TACOMA WATER GREEN RIVER FILTRATION FACILITY ENGINEERING CONSULTANT SERVICES RFQ SPECIFICATION NO. WQ17-0031F

STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS EVALUATION

Responding Firm: Carollo

Evaluator: Selection Advisory Committee Consensus

Date: 3/24/2017

Evaluation Criteria	Maximum Points	Score	Comments
Introduction and	5	5	Comments
Statement of Interest			
Project Understanding/	20	18	Straightforward approach. No doubt that this team
Approach			understands facility and processes.
Project Team	40	36	Proposed PM has demonstrated responsiveness and works well with a variety of Tacoma Water staff. Other local team members are familiar with GRFF and Tacoma Water. National experts should bring new perspective.
Qualifications and	30	28	Many team members were key to the design, construction,
Related Projects			and start-up of the GRFF. Good overview of projects, with a focus on the technical issues identified by Tacoma Water.
		_	Todas sin tile tesimines i sesses i sentines sy rusonia viateri
SBE Questionnaire	5	5	
Total	100	92	

Ranking:	1

TACOMA WATER GREEN RIVER FILTRATION FACILITY ENGINEERING CONSULTANT SERVICES RFQ SPECIFICATION NO. WQ17-0031F

STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS EVALUATION

Responding Firm: HDR

Evaluator: Selection Advisory Committee Consensus

Date: 3/24/2017

Evaluation Criteria	Maximum Points	Score	Comments
Introduction and Statement of Interest	5	5	
Project Understanding/ Approach	20	16	High-quality proposal with detailed discussion. Some ideas, such as Partnership for Safe Water may be beyond current needs.
Project Team	40	32	There is a heavy focus on senior technical staff and operations consultants; it is unclear how involved they will actually be. National experts on biofiltration and manganese could provide new insight.
Qualifications and Related Projects	30	24	Good examples, particularly work with Everett WTP.
SBE Questionnaire	5	5	
Total	100	82	

Ranking:	2

TACOMA WATER GREEN RIVER FILTRATION FACILITY ENGINEERING CONSULTANT SERVICES RFQ SPECIFICATION NO. WQ17-0031F

STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS EVALUATION

Responding Firm: Brown and Caldwell

Evaluator: Selection Advisory Committee Consensus

Date: 3/24/2017

Evaluation Criteria	Maximum Points	Score	Comments
Introduction and Statement of Interest	5	5	Comments
Project Understanding/ Approach	20	17	Detailed technical information and interesting concepts presented. Less detail relative to project scope.
Project Team	40	30	Most of the team is unfamiliar to Tacoma Water. With short write-ups and no resumes provided, it is difficult to conceptualize how this team would work together and work with Tacoma Water.
Qualifications and Related Projects	30	21	Very involved with Everett WTP, a similar source and plant. Many other identified projects were not seen as relevant.
SBE Questionnaire	5	5	
Total	100	78	

Ranking:	3