonomy, including its rights over water, power, telecommunications, and rail services

## **Collective bargaining**

The process of collective bargaining is an important tool as it emphasizes the voluntary resolution of disputes between parties.

The City supports state legislation that will recognize compensation and employee benefits as a proper subject for bargaining while maintaining public employers' prerogatives in managing their own operations.

The City also supports excluding essential management personnel, confidential employees and supervisory personnel from collective bargaining.

Legislation should not interfere with the City's ability to decide with its unions such issues as whether and how to fund retiree benefits.

## **Court consolidation**

The consolidation of municipal and district courts into one court of limited jurisdiction has been considered by the Legislature.

The City opposes a forced consolidation of its municipal court into the district court system. The municipal court, operating independently of district, is more accessible and responsive to citizens, more cost effective and efficient and dispenses justice constitutionally and appropriately. The City supports the local option of cities to maintain independent municipal courts and supports further technical and financial assistance for the administration of municipal courts.

## **Health care benefits**

The high cost of health care is an important fiscal concern to the City, both as an employer and because a substantial number of our citizens have no health care coverage.

The presumptive disease law should be reviewed. The City opposes any attempts to expand the existing law to other diseases, conditions or employee groups.

The City supports legislation that encourages development of alternative medical plans for delivery of health care. The City supports efforts to include benefits for domestic partners of City employees at all levels of employment.

Control of the number of licensed practitioner-disciplines such as occupational therapists, acupuncture specialists, social workers, etc., is very important to an overall program of cost containment and reduction of mandated coverage.

The City supports legislative action at both the State and Federal levels to provide universal access to health care.

## **Health department**

The Legislature has tasked counties with primary responsibility for public health. The City and Pierce County have adopted an interlocal agreement that continues the long-standing joint city-county health department model while placing greater financial and governance control with the County.

Voter initiatives substantially reduced State funding to local health departments, while at the same time the departments were faced with significant population growth and increased demands for services as well as being tasked with the preparing for the local response to potential national and international health crisis. The Legislature has made a very limited contribution to replace some of the revenue lost to local health agencies.

The City opposes unilateral decisions by either the State or the County concerning public health and opposes any legislative efforts to create a uniform, one-size-fits-all, public health model.

The City supports full restoration of State funding to local health departments.

## **Liability on negligence and nuisances**

The City supports a State constitutional amendment to reinstitute the cap on non-economic tort damages as it was pursuant to the 1986 Tort Reform Act.

Where fault is attributable to every party that caused a claimant's damage, including the claimant, then judgment is entered against each defendant in an amount proportionate to that party's share of the claimant's total damage. An exception is made that where the claimant was not at fault; the defendants against whom judgment is entered shall be jointly and severally liable.

The City supports elimination of this exception. Defendants should be subject to payment of only their proportionate share of the claimant's total damages, whether or not the claimant is at fault.

The City supports legislation that will provide the ability for the City to recover litigation costs and expenses incurred to defend sidewalk liability claims where the abutting property owner knew or should have known that their sidewalk is unfit or unsafe, as well as legislation that limits the City's liability to its proportionate share of fault only.

The City has the authority to abate a public nuisance. Within this authority, the City is allowed to bring suit to recover the costs of abating the nuisance. There is no provision for the City to recover the administrative costs associated with abating the nuisance.

The City supports legislation that will allow a City to recover the administrative costs incurred in abating a public nuisance.

## **Pension portability**

The Legislature has authorized portability between the pension systems of the cities of Tacoma, Seattle and Spokane and the various state pension systems.

The City supports portability of pension as long as each participating entity is responsible for its individual costs.

## **Public records & open meetings**

Whenever the City conducts an investigation, whether through its police force or other branches, it will not publicly disclose the identities of those who file complaints nor other information that it considers vital to the investigation unless required to do so by law.

The City tries to balance the legitimate right of the public to many public records with the rights of its employees to protect specific personal information from disclosure. The City supports legislation that will provide broader exemptions of personally identifiable information from public disclosure.

Documents that are not considered confidential or that do not relate to an investigation or on-going labor negotiations will be released as required to the public upon request.

Municipal utilities face direct competition from private sector companies that currently can use public records statutes to gather strategic business intelligence to give them a significant unfair advantage in a competitive environment. The City supports legislation to protect financial or commercial information furnished to, or developed by, the utility as part of a proposal, bid, or negotiation for services provided by the utility.

The City supports legislation to allow public agencies to maintain the confidentiality of certain documents where there is a reasonable potential that such information could provide aid to persons intent on sabotaging vital public services.

The City opposes requiring the recording of executive sessions or other restrictions on legitimate uses of executive sessions.

**ECONOMIC & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT**

## **Annexation**

The City believes that annexation laws should encourage the logical development and expansion of the City to provide for a healthy and growing local economy and efficient services. The law should also prohibit unincorporated islands adjacent to City limits.

The City supports legislation that will further modify state annexation laws to reduce the administrative process of annexation, encourage and incentivize annexation of existing unincorporated islands, and bring petition method requirements between first class and code cities into alignment.

## **Arts**

The permanence and stability of Pierce County's cultural institutions are essential to our community's well-being. The arts have achieved acceptance as a sign of social and economic vitality in the region.

The City, through the Tacoma Arts Commission, supports use of the General Fund, grants, and dedicated tax sources such as hotel/motel tax (when available) as well as maintaining State appropriations for arts stabilization funding.

Public art adds to the vitality of communities. The City supports programs such as 1 Percent for the Arts that assist with acquisition of art.

## **Beltway planting**

The City supports a legislative strategy that would revise and upgrade the quality of landscaping and beltway planting along freeways, highways, local roads and non-motorized pathways to improve the overall aesthetic appearance of cities for visitors, guests, motorists and other citizens, as well as reducing greenhouse gases and contaminated storm water runoff, and assisting with the implementation of community safety programs by ensuring that landscaping schemes follow CPTED principles. (Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design). Use of native plants and trees reduces maintenance costs for planted areas.

The City supports increased funding and stepped up implementation schedule for such landscaping in beltway plantings for all state and county highways. The City supports legislation that would require beltway planting in state and county construction.

## **Business relocation impacts**

While businesses have the right to close and relocate their operations, these decisions can have impacts to the neighborhood left behind.

Some of Tacoma's older neighborhoods have suffered from business relocation decisions that have deprived large populations of essential services such as grocery stores and banks.

The impact of these relocations is compounded greatly when the departing business uses lease or sale agreements to prevent a potential competitor from using the abandoned business site. The effect of this policy is to deny a neighborhood the services of the departing business, and also to make it harder for a willing competitor to replace it while at the same time blighting the neighborhood with closed buildings.

The City supports legislation that will limit the ability of a business to restrict the use of abandoned buildings beyond one year from the date of closure of the business.

## **Fair share**

The concept of “fair share” is one where all of the communities in the state accept a measure of responsibility for hosting state and federal facilities that may be less desirable. One way of doing this is to assure that a community will only host facilities housing a number commensurate with the number of persons that community places into the State correctional system. The concept includes assuring that neighborhoods within a city do not bear an unfair measure of responsibility for hosting these facilities.

The “fair share” concept also relates to where persons treated in state correctional facilities are released when they are not in a community based facility. Prisoners, for example, are to be released to the county in which they entered the corrections system.

The Legislature has directed State agencies to follow a “fair share” policy as far as practicable. Evidence of the use of this policy to date has shown it can work in directing to their home communities persons who might previously have been released in Pierce County.

The City strongly supports “fair share” in regard to persons with criminal histories. The problems caused in our community by the State not utilizing the “fair share” approach until recently did not occur over night and will not be corrected immediately. It is reasonable that the State will review and adjust the policy in time as it gains experience. The City expects the State to involve local government in any such reviews.

The City will oppose location of additional state correctional facilities in Pierce or south King Counties unless there is clear evidence through the use of the “fair share” policy Pierce County is putting more persons into these systems than it can house upon their release from correctional facilities.

## **Foss Waterway redevelopment**

The Foss Waterway is approximately three and one half miles of continuous shoreline adjacent to Commencement Bay and the Central Business District. The City purchased 26.8 acres of land along the Waterway in the 1990's and has spent millions of dollars cleaning the property and adjacent waters for redevelopment.

A design and development plan and an environmental master plan for redevelopment of the public parcels have been adopted.

The City expects to utilize a variety of available mechanisms to achieve redevelopment. These may include a public development authority, public-private partnerships, direct state capital budget requests, recreation and open space grants, and general obligation bonds.

The City may need to utilize other tools to achieve its desired result. These additional tools, such as tax incentives and tax increment financing, will require legislative and/or legal action or statewide voter approval.

The City supports legislative and legal efforts to achieve all appropriate mechanisms to achieve redevelopment of Foss Waterway.

## **Gambling**

The citizens of Tacoma have voted to ban non-tribal gambling in the city.

## **Growth management**

The City supports a strong emphasis on planning to protect and preserve community character and urban design; improve quality of life; and minimize impacts on the environment and climate change.

The City is the appropriate level of government to define allowable land uses and other land development standards within its boundaries and can best determine a local growth strategy. Local governments can more readily react to local land use needs and trends. Some issues cross jurisdictional boundaries. Regional cooperation and coordination are appropriate for resolving these issues.

The City continues to support the Growth Management Act and believes its premise of State requirements and local determination are the appropriate response to manage growth in a coordinated and consistent manner. The City opposes amendments to GMA which weaken its mandates or undermine its ability to control sprawl.

The City believes GMA needs clarification by the Legislature concerning consistency. This includes, but is not limited to, the role of special districts. The City supports legislation that will require special districts to plan and perform activities that affect growth, development, land use, infrastructure, and services in compliance with local plans and regulations. Clarification and strengthening of consistency requirements for the provision of utilities and services by special districts, quasi-public and private providers is needed.

Local governments must maintain final decision authority on local zoning, land use, and planning. Specifically, the City opposes any effort by either the Legislature or Congress to preempt local land use or taxation authority pertaining to telecommunications infrastructure.

The City continues to support the concept that cities are the preferred places for urban growth. The county governmental structure is not equipped to serve urbanized areas and their attendant needs over the long term.

The City supports the ability to appeal local plans and development regulations of adjacent jurisdictions to the Growth Management Hearings Board if there are inconsistencies and conflicts with the City's plans and regulations.

The City supports amendments to vesting rights to have the right to develop occur when a complete application is submitted and accepted.

Impact fees on private development were authorized by GMA to provide funds for off-site improvements for schools, roads, recreation and fire protection. The City supports impact fees for all off-site improvements that are required by new

developments. Impact fees are not the only way for growth to pay for its costs to the community and will not by themselves provide sufficient revenue for the City to meet the infrastructure needs of expected growth. The City supports new revenue options related to development that can better cover the costs of growth related new or improved infrastructure.

The City supports the recommendations of the Land Use/Climate Change Advisory Committee created by SSB 6580 which facilitate local government planning for climate change and providing additional infrastructure funding to accomplish those recommendations.

A comprehensive program allowing for the transfer of development rights could serve as another method of protecting agricultural and timber lands, wetlands and other critical areas while providing further incentives for development in urban areas.

## **Historic preservation**

The historic preservation goal of the City is to conserve, protect, rehabilitate and reuse commercial and residential properties, including neighborhoods of special historic, architectural, aesthetic and cultural value to the community. On the basis of principles of urban conservation and design, the City intends to pursue policies that maintain Tacoma's physical character, architectural presence and diversity and traditional patterns of stability and growth.

In furtherance of this goal, the City supports legislation that will continue special valuation tax incentives for the rehabilitation of historic properties and grant programs to assist preservation of historic properties.

## Housing

The City strongly supports legislation that will create a more effective partnership between Federal, State and local governments in addressing the needs of low-income households. The City supports increased funding for the Housing Trust Fund/Housing Assistance Program as a source of revenue for local governments.

The City also supports legislation which will seek continued capital funding for affordable housing. A variety of proposals have come forward in recent years for creative financing of affordable housing. The City has supported these efforts and believes that State and local resources and creative tax strategies are the best methods of providing more funding for affordable housing.

The City supports the use of real estate excise tax revenues (REET) for low-income housing; the use of impact fees for preservation and replacement of low-income housing; affordable housing in each district (including downtown) throughout the City; fair housing provisions; and enhanced tenant relocation provisions when affordable housing is renovated or replaced.

Taxation of property devoted to low-income housing and mobile home parks should be permitted at current use instead of highest and best use. The City supports funding to enable tenants, nonprofit organizations, housing authorities and local governments to purchase at-risk Section 8 projects being converted to market rate rents. The City values group homes for persons covered by federal, State and local protected classes as a vital part of our neighborhoods and greater community. Racial and ethnic diversity in housing throughout the City is encouraged through principles to affirmatively further fair housing.

Properties owned and leased by nonprofits to provide permanent housing for the homeless should receive property tax exemptions. Current law only applies to shelters and transitional housing. A sales tax exemption and property tax deferral for improvements made by nonprofits to upgrade substandard housing is also needed.

Numerous affordable dwelling units are currently unavailable to potential owners or non-profit housing organizations because they have been closed under various drug elimination programs and dangerous building code enforcement actions. Rehabilitation of this housing should be encouraged through forgiveness or reduction of liens placed against the property.

The City supports the community mobilization programs such as Tacoma CARES, the Hilltop Action Coalition, and Safe Streets which assists local neighborhood revitalization and code enforcement activities.

The Tacoma Housing Authority is rebuilding the City's historic Salishan community using Federal Hope VI funds as well as local and private funds. The City took a lead role in securing the Hope VI funds and this project is the largest in the nation in terms of acreage. The City supports completion of the project and believes there is an appropriate role for State funding assistance. The City also supports collaboration between the State and Federal governments and the Tacoma Housing Authority for like projects around the city.

The State should encourage appropriately designed construction and rehabilitation of affordable residential housing for low to moderate income families and individuals through financial and/or tax incentives to private developers.

The City supports programs that would facilitate home ownership by low- and moderate-income families and would provide a variety of affordable homes. These programs may include urban homestead programs, land trusts and tax increment financing tools as well as other options.

The Legislature has also considered proposals to override local zoning authority and force reductions in community construction standards packaged as affordable housing programs. The City opposes such efforts as both inappropriate and misdirected.

Tacoma has the highest foreclosure rate in the State. The City will look for ways to provide assistance to homeowners facing foreclosure and guard against abuse. The City supports first time homebuyer programs, especially in challenged neighborhoods.

Encouraging new downtown and mixed use center housing helps the City implement its Growth Management Act requirements to increase density and provide for affordable housing. The City has played a leadership role in the area, introducing successful property tax deferral legislation for multi-family housing that is now available to most cities in the state. The City has also introduced and supported legislation updating urban renewal statutes, providing tax increment financing and other approaches. The City continues to support these and other development tools that encourage growth in urban centers.

The Legislature has mandated that the City must allow new manufactured houses to be located in any residential zone. The legislation does allow the City to require manufactured homes to meet any design guidelines that apply to conventional construction and to meet certain other foundation requirements. The legislation should also allow the City to require manufactured homes placed on vacant lots to be of similar size and style to surrounding homes.

## **Library**

The City believes that it is a State responsibility to ensure an adequate level and an equitable distribution of library services, using methods that take into account the varying needs and fiscal capabilities of local government.

The Tacoma Public Library will enter into reciprocal borrowing agreements with other jurisdictions only if such an agreement can be shown to be clearly of benefit to the Tacoma Public Library and would cause no hardship to the Library. In particular, the City will oppose any attempt to make mandatory such reciprocity, unless there is an agreed-upon, appropriate level of compensation. Reciprocal borrowing which causes a hardship or is unfunded, is simply a tax shift from the "lender" jurisdiction to the "borrower" jurisdiction.

The City supports legislation to achieve a better balance in the intergovernmental funding of public libraries. In Washington, public libraries are totally supported by local government, while nationally, state government provides 13 percent of local library support.

The City supports legislation that provides supplemental funding to libraries throughout the state. The City supports the exemption of libraries from the State sales tax on the purchase of materials to be loaned to the public; this amounts to a shift of local tax dollars directly to the State.

## **Neighborhood improvement**

Neighborhood residential and commercial areas must be prevented from deteriorating.

The City supports programs that may be developed to assist local governments in improvement of neighborhood residential and commercial area rehabilitation, through tax incentives, grants, loans and other programs.

The City supports additional incentives for revitalization of designated Community Empowerment Zones (i.e. Federal Enterprise Communities). For example, Housing Trust Fund and public works grants and loans should be targeted to the zones. State incentives should complement incentives offered by the Federal government.

## **Parks & recreation**

The City of Tacoma, together with the Metropolitan Park District, is in need of state assistance to improve, maintain and expand its local park system and the open space areas of the City.

As the principles of the Growth Management Act are implemented, resulting in increased urban density, the need to acquire greenbelts, open space and additional park land will become increasingly important.

The state can provide assistance in the form of direct aid in acquiring park and open space land as well as providing expanded local tax and fee authority to permit the acquisition and maintenance of park facilities and acquisition and conservation of open space.

The Metropolitan Park District is a separate government, with its own taxing authority and its own elected officials. While the City has provided financial assistance to the MPD in the past, it is increasingly difficult to do so and is not appropriate as a long-term funding mechanism. More recently, the City and District have entered into agreements in which the City contracts with the District for specific services.

The City is strongly committed to working collaboratively with the MPD to investigate alternate funding mechanisms and authority to ensure that Tacoma's parks are attractive and well maintained.

Tree planting programs have been shown to improve urban life through enhancements to air quality, shade, habitat and aesthetics. The City supports legislation for urban forestry programs.

## **Property valuation**

An unfortunate result of current State policies on the determination of value of real property is the disinvestment in older buildings. This policy has significant societal cost as disinvestment leads to deterioration and blight. Older urban areas are faced with often large stocks of older commercial and residential buildings whose maintenance is costly. Owners, especially absentee owners, allow buildings to deteriorate through benign neglect. These unsightly and uninhabitable structures have higher than average costs to local government for public safety and code enforcement activities.

The City supports legislation which would use property valuation to encourage rehabilitation and which would make deferred maintenance less advantageous.

## **Stadia & convention centers**

Stadia and convention centers are very expensive to construct and require a significant level of maintenance and renovation to remain viable in a highly competitive environment. The City believes it is appropriate for the State to have a significant role in development of capital facilities for tourism and recreation activities. The investment in such facilities results in millions of dollars in direct and indirect spending which creates jobs and results in significant tax revenue to the state.

## **Tax incentives**

In concert with its need to have a flexible menu of revenue opportunities, the Legislature should provide local governments with as much flexibility as possible in crafting tax incentives to meet specific local economic development needs.

While some statewide economic development incentives have been very beneficial in attracting new investment and jobs, their value and impact vary significantly in different communities.

The ability to reduce or provide exemptions for sales taxes, for example, may be far more important to border communities than to cities in the Puget Sound area. Conversely, the impact of certain incentive programs, such as the high technology sales tax exemption, may be much more serious in specific cities.

Tacoma has a large area designated both as a state and federal enterprise community. The City supports legislation that provides it with the opportunity to craft appropriate incentive programs for the enterprise area such as the successful international financial services legislation. The City supports extension of the Federal renewal community program.

The City also supports legislation that provides economic development opportunities beyond enterprise community boundaries. This legislation includes, but is not limited to: the multi-family housing tax incentive, tax increment financing, the Main Street Act (a series of small tax incentives for neighborhood business districts), community facility financing, shared state revenue for construction of convention and special event centers, additional shared state revenue for urban renewal and other public facility improvements, and innovative approaches to property tax assessment that reduces the current incentive to allow property to remain blighted.

## **Tourism**

Tourism is one of Washington's major industries, yet the State devotes less funding for tourism development than any other state. Film production, from which Tacoma has benefited in the past, is an important part of tourism development.

The City supports State funding for tourism at a level comparable to other states our size.

## **Two-year colleges**

Tacoma is blessed with several quality higher education programs that provide the first two years of post secondary education. Within the city, both Bates Technical College and Tacoma Community College provide a wide breadth of academic and vocational training to thousands of students each year. Clover Park Technical College and Pierce College provide service to thousands more.

Financial support for each of these institutions is a state responsibility; the City has nevertheless worked with them in the past and has an interest in making sure they are able to carry out their responsibilities. The City supports the operating and capital facility needs of these institutions.

## **University of Washington Tacoma**

Development of the UW Tacoma campus meets many community and state needs including those of providing a broad range of education opportunities, complimentary programs to those of existing private, community and technical college offerings, training and retraining opportunities for local employers, and increased accessibility to higher education.

The City supports full development of the University of Washington Tacoma curricular and capital programs.

In recent years, appropriations for the campus have increasingly lagged behind the original development plan.

It is important to the success of the campus that work not be halted on its development during any biennial budget cycle.

## **Washington State History Museum**

The Washington State Historical Society's Washington State History Museum at Union Station is the crown jewel of State-owned cultural facilities and represents a major element in redevelopment of both downtown Tacoma and Foss Waterway.

The City was a major partner in development of the Museum.

The Museum location adjacent to the University of Washington Tacoma and Thea Foss Waterway provides several natural opportunities to enhance its ability to tell the story of Washington's dramatic history. The City supports the Society's efforts to further develop this site.

**ENVIRONMENT**

## **Air & water pollution**

Air and water quality in the City of Tacoma have improved significantly over the past two decades. This is not an accident but the result of the City's strong support for and enthusiastic adoption of high standards when it comes to cleaning and protecting the environment.

The City supports the work of the Puget Sound Clean Air Agency and its efforts to bring further improvement to the air quality of the region.

The Governor has formed the Puget Sound Partnership to provide recommendations to integrate the work of local, state and federal governments, the private sector and citizens to protect and restore Puget Sound. This comprehensive, ecosystem approach is the best way to address the varied needs of the sound, which is one of the nation's most valuable waterways. The City supports the work of the Puget Sound Partnership.

The City's support of Urban Waters, a partnership of the City, Port and University of Washington providing original research on key issues on the health of the sound, is another example of the City's commitment to protecting Puget Sound.

## **Model Toxics Control Act**

The Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) is a state version of the federal Superfund law (CERCLA). It was adopted by a vote of the people in 1988. It is designed to provide a process for clean-up of contaminated sites.

MTCA is funded by a tax on oil production. The revenue is divided into state and local accounts.

The City supports the goals of MTCA but encourages the Department of Ecology to demonstrate flexibility in working with local government on clean-ups. For example, assigning liability for clean-up when a agency's only ownership interest is a right of way over soils that are contaminated by others is an issue the City has raised in recent years.

The City opposes any efforts by DOE to use local MTCA funds for anything other than local purposes.

As oil prices have escalated, the MTCA tax has brought in significantly more revenue than just a few years ago. As long as local projects are fully funded, the City supports funding other environmental clean-ups and prevention efforts with MTCA funds. These new uses must be determined by DOE in consultation with local government and other interests.

## **State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)**

The citizens of Washington have long regarded environmental protection as a priority. Their adoption of SEPA and the Shorelines Management Act by popular vote is evidence of this commitment. The City supports the goals of these laws and of the Growth Management Act.

There are inconsistencies and overlaps between these statutes and, like all major policies, they need periodic review to ensure that the goals are being met and that the regulatory process has not grown too cumbersome.

The City supports continued efforts by the State to increase predictability of the environmental permitting process. It is the City's goal that such predictability should strengthen economic development opportunities.

## **Sustainability**

At the minimum, sustainability is simultaneously an idea, a way of living, an economic goal, a manufacturing process and a property of an ecosystem. The City embraces all of these definitions. Through its initiatives to create a clean and safe community, reduce its carbon footprint, perform as a careful steward of the natural resources it controls, and best leverage the financial contributions of its tax and rate payers, the City supports legislation at the State and Federal levels that will assist in the furtherance of these goals.

Specifically, this includes efforts to establish caps on carbon output through one or more methods (for example: cap and trade, carbon taxes, regulatory control) and which result in reductions of carbon output over time; and programs that encourage expansion of recycling and improvements to product stewardship. The City also supports legislation which promotes transit oriented development, incentives for clean technology and green jobs, urban forestry and investment in renewable energy.

The City also believes sustainability requires use of best available science in shaping regulations and clear cost and benefit analysis.

## **Wetlands**

Many wetlands perform an invaluable role of flood control, water filtration, wildlife habitat and important aesthetic functions.

A variety of City, State and Federal laws and regulations require the identification and protection of wetlands. The myriad of laws and regulations often lead to confusion and frustration by citizens. This issue is one which needs carefully established State guidelines paired with significant local autonomy.

The City supports legislation that provides for uniformity in how wetlands are classified and regulated. This uniformity must, however, address differences between urban and rural areas.

Coupled with this loss of ability of local government to set its own standards is the need for new tools to allow preservation of important wetlands. The real estate excise tax (REET) should be modified to allow this revenue to be used for the purchase of wetlands and other critical areas by local governments. A comprehensive program allowing for the transfer of development rights could serve as another method of protecting valuable wetlands as well as other critical areas, while encouraging development in urban areas. The City will support these and other appropriate new revenue sources and tools to assist in the preservation of wetlands and other critical areas.

The City also supports the concept of mitigation banking. Of particular value in urbanized areas, mitigation banking generates credits for the enhancement or expansion of recognized wetland banks that can be used to fill or otherwise utilize other sensitive areas. This approach is consistent with the goal of no net loss of wetlands while allowing development that might otherwise not be permitted.

**HUMAN RIGHTS & AT-RISK POPULATIONS**

## **Accessibility**

The passage of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 places additional obligations on local governments to implement non-discriminatory policies and practices.

The City supports legislation that both mandates and funds enforcement of requirements that provide accessibility for the disabled.

## Children

City governments in Washington are finding it increasingly difficult to respond to the growing needs of children and families within the limited resources available. Local educational, social service and health care systems struggle to provide basic services to an increasing number of children who are poor, abused, and seriously ill or drug involved and are often without health insurance.

Poverty, family instability, school failure and illiteracy in childhood contribute to serious problems such as crime and chronic unemployment in adolescence and adulthood. Successful intervention during childhood- when services can be less expensive and shorter term- can break this cycle and reduce the need for more expensive services like incarceration and treatment for serious mental illness. Frequently more than one agency is involved in providing assistance to children and their families. Communication between these agencies is important if services are to be provided efficiently and meaningfully.

The City supports legislation that increases state funding for programs providing services and support for children and K-12 education. Specifically, the City supports legislation that sustains and empowers families in achieving socioeconomic well being. Domestic violence and other instances of family crisis can be reduced if children are instructed in communication, financial planning, parenting, and conflict resolution skills. The City supports legislation to require such instruction in public schools. The City supports legislation that allows, and in fact encourages, sharing of information between service agencies.

The City supports the appropriation of moneys to enhance such programs as those which reduce K-12 class size, provide prenatal and infant care, promote family stability, provide childcare resources, reduce the incidence of child abuse and neglect, address drug and alcohol abuse and are delivered in a culturally relevant context. Further, the City supports fair and equitable distribution of resources for appropriate application within existing service mechanisms at the local level.

## **Chronic inebriates**

The City, working with the community and the State Liquor Control Board, has utilized alcohol impact areas (AIA) as an important tool in reducing chronic public inebriation.

The City will oppose any efforts to weaken or restrict the authority of the Liquor Control Board as regards AIAs.

A second component of the City's effort to address chronic inebriates was the establishment of a sobering center. This facility opened in 2004 and provides warm and secure sleeping facilities as well as access to programs to help break the cycle of alcoholism.

The City has partnered with non-profit agencies to fund the sobering center. The City supports legislation providing new revenue opportunities to maintain this and other important human services.

## **Deaf services**

The deaf and hearing-impaired constitute a large population in the City of Tacoma. Efforts to contact and maintain contacts with this community are very difficult. The present state program that uses a tax on phone services to fund the purchase of TDD phone connection devices for the hearing-impaired has and will continue to enhance the ability of this group to contact the larger community. There is a need to have, at the local level, trained personnel to assist the hearing-impaired with problem-identification and solutions.

The City supports legislation that would provide funds for deaf service centers. Services would be provided from existing locations, such as the Tacoma Area Coalition of Individuals with Disabilities Center, and would not require additional local funds.

## **Discrimination & hate crimes**

Hate crimes and discriminatory attitudes are injurious to community well-being. The City embraces diversity in the areas of housing, employment, and public accommodation in all neighborhoods and works to increase opportunities for all in these areas.

The City includes sexual orientation as a protected class in hate crime and anti-discrimination legislation and supports similar action at all levels of government.

## Homeless

The institutional "revolving door" phenomenon of the homeless mentally ill creates an ever-increasing and disproportionately large percentage of homeless persons who gravitate to the streets and services in downtown Tacoma.

There are also those among the City's homeless population who are there for economic reasons and even a few who choose that way of life.

The City, along with the City of Lakewood and Pierce County, have adopted "The Road Home," a ten-year plan for ending chronic homelessness in Pierce County. Implementation of this plan is not dependent on action by the State, but the State certainly has a role in providing affordable housing, providing services to the homeless and in providing appropriate resources to local government to carry out this plan.

The City has also implemented the Housing First program which bans living in encampments and provides supportive housing to those persons who choose to leave the streets. The City supports legislation to ensure funding for Housing First.

The City supports an increase in state funding for a full continuum of subsidized and supportive housing. This includes, but is not necessarily limited to: emergency shelters, domestic violence housing programs, and transitional housing as well as legislation that provides funding for acquisition, rehabilitation, construction and capacity building for local entities serving the homeless. At the Federal level, HUD should permit the broadest possible use of funds designated for local government to support Housing First and other programs aimed at providing shelter for the homeless as other housing programs, including health care and mental health services.

## **Human rights**

The City believes that each human being should be treated with respect and accorded fair opportunity for employment, housing, and access to services. To this end, the City advocates greater financial support for the Washington State Human Rights Commission and permission to investigate any employer in the state of Washington for abuses of the law. Likewise, the Office of Minority and Women's Business Enterprise should be given greater financial support and every opportunity to expand its services.

Domestic violence and sexual assault prevention programs should be expanded and given greater funding. Shelters of all types need additional funds for the maintenance of current structures and the construction of new facilities to meet demand. Coordinated response networks need help in tracking offenders and assisting battered families to stop the violence and offer aid to victims.

For the children of these families, the City supports the strengthening of laws to allow little or no contact time with abusive parents. The City is also concerned that guardian ad litem in domestic violence cases often have no specialized qualifications for their jobs; there should be some basic level of qualifications for these guardian ad litem as well as a required training course for them to be certified in the field.

The City requires additional resources for local enforcement of State and Federal law. The City also advocates changes in the law so that domestic violence and hate crime cases can be prosecuted when the State has witnesses to the act, but the primary victim will not testify for fear of retribution from the offender or the community.

## **Seniors**

Individuals aged 60 years and older are a growing percentage of our population. Many are frail and vulnerable. They are in need of a variety of services to assist them to live independently in the community. These services include: senior information and assistance and case management to assist with economic security, employment and training, home maintenance, home repair services, respite care, day health care, health care, mental health, legal assistance, transportation, housing, and elder abuse services. There is also a need for senior activity centers and meal programs to increase socialization, education and enhance good health. These services are important because they are cost effective and important to a senior citizen's ability to live in the community. In addition, a support system is provided to decrease isolation and loneliness, one of the primary causes of a senior's loss of independence.

The City supports legislation that will fund and increase services to bolster independence, including revision of regulations and policies that exclude older workers from access to the work force. In addition, all federal and state funded programs for seniors should be supported and continued.

## **Welfare**

The City encourages the State to continue, at current or increased levels, funding for welfare programs. The City also supports retaining eligibility for as many families as possible for as long as possible.

The City encourages the State to fund more programs for transition to employment and self-sufficiency. Programs such as job training, remedial education, child care, transportation and medical services should receive even more support to help TANF (Temporary Assistance to Needy Families) recipients make the transition to work as quickly and as smoothly as possible. In implementing the new Work First programs, the State needs to ensure that its changes do not create greater demand for local government support of emergency shelter, emergency services, employment and training, and child care programs to assist families who are no longer receiving benefits. State funding should be provided to offset any impacts on local services. In addition, local communities should be active in the design and delivery of services.

To maintain or increase State funding for welfare programs leading to self-sufficiency may require the State to review expenditure caps. The State should also consider tax credits to businesses that hire welfare recipients and identify and revise policies that represent potential barriers to work.

## **Working poor**

Adequate wages and benefits in the local workforce reduce the need for social service and criminal justice expenditures. The City supports increased funding and opportunities for worker training including on-going funding for local employment and training consortia. Interest from the Unemployment Trust Fund is an appropriate funding source for such programs.

With unemployment on the rise, the City supports legislation targeted at opportunities for the working poor.

Washington voters have endorsed the State minimum wage and the need to keep it tied to the cost of living. The City supports this approach.-

**REVENUE, BUDGET & FINANCE**

## **Capital facilities revenue**

Capital facilities in cities include the grand such as convention centers and stadia and the unseen such as sewers and water lines. Streets, bridges, fire stations, parks and office buildings are also important parts of municipal infrastructure.

Financing these important facilities has become increasingly difficult for local government. Initiatives and legislative actions have reduced capital revenues. New growth pays for only a portion of the new infrastructure it demands, and does not provide a means to maintain or replace older infrastructure. Without new revenue sources the City will not be able to repair its infrastructure or meet the obligations of expected population growth.

Most utility infrastructure is paid through rates. Impact fees and the real estate excise tax are among the limited revenue options cities have to pay for non-utility capital facilities. The City has dedicated other revenues, such as fees for street vacations, to the purchase of open space and transportation improvements. The only other major revenue source for infrastructure is voter approved bonds or levies paid by property taxes.

The City has repeatedly introduced infrastructure funding legislation itself as well as worked with other cities and the Association of Washington Cities, the business community, other levels of local government, and other interests to advance funding ideas for local government infrastructure.

The legislature has recognized the need to assist local government infrastructure needs and has considered a wide variety of options in recent years. Other than the very limited job development program and the even more restricted tax increment financing tool, none of these efforts have been successful. Further, the legislature has seriously damaged the successful Public Works Trust Fund by diverting revenue from the fund to pay for the job development program and to reimburse some cities for the loss of the old sales tax equalization program repealed by voter initiative. The City supports legislative efforts to expand opportunities for infrastructure projects so that those projects that don't fit the highest priorities can be addressed.

There have also been discussions in recent years to fundamentally change the Public Works Trust Fund and to merge many of these programs into a comprehensive infrastructure bank.

The city supports the following revenue and policy options:

- Restoring the Public Works Trust Fund by finding a new source to fund sales tax equalization to cities and allowing the Trust Fund Board to expand uses for its loan program and make other modernizations.
- Establishing Community Facilities Financing. This tool, used in many other states, is similar to a local improvement district. It can be used to provide funding for infrastructure needed for economic development projects through voluntary property tax assessments.
- Fix street utility authorization. The concept of paying for residential streets and arterials as a utility and charging citizens and businesses a fee based on their use of the transportation infrastructure is not new. The current law was found unconstitutional some years ago but an appropriate fix has been developed and this option should be restored to local governments that choose to use it.
- Strengthening the very constrained tax increment financing tool to more closely follow the model used in most states while retaining protections so that TIF is not used to move existing jobs and tax base from one community to another.
- Eliminating the variations in allowed uses for the two local option real estate excise taxes (REET); Allowing REET to be used for purchase of wetlands and other critical area lands; Authorizing a new local option REET or a credit against the state share of the tax in lieu of impact fees.
- Establishment of an infrastructure bank model as long as it offers both loan and grant programs for a variety of needs and does not slow the already lengthy approval process.
- Establishment of a viable funding mechanism to ensure LID success in low income mixed use centers in the City.

## **Finance & accounting**

The City supports legislation that make it easier, and less costly, for the City to perform its accounting and financial procedures.

The City supports legislation that increases the variety and flexibility of financing mechanisms.

The City supports efforts to eliminate archaic statutory requirements for certifications, redundant reviews, and similar mandates that merely add costs and delays to the City's business processes.

## **General fund revenue**

It is an increasing challenge for the City to provide basic services within the limits of available revenue sources.

These basic services include criminal justice programs such as police, prosecution and municipal courts. The state has a clear interest in assisting local government deal with criminal justice costs.

Tacoma's challenges are not unique. Older urban and suburban cities, newly incorporated communities, and counties have all experienced some level of financial stress in recent years. The answer for all of these communities cannot come at the expense of other local governments.

The City will oppose any effort to capture or divert its revenue to other governments.

The City is open to discussion of moving to State collection of municipal B&O taxes provided solid revenue safeguards are part of the package and that the City retains full authority concerning its business tax rates, exemptions, thresholds and categories. The City also supports reforms of the State B&O tax similar to those enacted by the City in recent years.

The City supports the change to destination based sales tax distribution as long as the impacted cities are provided mitigation of their losses for a reasonable period of time.

The Legislature recently provided local government with some additional general revenue options. More needs to be done. The City supports legislation that will increase, expand, or favorably restructure its revenue-raising ability. In consideration of the continued growth in demand for services that exceed revenue growth and inflation, the City supports the following revenue options:

- Give local governments increased local option flexibility in other areas of taxing authority.
- Allow the City to tax its municipal electric utility and all other energy providers at the Charter approved limit rather than the state cap of six percent.
- Provide incentives to local governments to consider consolidation of services.

- Eliminate non-supplanting language and restrictions on use of certain revenues in local option tax authority. This language excessively limits City Council discretion regarding funding priorities.
- Continued direct appropriation or a new dedicated revenue source to assist local government with criminal justice costs.
- Allow cities, with voter approval, to restructure the property tax collected within the city to reflect a fee for service model.
- Provide a fix so that voter approved permanent EMS levies are not subject to the tax rate impacts of the 1 percent cap on property tax revenue.

## **Local transportation revenue**

The 2005 Transportation Partnership Act provided a half-cent increase in the gas tax for distribution to cities. This represented the first new transportation revenue made available to cities in 15 years.

The Legislature has also recently provided a new transportation funding tool to local government. The Transportation Benefit District authority provides for a mix of revenue sources and significant local flexibility. Other, previously approved local options have been removed by court decisions or initiatives or generate very little revenue in most cities.

The population in urban areas has exploded over the last 15 years and total miles driven have increased dramatically, particularly in the central Puget Sound area.

The City supported the adoption of the 5 cent gas tax in 2003 and the 9.5 cent gas tax and fees in the 2005 Transportation Partnership Act. These two funding programs will result in expenditure of over \$600 million in freeway construction in Tacoma and an additional \$400 million in the rest of Pierce County. (This does not include the \$850 million for the new Tacoma Narrows Bridge.) The I-5 and SR-16 projects in Tacoma funded with this revenue are very important, but so are the City arterials that feed those State highways and the residential streets that feed those arterials.

The need for additional local transportation funding options is critical. Without new revenue sources the City will not be able to meet the infrastructure needs of the population growth expected over the next several years.

The City has worked with other cities through a coalition of large city Mayors as well as through the Association of Washington Cities to advance this issue. The TBD option does not eliminate the need for other new and realistic local transportation funding options that can be enacted to meet specific local needs. In particular, the City supports adoption of constitutional fixes to street utility legislation.

The City also supports full funding of the Transportation Improvement Board and FAST corridor freight mobility projects.

The City also supports changes to State and Federal grant programs to ensure that these programs encourage construction of complete streets (streets which support multiple transportation modes).

## **Unfunded mandates & other State & Federal budget impacts**

Mandates from the Federal and State governments are rarely accompanied with adequate new revenues or taxing authority, but instead force the City to reduce funding levels for other services.

Other budget decisions made by Congress and the Legislature can have a significant effect on local government.

For example, reduction in funding of Community Development Block Grants and other funds used to meet social needs causes a direct increase in the number of recipients of those programs seeking revenue from City government and local agencies. Reduced housing assistance causes increases in homelessness that local governments must address. Failure to adequately fund corrections programs fills local jails and can result in the release of dangerous felons to City streets. Reduced staffing at state mental institutions leads directly to increases in the number of uncared-for persons in the community. Reduced funding for education at the pre-school, K-12, 2-year, 4-year, and graduate program level reduces economic opportunity for local citizens.

Congress passed legislation prohibiting unfunded mandates and the voters of Washington have twice approved restrictions on the ability of the state to impose unfunded mandates.

The City opposes efforts by Congress and the Legislature to balance budgets by shifting responsibilities to cities.

**PUBLIC SAFETY**

## **Administrative warrants**

For several years, fire departments in Washington conducted inspections of buildings to identify and correct fire and safety code violations that might result in increased risk of injury or death to occupants and firefighters.

The Washington Supreme Court ruled in *McGrady v. Seattle* that the Legislature has never specifically authorized judges to issue administrative search warrants to allow fire departments to conduct these inspections. The result of this ruling has been the loss of a significant tool used in protection of our communities.

The City supports legislation that clearly grants to judges the authority to allow local fire departments to use administrative search warrants for the purpose of conducting routine fire and life safety code inspections.

## **Cost recovery for services**

The City provides certain public safety services to special purpose districts without collecting fees from those districts.

Some of these services are highly specialized and are necessary only because of the existence of the special purpose district. All City taxpayers support the cost of development and maintenance of these specialized services yet receive no direct benefit from them.

The City supports legislation that would require special purpose districts to bear their fair share of such costs.

## **Domestic violence**

Crime statistics indicate that more than half of reported violent crime is domestic violence. The City has made addressing this issue a top priority.

The City has devoted financial resources to combating domestic violence, has been at the vanguard in terms of amending its laws to toughen penalties, and has pioneered cooperative relationships with other governments to address the issue.

Frequently, victims of domestic violence have no place to go to seek refuge from the abuser. Local shelters do an outstanding job, but are frequently full. In some cases, the abusing partner has tracked the victim to the shelter and thereby reduced the effectiveness of the security.

The City believes the state can help local governments make a difference in addressing domestic violence through changes in the Victim/Witness Assistance Program.

The Victim/Witness Assistance Program is administered by the Department of Labor and Industries. Specifically, the City supports use of program funds to pay for shelter and, when necessary, relocation for the victim and his or her children. The City also supports use of fines assessed to convicted abusers to be allocated to the program for use in paying for shelter and/or relocation costs for victims and children.

## **Fire codes**

Municipalities such as Tacoma contain older buildings that do not have needed fire safety features such as fire sprinklers and fire alarms.

In the past, legislation has been introduced to exempt these buildings from any local ordinance requiring retrofit of the fire and life safety features.

The City strongly supports local autonomy for the development and implementation of stand alone ordinances.

The City rejects the concept of the Fire Code as the maximum requirement allowed to local governments.

## **Firearms & other weapons**

Violence in our communities threatens the very fabric of society. Violence attendant to youth gang activities, domestic abuse, or other causes is aggravated by the easy access our society gives to firearms. The constitutional right to bear arms, as is the case of all constitutional rights, does not come without responsibility or the ability of society to reasonably regulate that right.

The State of Washington has precluded local governments from adopting regulations which restrict the sale or possession of firearms. Yet it is local government that most frequently must deal with the costs and consequences of the rapid increase in the number of firearms in our communities.

The City supports legislation to allow local governments, at their option, to restrict the access of persons carrying firearms in government buildings where judicial or quasi-judicial proceedings are held as well as schools, parks, and other public areas.

Trigger locks are effective, low cost devices which have proven to reduce the incidence of accidental shootings.

The City supports legislation requiring that trigger lock mechanisms be sold with all firearms sold in Washington, or that local governments be permitted to mandate the sale of trigger lock mechanisms with all firearms sold within their jurisdictions.

The City strongly supports the current law which provides a local option for law enforcement agencies to destroy forfeited and unclaimed firearms.

Tacoma supports legislation that upgrades the crime of carrying a concealed weapon, including a loaded shotgun or rifle in a vehicle, to a gross misdemeanor.

The City has banned the sale of martial arts weapons and certain kinds of knives which were being made easily available to children in neighborhood stores. The City opposes any attempt to restrict its ability to regulate these weapons.

## **Fireworks**

It is the current policy of the City of Tacoma to prohibit the sale and discharge of common fireworks inside the city.

The fireworks industry has repeatedly attempted to preempt the ability of local government to adopt fireworks regulations. This effort included restricting the ability of the City to regulate the importation of fireworks through the port.

As Tacoma is the primary port of entry for fireworks in Washington, and because the quantity of fireworks imported is significant, the ability of the City to place reasonable regulations on such imports is necessary to the safety of the citizens of Tacoma.

The City opposes legislation that restricts either its ability to determine whether common fireworks will be sold or its ability to regulate the importation of fireworks through the port.

In addition, because the City finds that illegal fireworks significantly contribute to the fire problem within Washington, the City believes the state should consider the limitation of imports that are subsequently sold contrary to state law.

The City also supports changes in state law regarding the burdensome and costly consequences to local and state government agencies that seize fireworks for violations of various laws. The storage and retention rules placed on government for seized fireworks are such that its extremely high cost prevents agencies whose duty it is to protect the public from carrying out their responsibilities.

## **Hazardous materials**

Municipalities and fire districts are first responders to hazardous materials releases. It is important to be capable of reducing environmental damage if there is this type of incident. The City is required to provide special training, equipment and medical records on all employees who respond to these incidents.

Hazardous material generators and transporters should offset the cost of this service. They could be charged a per-ton fee to provide funds for municipalities and fire districts to offset costs of training, equipment, personnel and medical service for our employees.

## **Juvenile justice**

The City is precluded by the law from enacting tougher penalties for juvenile crime and from addressing the issue of parental accountability.

While the City does not have the responsibility for operating juvenile court, and the juvenile and adult detention centers, the City does bear its own burden from the increases in juvenile crime because much of the crime committed by juveniles occurs in the City, whether or not the juvenile actually resides here.

The City supports restoration of both the rights and accountability of parents for the actions of their children.

Acknowledging that in some circumstances children run away from home to escape abusive situations, the City also supports restoring the offenses of running away and truancy and providing protective facilities where required.

The City vigorously opposes any effort to make cities financially responsible for juvenile justice prosecution or detention. The City supports providing counties with adequate revenues to fulfill their juvenile justice responsibilities.

## **Misdemeanor presence rule**

Common law in Washington provides that a police officer may not arrest a person for a misdemeanor or gross misdemeanor unless that offense is committed in the officer's presence.

The strict interpretation of this rule prevents police officers from transferring probable cause for an arrest to another officer or using the cumulative knowledge of all officers involved in an investigation in determining whether there was probable cause to make an arrest.

Under such circumstances an officer who witnessed a crime but was unable to make an arrest (because he or she was undercover or in a surveillance position) could radio to another officer who was in a position to arrest the suspect based upon the probable cause of the first officer. The cumulative knowledge rule would allow one officer, who may witness an event that in and of itself is insufficient probable cause for arrest to combine his or her knowledge with that of another officer which, when combined, provide probable cause sufficient for an arrest.

The development of this common law provision was as a result of 19th century abuses in which those arrested sometimes died awaiting trial. Few offenses were classified as misdemeanors at that time and there was little of the protection that exists today such as speedy trial rules, prohibitions on physical abuse by governmental authorities, and regulations on the quality of confinement in jails.

The City supports allowing for transfer of probable cause and the use of the cumulative knowledge rule for misdemeanor and gross misdemeanor offenses.

## **Trauma care**

The Legislature adopted new fees on automobile titles and certain traffic infractions in an attempt to raise funds to compensate hospitals for a portion of the costs of trauma care. Left unaddressed is how local communities are expected to meet their share of the costs of uncompensated trauma care.

The plan for statewide trauma centers also adds to the present training and certification requirements for firefighter/paramedics and increases the amount and type of equipment that need to be carried on trauma units. Again, no funding has been provided to the City to meet these additional requirements.

The City strongly supports the concept of designated trauma centers strategically located throughout the state.

The additional costs resulting from this concept must not be borne by local government but rather by state government. The City also supports financing at a region-wide level or on a reimbursement basis.

**TRANSPORTATION & PUBLIC WORKS**

## **Dangerous buildings**

The City has the authority to lien properties to recover its cost of demolishing unfit structures. The City cannot recover the administrative costs it incurs if the property owner demolishes the structure. Recovery of costs is justified because the legal process of abating unfit structures and associated costs are the results of unreasonable problems created by property owners and all costs of abatement should not be considered a part of normal government business.

The City supports legislation that will allow a City to lien property to recover its administrative costs in the determination that a structure is unfit for human habitation or other use.

Such a lien only would be allowed after the full legal process, including exhaustion of any rights to appeal. While the City can place a lien on the property for demolition costs, the City cannot foreclose on its lien. The City supports legislation to allow local government to foreclose on liens and gain control of the property. Current law should also be amended to give the City clear authority to use properties acquired in this manner for low income housing.

Dangerous buildings are also a drain on public resources and are often a blight which reduces surrounding property values.

The City supports legislation that addresses these concerns by allowing local government to enter into voluntary agreements with property owners for low interest loans that allow such blighted properties to be restored.

## **Design/build**

The design/build construction process can be a cost effective tool for large and/or complex projects required by local government.

In conventional bidding, a City specifies the quantity and quality while the price varies. In design/build, the price is fixed while the performance specifications can vary.

The Legislature has authorized a number of cities, certain counties, and certain state agencies to utilize the design/build process. This authority is scheduled to sunset unless renewed by the Legislature. The City supports renewal of this authority.

## **Ferry service**

The State is contemplating additional passenger ferry service in Puget Sound.

The City supports state planning and funding of ferry service from Tacoma to other Puget Sound cities and outlying areas.

## **Mini-maxi building codes**

Cities have authority under Washington law to establish their own building and fire codes. The State building and fire code, established by the Building Code Council, serves as a minimum code level.

Tacoma and most other large cities have adopted their own codes which often exceed the requirements of the minimum State code. These changes reflect differing community standards and circumstances.

The Legislature has frequently considered legislation that would establish the State minimum building code as the maximum allowed, stripping cities of the ability to define their own community standards. Attempts have also been made to require the state Building Code Council to approve local codes that exceed the state minimum.

The City opposes any effort to eliminate the ability of local elected officials to determine the standards for community health and safety and turn such authority over the Legislature or an appointed State board.

## **Regional transportation**

An improved transportation system, including an expandable and regional rail component, is important for continued economic development and to provide for the efficient movement of people and goods throughout the central Puget Sound area. High-speed ground transportation is an important component of this system that can also ameliorate the need for new airport facilities. Addressing the needs will require new revenue.

The City supports the four-county central Puget Sound area accepting additional responsibility for its own unique transportation needs. Many of the projects required in this region are so expensive they would each easily consume most of the new revenue provided by any statewide revenue package.

The City supports regional transportation funding legislation that includes the four-county area of central Puget Sound, that provides for a seat at the table for cities in the planning and project selection process, that provides for realistic and varied tax options, that maintains an appropriate level of state support in all of the projects, and that fast tracks projects where right-of-way, permits, and other funding is already in place.

The City opposes allowing any one part of the region to proceed with “regional” funding on its own.

Transportation problems in the Puget Sound region all stem from a shortage of funding. The agencies that provide much of the funding and operation of major components of the transportation infrastructure in the region were created by local citizens in response to local and regional needs. The agencies communicate regularly and work well together. The City opposes directives from the Legislature for changes to governance of local transit agencies, Sound Transit, the Puget Sound Regional Council, and ports.

The City believes the core HOV system in the Puget Sound area is a state funding responsibility. Funding for the unbuilt Tacoma portion of this system should be restored.

Building a three-county multi-modal, high-capacity regional transportation system is a complex, expensive and long process. The City believes Sound Transit has done a fair job in meeting the mission voters in the three counties authorized. There are bound to be setbacks in the process. Some of the difficulties Sound Transit has encountered were foreseeable and avoidable, but others were not.

The City continues to support the Sound Move project and Sound Transit. The City will oppose efforts by the Legislature to second guess or otherwise change either the Sound Move plan or Sound Transit.

Passenger rail service through Tacoma will increase and is an important part of the regional transportation system both for the Puget Sound area as well as the I-5 corridor from British Columbia to Oregon. The City supports State and Federal funding for the providers of this service for track and crossing improvements where it will intersect high volume City arterial streets.

## **Regional transportation facilities**

Major transportation facilities such as new airports, ferry landings, bridges, and interjurisdictional highways are usually extremely difficult to site but are nevertheless necessary for the continued mobility and economic vitality of the region.

The regional planning organization (RPO), the Puget Sound Regional Council, is an appropriate forum for regional policy decisions and data collection but because of its close connection to local government, is not the appropriate agency to make decisions related to siting of major transportation facilities.

The Growth Management Act also makes demands on local government for siting of essential public facilities but has not adequately addressed how conflicts are to be resolved.

The City supports creation of a state level forum of some type to make these decisions so long as the forum provides for local government involvement in the process and does not duplicate the policy and data collection role of RPOs.

## **Regulatory reform**

The City supports the concept of regulatory reform and encourages Congress and the Legislature to engage in an on-going process to examine Federal and State regulations for duplication and conflict.

## **Tacoma-Eastern Railroad**

The City has acquired the former Chehalis-Western Railroad right of way, track, rolling stock and other facilities and equipment.

It is the intent of the City to utilize this rail line for freight connections between the Frederickson area and the port industrial area and to permit operation of a tourist-oriented excursion train.

The track is in poor condition and is in need of rehabilitation to permit efficient freight service, which will create an income stream to allow further track improvement.

The City supports continued funding of appropriate state rail rehabilitation and assistance accounts to permit this project to receive an adequate share of those resources.

## **Traffic congestion & safety**

Traffic congestion on arterials and freeways in Tacoma is increasing rapidly.

This issue is of concern to the City because our citizens and visitors are injured in accidents and City public safety resources are frequently pressed into service on I-5. Further, the efficient movement of goods and services is impacted from the congestion of normal operation of the freeway, and particularly when one or more accidents slow traffic even more.

Widening and reconfiguration of I-5 is underway and will assist this problem. Several additional approaches may also be necessary to address this problem. These options include but are not limited to: demand management techniques, high occupancy systems, better enforcement of truck lanes and covered load regulations, and expansion of existing highways. The City supports legislation which appropriately funds all of these traffic safety techniques.

Safety is also related to maintenance and repair of important transportation infrastructure, particularly bridges. The State has an obligation to restore or rehabilitate the Murray Morgan Bridge.

## **Transportation planning**

The Growth Management Act acknowledges the strong link between land use and transportation.

The City supports legislation that would provide additional funding and technical assistance for local transportation planning for the following areas: multimodal transportation systems with an emphasis on nonmotorized uses; coordination of transportation and land use planning with higher priorities given to designated centers; adequate transportation services and facilities identified through neighborhood planning processes; and mitigation of traffic impacts on neighborhoods generated by State facilities of regional significance such as the Tacoma Narrows Bridge.

## **Transportation system utilities**

Cities face increasing financial demands on limited general fund resources and are finding it impossible to adequately fund street maintenance and infrastructure improvements. A recent estimate by the Public Works Department indicated that Tacoma's unfunded infrastructure needs may be in excess of \$700 million. No one funding mechanism can help close this gap. New tools are needed.

The City supports legislation that would allow the City Council to create a street utility as a method to establish equitable, street user-fees. The utility should be funded by assessing trip generation fees based on the number of vehicular trips generated from each parcel of property within the city limits or another mechanism that assess fees based on use of streets.

**UTILITIES**

## **Utility rates & taxation**

The City supports legislation that would strengthen the ability of publicly owned utility systems to provide necessary utility services at the lowest cost consistent with available resource supply, prudent operating practices, and with appropriate consideration for the environment.

The City opposes legislation that would impose or mandate price/rate forms or structures.

The City opposes legislation that would in any way limit, tax, or otherwise impose operational or economic sanctions against the implementation and operation of municipal telecommunications services.

The City opposes legislation that would prohibit or limit the ability of the City to tax its own publicly owned utility systems. The City will not oppose legislation that provides tax relief for our operations or our customers.

The City opposes legislation that would impair a City's ability to receive a reasonable rate of return by means of a tax, from its municipal electric utility's operational revenues, irrespective of whether such revenues are derived from customers located within or outside of the City.

The City supports programs that assist meeting energy costs for individuals who qualify on the basis of need, including state financial assistance if provided through existing State taxes or revenue. Any State assistance program should recognize and credit existing utility programs that provide such assistance. Consequently, the City opposes legislation that would impose any new taxes on utility customers without acknowledging and crediting existing utility assistance for low-income customers.

The City supports efforts to adopt a State Constitutional Amendment to reinstitute the cap on non-economic tort damages as it was pursuant to the 1986 Tort Reform Act.

The City supports continuation of Federal tax exemptions on bonds issued by public utilities to finance the acquisition or development of municipal energy and water facilities, contract capacity, and resources.

The City supports legislation that would encourage the City or its electric customers to use renewables. However, the City opposes legislation that would require the City or its electric customers to subsidize or fund the substitution of petroleum or natural gas for electricity.

The City supports legislation that creates a uniform, "level-playing field" based property/in-lieu of tax on generating facilities owned by electric utilities and

independent power producers. Currently four different and distinct approaches are applied to public utility districts, municipal owned generation facilities built before March 17, 1955, and those built after 1955.

Any legislation that seeks to accomplish the deregulation of electric utilities in the State of Washington that includes a public purpose tax must recognize and credit the existing local public purpose programs and expenditures of electric utilities. The City will oppose any public purposes tax that ignores existing local programs and requires the creation of a state-wide centralized program that manages and disburses funds rather than allowing for local control.

The City opposes any new state taxes or connection fees on utility customers in order to fund state programs that could be more equitably funded through general tax revenues or state debt financing.

## Utility service

The City opposes legislation (unrelated to the Growth Management Act) that would restrict or limit the service areas of publicly owned utility systems or the ability to provide service to consumers located in those areas.

The City has witnessed the failures associated to date with electricity industry restructuring. The California experiment negatively impacted utilities and their customers in the Pacific Northwest. The citizens of Tacoma have chosen to own and operate an electric utility and this represents the highest form of retail customer choice. Based on the evidence to date, the City cannot support electric restructuring elements that raise costs, remove consumer protections, erode local control and public purposes support. The City intends to participate actively in any efforts to further deregulate electric service in order to protect its customers.

The City supports continuation of the current utility lien law for commercial accounts.

The City also supports new legislation that would grant municipal utility information sharing and to establish a lien to ensure payment of municipal utilities at closing of property sales.

The City supports legislation that grants utilities the ability to use unclaimed customer credit balances for assisting low-income customers.

The City opposes legislation that would restrict the Department of Public Utilities telecommunications options.

The City opposes legislation that would restrict or prevent its municipal utility operations from providing expanded products and services.

The City supports legislation that will enable a government-agency to market a computer data base such as Geographic Information System (GIS) data, and derivative products from the database such as quarter section maps drawn on a plotter, for the purpose of offsetting the investment needed to build such a data base. Such legislation would make a clear distinction between what is a "public record" under the Public Disclosure Act and what is a valuable data base that could potentially be used by private businesses for their own gain.

In the energy marketplace of today, the commodities of natural gas and electricity are inextricably mixed, therefore, the City supports the concept that any legislation that contemplates the deregulation of the retail electricity utility industry should similarly also address the retail gas utility industry.

## **Utility operations**

The City supports legislation that would result in assistance to local municipalities in developing, upgrading and maintaining their infrastructure needs, with the understanding the projects will be fairly apportioned to the whole state and all municipalities.

Consumer owned utilities will face direct competition from private sector companies that currently can use the freedom of information statutes to gather strategic business intelligence to give them a significant unfair advantage in a competitive environment; therefore, the City supports legislation to protect financial or commercial information furnished to, or developed by, the utility as part of a proposal, bid, or negotiation for services provided by the utility.

The City supports legislation that would limit the City's exposure to liability for strictly governmental or utility actions.

The City supports legislation that would provide State authorization for local government programs relating to minority and women's business enterprises in the supply contracting areas similar to that relating to construction contracts.

The City supports legislation to amend the Public Disclosure Law exemptions, to allow public agencies to maintain the confidentiality of certain documents where there is a reasonable potential that such information could provide aid to persons intent on sabotaging vital public services. The City supports legislation that protects proprietary information for government entities providing retail and/or wholesale competitive services.

**9201**

**General philosophy**

The City is interested in legislation that allows public utilities to compete without unfair encumbrances and restrictions in what is becoming a competitive marketplace. The City also is interested in maintaining and protecting its source and distribution systems and its local decision-making ability regarding rates, rate structure and other operational policies. The City supports increased customer choice only if it is demonstrated that measurable benefits will be provided to all electric customers. Electricity is vital to our economy and quality of life; therefore, we will participate actively in any efforts to further deregulate electric service in order to protect our customers.

adopted 12/11/01

amended 12/3/02

**9205**

**Generation**

The City supports legislation that would make positive changes in State law in the public interest to facilitate the acquisition, construction and operation of cost-effective energy resources.

The City opposes any legislation that makes general predeterminations or restrictions on the use of the State's waterways, either for power generation or municipal water supply. This includes arbitrary requirements for fishery protection, minimum flows that do not consider site specific characteristics or existing water rights.

The City supports legislation that would limit the duplication of jurisdictions for structural safety at federally licensed hydroelectric projects.

The City supports legislation that will amend State law related to municipal hydroelectric project impact fee payments to counties, so that municipal hydro projects are treated the same as a project owned by a public utility district.

amended 12/14/93

amended 11/29/94

amended 12/5/95

amended 1/7/97

amended 12/9/97

amended 12/8/98

**9210**

**Transmission and distribution**

The City opposes legislation that would place unreasonable limits on the construction and maintenance of transmission and distribution lines and substations.

Distribution service is a natural monopoly because a single supplier can provide these services at the lowest total cost to all customers; therefore, the City supports legislation to continue the regulation of power distribution by their current entities.

The City opposes legislation that would mandate all utilities to categorically relocate their facilities along state highways: 1) upon franchise renewal; 2) whenever road improvements are made; or 3) upon major reconstruction of existing facilities.

amended 1/7/97

amended 12/9/97

amended 12/7/99

**9215**

**Licensing**

The City opposes legislation that preempts or otherwise restricts implementation of existing state or federal licenses, agreements, permits or other instruments or accords relative to fish, wildlife and recreation mitigation programs associated with municipal power generation.

amended 12/14/93

amended 12/5/95

amended 12/9/97

**9225**

**Conservation**

The City supports continuation of State tax exemptions for local investments in conservation and renewable energy sources for public and private utilities.

The City supports the adoption and enforcement of cost-effective standards for new construction. The City also supports legislation that would encourage the adoption and use of energy-efficient products and practices.

amended 12/9/97

amended 12/3/02

**9230**

**Recreation**

The City supports legislation that will permit increased public recreational access to the natural environment by strengthening and improving laws that protect from liability those landowners who make land available for recreational use by the public.

adopted 12/7/99

**9250**

**Low-income programs**

The City opposes legislation to authorize additional taxes on utility customers to provide funding for financial assistance for energy costs to individuals who qualify on the basis of need.

amended 12/9/97

**9305**

**Water quality**

The City supports legislation that would strengthen and encourage the efforts of municipalities to maintain water quality in protected watersheds so that water continues to meet State and Federal safe drinking water standards without the need for filtration facilities.

The City supports legislation to amend the Public Disclosure Act to allow public agencies to maintain the confidentiality of certain documents related to public health and safety issues.

The City also supports legislation that would protect vulnerable groundwater supplies from contamination.

The City opposes legislation that would withdraw primacy for implementing the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act from the State and award it to the Environmental Protection Agency.

The City supports legislation that would provide for necessary resources to support drinking water programs by the Department of Health.

amended 12/14/93

amended 11/29/94

amended 1/7/97

amended 12/8/98

amended 12/11/01

**9315**

## **Planning and Resource Development**

The City opposes legislation that would in any way prejudice Tacoma's municipal fresh water supply or water services provided by Tacoma.

The City supports legislation that would direct the establishment of statewide standards for use of reclaimed wastewater. The City opposes legislation that would impair or adversely affect the ability of a municipal water supplier to use existing rights, or to develop new water rights, because of mandated use of reclaimed water.

The City supports legislation that would facilitate efficient and effective regional and basin-wide water resource planning and management.

The City opposes legislation would permit the development of permanent out-of-state transport of the natural water resources of the state.

The City supports legislation that would encourage and financially support the development of water resource management plans in the Central Puget Sound region.

The City supports legislation that would clarify current municipal water utility authority and ensure the protection of the City's water rights.

The City opposes any legislation that makes general pre-determinations of restrictions on the use of the State's waterways, either for power generation or municipal water supply. This includes arbitrary requirements for fishery protections, recreation, minimum flows that do not consider site specific characteristics, or modifications of existing water rights.

The City supports legislation that would facilitate the issuance of state water rights and the necessary permits for facilities to meet the growth needs of the state as identified in the Growth Management Act plans based on population projections assigned by the Office Financial Management.

The City supports legislation that would provide financial support to regional water supply projects of significant economic importance.

The City opposes legislation that would impair or diminish municipal water rights established before adoption of the Surface Water Code (1917), or that would grant management authority for such rights to a state agency.

amended 12/5/95  
amended 1/7/97  
amended 12/8/98  
amended 12/11/01

**9325**

**Conservation**

The City supports legislation that would support conservation and water management efforts by all water user groups.

The City also supports legislation that would encourage the use of water-efficient appliances and fixtures through tax credits or low-income financial assistance.

amended 12/14/93

amended 11/29/94

amended 1/7/97

amended 12/11/01

## 9600-Belt Line

**9605**

**Operation**

The Department of Public Utilities operates a short line, switch engine railroad known as the Tacoma Municipal Belt Line Railway (Tacoma Rail). This railroad operates within the harbor area of Tacoma, providing switching service between shippers, local businesses, and the intercontinental railroads.

The City is opposed to legislation that directly impacts its ability to maintain service to its customers and its ability to maintain control of expenditures.

The City supports repeal of the Federal Employer's Liability Act (FELA).

The City supports cost-effective and reasonable safety regulations.

The City recognizes that rail transportation of freight and goods is the most effective and efficient way to move freight and provides for less pollution and more efficient use of fuel resources. The present rail infrastructure is not being used to its capacity. The City supports measures which would provide incentives for moving more freight on rail rather than increasing the number of trucks transporting freight on highways and freeways.

The City supports privatization of the railroad retirement system.

amended 12/14/93

amended 11/29/94

## Telecommunications

Since the passage of the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 (“Telecom Act”), the City has welcomed the promise of increased services and competition in this traditionally non-competitive industry. However, with the entrance of telephone companies (“telcos”) into the cable television market, some via Internet Protocol-based video services (“IPTV”), a new regulatory challenge is beginning to emerge wherein the telcos assert they are not subject to the franchise requirements of the federal Cable Act based on their use of IPTV technology to deliver video content. It is the City’s position that the Cable Act definitions of “cable service” and “cable system” are technology neutral with respect to the transmission protocol used to deliver video content, and that telcos offering IPTV are therefore required to enter into cable franchise agreements with the City.

The City has significant interests relating to emerging changes in the regulatory environment and the rapid pace of technological change. More specifically, the City’s interests are grounded in the City’s legal responsibility for activities in, and the use of the City’s rights-of-way. These interests include the responsibility for safe and efficient pedestrian and vehicular transport, as well as the ability to properly manage, maintain and control other public infrastructure located in the rights-of-way (e.g. water, sewer, etc.). Thus, the City’s ability to manage and control use of the rights-of-way by telecommunications providers is essential to ensuring a level playing field for all who want to do business in our City and need access to the rights-of-way.

The promise of increased competition in the telecommunications industry must not lead to the sacrifice of legitimate management and control of public property or the ability of local government to impose taxes, manage the rights-of-way, receive rights-of-way compensation, and treat all like providers in a fair and non-discriminatory manner under the law.

State and local governments are stewards of the public’s rights-of-way. Rights-of-way are public property of substantial economic value and of critical importance to local communities and their residents. The public has a right to fair compensation for occupancy and use of its property by private companies. Absent adequate oversight by the City, telecommunications providers’ use of rights-of-way has significant potential to impact adversely the safe and efficient use of City streets, sidewalks, and other public infrastructure. The City must retain the right to manage and control this infrastructure and to establish rules and regulations related to telecommunications service providers’ entry into, compensation for use of, and behavior in the public rights-of-way. To do otherwise is to have the taxpaying public subsidize the operations of these private businesses. This principle should also apply when a telecommunications company is required to relocate its facilities to accommodate street or sidewalk

construction or other public works. Local governments, electric utilities, and telecommunications companies have negotiated an approach to support joint trench agreements over the past few years to share costs and to minimize the adverse impact on the rights-of-way.

New telecommunications technologies provide exciting new business opportunities and services to citizens. The law should not play favorites among technologies by exempting some from taxation or franchise requirements. Such exemptions create unfair competition and may cause revenue losses to local government -- municipal revenues that are essential to support vital public services enjoyed by all local residents and businesses. Voice over Internet Protocol ("VoIP") and IPTV are two examples of services that should be treated just like their equivalents, traditional cable and telephone services.

Taxation of telecommunications industries is a legitimate exercise of government, though this taxation should not work to discourage new technologies or services. In response to uncertainty about how to tax cellular services, for example, the federal, State and local governments have worked together to develop a model of procedures and definitions for taxation of cellular telephones. Given the wireless industry's tremendous and continuous growth, it cannot seriously be argued that taxation of wireless has had any negative impact on the cellular industry. Similar to when we addressed cellular phones as a new technology, the City is open to working with the federal and State governments and with other cities to ensure uniform definitions and applications of taxes to new technologies relating to telecommunications.

The Telecom Act prohibits local governments from taxing direct broadcast satellite ("DBS") services. State taxation of this service is allowed. The City supports State taxation on DBS services. The revenue from such a tax should be shared with local government.

The City opposes any effort by the federal or State government to preempt local land use, zoning, or rights-of-way regulation of telecommunications facilities. Rights-of-way disputes between telecommunications companies and local governments should be resolved in local jurisdictions, not by the FCC or state PUCs. The federal and State governments should avoid adopting broad policy statements or decisions that implicate other matters of local interest, such as cable television public, educational or governmental ("PEG") access facilities and support, without first having full and complete dialogue with the local jurisdiction.

The City has found it desirable to build a telecommunications infrastructure to serve one or more of its utilities and other governmental functions, and to ensure a competitive marketplace for video, voice and data services for Tacoma citizens and businesses. The City opposes any legislation that attempts to restrict or prevent the construction or operation of such a municipally-owned system

## **Solid Waste Utility-**

### **Recycling**

The City recognizes that the management of solid waste has become a critical problem due to increasing volumes, limited disposal capacity and the costs and environmental concerns associated with siting new disposal facilities. A long-range solution to this problem will require cooperative and innovative efforts by State and local governments and the private sector. The volume of solid waste and the cost of its management could be significantly reduced by effective recycling and waste reduction programs.

The City supports legislation that would increase recycling, including the development of markets for recycled materials. The City also supports waste reduction legislation that would reduce or eliminate the use of non-recyclable material including electronic waste and new requirements on packaging that reduces the volume and toxicity of non-recyclable materials. This legislation should include either statewide requirements, or effective local option authority. State efforts to assist and fund recycling and waste reduction at the local level should recognize the traditional role of municipal governments and allow local decision making and flexibility to address solid waste management and recycling needs.

## **Landfill liability**

In 1991, the City signed a consent decree in Federal Court that governs operations of the City's sanitary landfill and actions the City must take to assure cleanup. By definition, the consent decree means there can be no permanent loss to nearby property owners resulting from contamination emanating from the landfill because the landfill presents no current danger to human health and the environment and once the conditions of the decree are met, all contaminants will be contained on site.

Under federal law (CERCLA), no one is allowed to challenge an approved consent decree unless the remediation has been fully completed.

Liability legislation does not contain a specific exclusion from strict liability for landfills.

Under state common law, the operation of municipal solid waste landfills is not an "ultra hazardous" activity. As such, cities are not strictly liable for any loss suffered as a proximate result of their operation.

The City supports legislation to specifically exempt landfills from strict liability if they are operating under Federal Court orders or some other objective measurement of proper operation.

## **Wastewater Utility-**

### **Alternative treatment techniques**

The City supports efforts to modify the statutory definition of All Known Available and Reasonable Treatment (AKART) to allow consideration of modified discharge limits, alternative treatment technologies and cost benefit analyses. Such legislation would offer the possibility for communities to benefit from innovative technologies rather than locking communities into old technologies at unnecessarily higher costs.

## **Biosolids**

It is desirable to have the Department of Ecology (DOE) take a strong role in biosolids permitting to better provide assurance to citizens that regulations regarding biosolids recycling are adequate for their safety and well being and the actual applications are being done correctly. The DOE biosolids program should be adequately funded so that it can ensure consistency in permitting and utilization.

The City believes the beneficial use of biosolids is sound policy for a sustainable environment. The City is committed to producing and distributing excellent quality biosolids products in a manner consistent with the National Biosolids Partnership Code of Good Practice. The City is also committed to proactively identifying and meeting the needs of our customers and community.

Tacoma currently maintains about 60 biosolid application sites averaging 20 acres in size. Doing an environmental checklist and processing a Declaration of Non-Significance for each site takes time and money while accomplishing little. The City is required to follow all EPA, DOE and local regulations which under EPA 40 CFR part 503 are fully protective of human health and the environment. The City supports adding biosolids application to land as a categorically exempt action in the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA).