

**From:** Stellartechnologies <stellartechnologies@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 21, 2023 5:46 PM  
**To:** Woodards, Victoria  
**Cc:** City Clerk's Office; Blocker, Keith  
**Subject:** Mayor Woodards from Mark Slater  
**Attachments:** with and without.jpg

**Follow Up Flag:** Flag for follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

Mayor,

Attached is a picture of my proposed facade. I will also weld the containers into one unit. It fits the square footage of a permissible ADU.

Around that portion of my parking lot, will be the proposed facade as shown. Down the block South and North are similar facades, so it will be usual for 12th St. It will take about a month, through October to accomplish this. I can make the facade white or green, I can paint the fake windows or keep it plain?

If I can come in for a meeting, I'd like that.

If you must wait because of the COVID, please send me an email saying so, otherwise... I can wear a mask.

I thought I did something good for our City by getting tiny home shipping containers allowed? Why are citizens punished for doing good things?

Mark



with facade

without

**From:** Marshall McClintock <marshalm@q.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 20, 2023 10:19 AM  
**To:** Planning  
**Cc:** City Clerk's Office  
**Subject:** Historic District Moratorium

**Follow Up Flag:** Flag for follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

Dear Planning Commission and Landmarks Preservation Commission

No moratorium on locally designated historic districts is necessary. It reduces the flexibility of the Landmarks Preservation Commission, the Planning Commission, and the Planning Dept. to adjust boundaries or to respond to neighborhood requests. As the recent handling of the resubmitted College Park Historic District nomination showed clearly, both the Landmarks Preservation Commission and the Planning Commission have adequate means of handling historic district nominations.

There are far more important issues for City Council, city staff and the City's various commissions to deal with than this foolishness.

Marshall McClintock  
701 North J Street  
Tacoma, WA

**From:** J Corso <jcorso695@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, September 22, 2023 11:27 AM  
**To:** Planning  
**Cc:** City Clerk's Office  
**Subject:** Resolution 41266: Oppose moratorium on local historic districts

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I oppose Resolution 41226, the proposed moratorium on new local historic districts and conservation districts. As the Planning Commission has recently demonstrated, it can advise the city council to decline to consider a nomination without a moratorium in place.

It's my understanding that while CM Rumbaugh supports the nomination of individual structures to the Tacoma Register of Historic Places (TRHP), she unilaterally opposes the nomination of local historic districts. Further, I understand that the undocumented motive for Resolution 41226 is the fear that the Landmarks Preservation Commission will soon receive a flood of local historic district nominations triggered by Home in Tacoma Project policy and code. Clearly, the person who started this rumor, and the people who believe it and pass it along, have little or no experience nominating a local historic district to the TRHP. The city has already made nominating local historic districts to the TRHP very difficult, usually requiring years of community-building, researching the history of each building, assessing the integrity of each structure to help determine whether to categorize it as a contributing or non-contributing structure, and much more. It takes years to prepare a nomination for LPC review, and most efforts fail before a nomination is submitted to LPC. Consequently, LPC rarely receives nominations for new local historic districts, making this proposed moratorium unwarranted.

There is a need for studies and research designed to better inform policy and code decisions regulating the process for adding new local historic districts to the Tacoma Register of Historic Places (TRHP). Assuming the Planning Commission, Landmarks Preservation Commission and City Council decide to prioritize improving the process for nominating local historic districts to the TRHP, consider the following suggestions.

**Review the LPC deliberations: Track time spent debating the nomination grounded in the established criteria versus time spent discussing other issues.**

Given the high quality of the College Park Historic District nomination, I expected the commissioners to process this nomination much more quickly than they did. I agree with the claim in the Resolution that the Landmark Preservation Commissioners spent an unusually long time deliberating on the College Park Historic District nomination. Clearly, the COVID pandemic disrupted the deliberation process. Further, staff appeared to be especially lax at keeping the commission's deliberations grounded in the established criteria, allowing them to introduce their personal values into the debate and employ NIMBY tactics in an effort to terminate, or at least delay, the nomination. Last, the commissioners greatly increased the amount of time by asking the applicant to re-assess community support for the nomination and provide information to address their personal concerns, particularly regarding diversity, equity and inclusion (DEI). Given that LPC receives few nominations for new local historic districts, perhaps there's a need to track the amount of time spent in deliberations grounded in the established criteria versus time spent discussing other issues, noting the triggering events that caused the commission to deviate from efficiently accomplishing their task. Hopefully, the results of the analysis would be used in the spirit of helping the staff and commissioners learn to process local historic district nominations more efficiently.

**Review the Planning Commission deliberations: Track time commissioners spent asking basic questions about the nomination and the time staff spent answering them.**

When the nomination was on the Planning Commission agenda, it appeared that most of the commissioners were coming to the meeting unprepared to deliberate. That is, they were asking simple questions that were easy to answer had they read the nomination. Perhaps the city council and the commissioners need to have a discussion about workload per meeting, how many hours commissioners are expected to spend preparing for each meeting, how many days in advance commissioners need to receive the meeting materials, etc.

**Operationally define the principles of diversity, equity and inclusion (DEI), so the commissioners don't need to spend time**

**during the meetings debating them, how to use them in their deliberations, etc.**

Many commissioners want to apply the principles of DEI into their deliberations. However, it appears that there is disagreement about the meaning of DEI and perhaps even more disagreement about how to incorporate the principles into their duties as commissioners. Further, some commissioners appear to find the DEI movement inherently discriminatory (e.g., racist, sexist, classist, etc.) and see no place for it in their deliberations. Commissioners appear to be having this debate in the context of their meetings. Perhaps the city staff need to define DEI for the commissioners, including guidance on when and how to apply the principles in their deliberations, so the commissioners aren't spending time debating this topic in the context of their meetings.

**Invest in researching and documenting Tacoma history.**

Many Commissioners want to incorporate history, and especially Tacoma history, into their deliberations. While I think it's important to incorporate the history of the city in deliberations, most of the commissioners are demonstrating that they understand little about history, especially Tacoma history. Instead, they're using pop-history to support their arguments, and pop-history is notoriously invalid. Other times, they're cherry-picking historical events to support/spin an argument. Perhaps more misleading, commissioners try to apply the history of other places like Selma, Birmingham, Washington, DC, etc. to their deliberations about Tacoma. Please consider investing in having professional historians use original records (e.g., Census data, deeds, police records, newspaper articles, maps, photographs, etc.) to document Tacoma history, perhaps focusing on the larger minority communities including the Salish, Chinese, Japanese, Croats, Blacks, etc.). This may help reduce the amount of time commissioners spent misquoting history and debating history during commission meetings.

Please consider these suggestions in your deliberations regarding the efficiency of commission meetings, particularly when the topic is the nomination of a new historic district to the TRHP.

Sincerely,  
John Geoffrey Corso  
701 N J St.  
Tacoma

**From:** Cathie Raine <cjrRD@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 25, 2023 4:55 PM  
**To:** City Clerk's Office  
**Subject:** 9/26/23 City Council meeting 'Community Forum'

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

KooDear City Council members,

I am writing to support the use of 'Council Contingency Funds' to assist the 'Tacoma Tree Foundation' in purchasing operational equipment for purposes of tree planting and maintenance in the City of Tacoma.

However, an 'alternative' resolution is needed to provide more funding (in the range of \$30,000+) to the Tacoma Tree Foundation. With this higher level of INITIAL funding, the Tree Foundation would be able to make their purchases of the truck and maintenance equipment in a timely manner to then move forward with tree plantings in the lower equity/low canopy neighborhoods.

However, the 'Tree Planting Plan to Increase the Tacoma Canopy' needs to be formally incorporated into the '2030 Tacoma Climate Action Plan' and into a Biennial Budget. with "measurable defined" goals (i.e..the number of trees over a designated period of time). The current rate of building new housing(including clearing of trees) ..in these lower equity areas.. has also decreased the number of older trees/ further decreased the tree canopy in these areas. Therefore, the current number of trees being planted (rate) needs to be increased to have a significant impact on the tree canopy in Tacoma.

Respectfully submitted,  
Cathie J.(Raine) Urwin  
(253) 431-6689

Community Forum

Michelle Reich

9/26/2023

*Michele  
Reich*

I'm an  
advocate



[WWW.ALLEYCAT.ORG](http://WWW.ALLEYCAT.ORG)

© 2023 Alley Cat Allies. All rights reserved.

SEPT 19, 2023

TO: Mayor Woodlark, City Manager Pauli,  
City Attorney Sosbe and all members of Governor  
City Council.

Re: The Power of One Vote & of Knowledge

(FRIDAY)  
In March of 2003 I was watching the 6/8 clock  
news with Gen. Colin Powell selling the case for  
WAR-WITH-IRAQ to the United Nations Security Council.

His presentation was riddled with fallacies from  
my experience and I seen through them.

Especially upsetting to me was the U.S. in Gulf  
War I - Bribed members of the Security Council  
to the tune of one billion dollars in return  
for votes for a war, I don't want a  
repeat.

So, I immediately began my own  
"ASTOPS" campaign against Gen. Powell - "wolf  
ticket." as he said on Friday at the U.N. -  
"We (U.S.) didn't get enough votes - and  
with love we re-vote next week." For 18  
hours my typewriter was on fire composing  
a dozen letters to members of the U.N. Security  
Council against the war with Iraq. Gen Powell  
needed 8 votes of 15 to have a war and was  
short one or two. At 1 P.M. on Saturday my  
letters were FED-EX to the U.N. Security Council by  
next day - along with a 2 HR video highlighting  
U.S. mis-deeds around the World. When the vote  
came next week Gen. Powell said "I don't understand -  
not only did we not get enough votes - but we  
(U.S.) lost votes!" Enclosed is a "copyrighted" handwritten  
copy of one of those letters. I stopped the War - at  
the U.N.!!! One Person - One VOTE!

Sincerely,  
Nicholas Reich



# U.N. SECURITY COUNCIL IRAQ WAR VOTE - MARCH 2003 (8 VOTES NEEDED FOR WAR)

## FOR WAR-IRAQ

1ST VOTE FRIDAY

1 VOTE SHORT - 7 VOTES

2 VOTES SHORT - 6 VOTES

"GEN. COLLIN POWELL" WERE  
CLOSE - WERE GOING TO HAVE A  
RE-VOTE NEXT WEEK!!

2ND VOTE NEXT WK

LOST 1 VOTE - 6 VOTES

LOST 2 VOTES - 5 VOTES

GEN. COLIN POWELL AFTE 2ND  
VOTE NEXT WK. "I DON'T  
UNDERSTAND - WE DIDN'T GET  
THE VOTES NEEDED - BUT WE  
LOST VOTES!!"

## AGAINST WAR-IRAQ

1ST VOTE FRIDAY

8 VOTES AGAINST

9 VOTES AGAINST

2ND VOTE NEXT WK

9 VOTES AGAINST

10 VOTES AGAINST

My "anti-Iraq War campaign" - not only  
maintained the 1st vote against the Iraq War vote -  
but turned one or two votes for the  
opposite side against the bet the U.S. had to offer!

March 5, 2003

To: Guinean Ambassador to the United Nations  
Guinean Mission to the United Nations  
United Nation  
3 United Nations Plaza  
New York, NY 10017

Dear Mr. Ambassador,

Hello! In taking over the "chair" position of the United Nations Security Council, Guinea has assumed a important leadership position for "peace in the world". Peace, in the current situation does not mean supporting the United States in a war against Iraq. Saddam Hussain is the national leader for Iraqi independence from British colonialism and the neo-colonialist monarchy that the British installed in Iraq. The United States wishes to destroy the "Baath Party" of Iraq that fought for Iraqi revolution not only to kill Saddam. The United States was a supporter and military ally of Iraq during the Iran-Iraq War (1980-1988). The U.S. supported Iraq in air, naval and commando raids in the Persian Gulf - including the attacking of Iranian oil-drilling platforms in the Persian Gulf. The U.S. lost over 100 military deaths in support of Iraq's war against Iran. The U.S. has sponsored wars and terrorism against many "third World" nations and peoples since the end of coloalism. The U.S. does not respect human rights or democracy when it supports "military governments" as it does in Turkey that has a very bad human rights record. The U.S. thinks that it is the ONLY military power in the world and that it must "control" the world's resources - especially oil!. Americans will not care if they kill a "million" Iraqis - because they are non-whites - therefore their lives are worth less than a white or westerner! It is very important that Guinea not allow the United States to kill the people of Iraq!

Sincerely,  
*Michele Reich*  
Michele Reich

(COPYRIGHT RESERVED)

Community Forum - Written Comment

Samantha Ball

9/26/2023

































