Tacoma Permit Advisory Task Force

733 Market Street, TMBN 16 Meeting #6 – August 23, 2018, 10:00am, Notes

10:04 am: Call to order

10:04 am: approval of minutes
** Action item – Stewart Young

10:08 am: Team Building exercise

10:13 am: Introduction to adaptive reuse conversation led by Ben Ferguson, Co-vice chair

- 1. Goal is to talk through adaptive reuse, heritage building, change of use, substantial alteration
- 2. Exercise will help identify where in the process the project has challenges, where are the issues found, intend to help be predictive
- 3. Task Force selected UWT's Wilde Building as a case study (between the Rock Pasta and the Swiss)
- 4. building background:
 - a. Small 2-story with basement, 5ksf footprint
 - b. 5 bays unreinforced masonry
 - c. 2nd floor Unreinforced masonry exterior, with wood frame, there is existing temporary shoring
 - d. Structural walk in terrible shape, temporary shoring and grit falls down, supported by wood post and beam. Rubble wall from prior to regrade
- 5. Assumptions:
 - a. this is a change of use
 - b. No landmarks, SEPA,
 - c. Sidewalks, curb gutter are junk, zero lot line building, no landscaping
- 6. Discussion focused on need to understand feasibility of project and whether or not any investment should be made.

10:29 am: Adaptive Reuse Small Group Exercise led by Justin Goroch, Co-vice Chair

1. 2-8-all exercise to brainstorm where in the process folks might find barriers

10:43 am: Full group discussion of exercise results

- 1. Report out from exercise centered entirely on pre-application process and determining feasibility of project. Topics of concern were:
 - a. Structural/Seismic
 - b. Fire protection
 - c. Offsite scope/cost
 - d. ADA upstairs elevator
 - e. IEBC historic section
 - f. Tax credits
 - g. Conflicting codes
 - h. Energy Code
- 2. Vote taken on topics, identified top four concerns:

- a. Structure/seismic (10 votes)
- b. Offsite scope/cost (6 votes)
- c. Tax credits (5 votes)
- d. Fire protection (4 votes)
- 3. Broader discussion focused on identifying what was needed in the bubble before pre-submittal to do an economic analysis and see if the project can pencil. The challenge is to make sure whatever info is determined pre-application holds for the entire permit process and there are not big changes that make the project unfeasible at a later point.

11:19 am: Final comments from Jim Dugan, Chair

- 1. Request for staff to drill out these areas to present at next meeting ways to partner and help address concerns. Specific questions to consider:
 - a. Are requirements clear?
 - b. Are requirements fair?
 - c. What are the ways we can save our old buildings?
 - d. Is there a way we can change our approach to help developers?