## Tacoma City of Tacoma

## **Questions and Answers**

## Manitou Elementary Safe Routes to School RFB Specification No. PW23-0027F

All interested parties had the opportunity to submit questions in writing by email to Brandon Snow, Senior Buyer by date questions were due. The answers to the questions received are provided below and posted to the City's website at <a href="www.TacomaPurchasing.org">www.TacomaPurchasing.org</a>: Navigate to <a href="Current Contracting Opportunities">Current Contracting Opportunities</a> / Public Works and Improvements Solicitations, and then click <a href="Questions and Answers">Questions and Answers</a> for this Specification. This information IS NOT considered an addendum. Respondents should consider this information when submitting their proposals.

- Question 1: Specification 1-05.4OPT4.GR1 Contractor Surveying ADA Features states that payment will be made per the bid item "ADA Features Surveying", lump sum. That bid item does not appear on the bid form. Please confirm.
- Answer 1: Remove Section 1-05.4.OPT4.GR1 Contractor Surveying ADA Features
- Question 2: Plan Sheet C-1 shows New Pedestrian Guardrail per City of Tacoma Std. Plan SU-11. Which bid item is used for payment for this work?
- Answer 2: Adding Wrought Iron Hand Rail and spec section 8-30 associated with this work in Addendum 2.
- Question 3: The plans state to remove Class A4 pavement and the bid form states remove class A8 pavement. Please clarify the depth of pavement removal. Further, the proposed cross section shows a 2" grind and overlay from STA 19+47.87 to STA 19+94.82, that won't work with the new 8" raised crosswalk section. Wouldn't the contractor need to demo the existing roadway full depth at the raised crosswalk to accommodate the new thicker section? Further clarity and details are needed.
- Answer 3: Change bid item to Remove Existing Pavement Class A4. Will update cross section to only show the limits of grind and overlay, but Contractor should follow the construction and demolition plan notes for work to be performed. Plans show full removal of pavement at the raised crossing. Changes will be reflected in Addendum 2
- Question 4: The plans state the contract shall remove Class H pavement per Specification 2-14 for the brick removal. The specification states brick removal is included with Class C6 and it makes no reference to Class H pavement. Please clarify.
- Answer 4: City of Tacoma will remove the Class H pavement section from plans and will refer to it as brick pavement in Addendum 2

Revised: 07/08/2022

- Question 5: Is there a WSDOT Contract number to reference on the DBE Forms?
- Answer 5: WSDOT Project Number SRTS-1280(042)

Form No. SPEC-230A Page 1 of 2



## **Questions and Answers**

Question 6: A request for approved equal status was made for JSF RRFBs.

Answer 5: This request was reviewed and denied. JSF RRFBs did not meet the

requirements specified in Section 9-29.15 of the special provisions.

Form No. SPEC-230A Page 2 of 2 Revised: 07/08/2022